## **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

## **UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE**

## BOW HILL FEE TO TRUST APPLICATION AND RESORT EXPANSION

Prepared for:

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Doreen Maloney, Tribal General Manager 25944 Community Plaza Way Sedro Woolley, WA 98284

Prepared by:

Sehome Planning and Development Services Rollin H. Harper, Principal 1323 Lincoln Street, #202 Bellingham, WA 98229

September 9, 2010

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 FEDERAL DECISION	2
1.3 PURPOSE AND NEED	
1.4 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	6
2.0 ALTERNATIVES	
2.1 ALTERNATIVE 1: ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7
2.1.1 Description of the Action Alternative	7
2.2 ALTERNATIVE 2: NO ACTION	
2.3 ALTERNATIVE 3: ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED AFTER EVALUA	ATION 9
2.3.1 Leaving the Proposed Project Land in Fee Status	10
2.3.2 Utilizing Current Trust Land at the Bow Hill Reservation	12
3.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	
3.1 GEOLOGY TOPOGRAPHY AND SOILS	14
3.1.1 Site Geology	
3.1.2 Topography	
3.1.3 Soils	16
3.2 CLIMATE	17
3.3 WATER RESOURCES	18
3.3.1 Surface Hydrology	18
3.3.2 Groundwater	19
3.3.3 Water Quality	
3.4 VEGETATION	
3.4.1 Upland Forest Community	
3.7 THREATENED AND ENDANGEDED SPECIES	
3.8 SOCIOECONOMIC	26
3.8.1 Population	26
3.8.2 Employment	
3.8.3 Income	
3.9 LAND USE	29
3.10 TRANSPORTATION	32
3.10.1 Roads	
3.10.2 Roadway Conditions	32
3.10.3 Traffic Conditions	
3.12 PUBLIC SERVICES/INFRASTRACTURE	
3.12.1 Waler	
3.12.2 Gewei 3.12.3 Solid Masta	
3 12 4 Emergency Services	37
3 12 5 Power and Telephone	38
3.13 RECREATION	
3.14 AESTHETICS	39
3.15 NOISE	39
3.16 CULTURAL RESOURCES	41
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES AND MITIGATION	44
4.1 GEOLOGY, TOPOGRAPHY AND SOILS	
4.2 CLIMATE	
4.3 WATER RESOURCES	47

4.4	VEGETATION	. 48
4.5	WETLANDS	. 49
4.6	FISH AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES	49
4.7	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	. 51
4.8	SOCIOECONOMICS	. 52
4	.8.1 Population	. 52
4	.8.2 Employment	. 52
4	.8.3 Incomé	. 54
4.9	LAND USE	55
4.10	) TRANSPORTATION	57
4.11	AIR QUALITY	58
4.12	2 PUBLIC SERVICES/INFRASTRUCTURE	. 59
4.13	3 RECREATION	. 60
4.14	AESTHETICS	61
4.15	5 NOISE	63
4.16	GULTURAL RESOURCES	. 65
4.17	7 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	66
4.18	3 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	67
4.19	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS	. 68
4.20	MITIGATION	. 69
4.21	I CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	. 70
4.22	2 PERMITS REQUIRED	. 73
4.23	3 CONCLUSION	. 73
5.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	74
6.0	REFERENCES	78
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	. 82

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	VICINITY MAP
FIGURE 1-2	SITE MAP
FIGURE 2-1	EXISTING DEVELOPMENT
FIGURE 2-2	BOW HILL ROAD INTERSECTION IPROVEMENTS
FIGURE 2-3	CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN
FIGURE 3-1	PARCELS AND EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY
FIGURE 3-2	EXISTING WETLAND
FIGURE 3-3	SURFACE WATERCOURSES
FIGURE 3-4	LOCAL AREA LAND USE
FIGURE 3-5	TRIBAL OWNERSHIP STATUS
FIGURE 3-6	ROAD SYSTEM AND ACCESS
FIGURE 4-1	PROPOSED STORMWATER SYSTEM
FIGURE 4-2	PROPOSED WETLAND FILL AREAS
FIGURE 4-3	PROPOSED WETLAND MITIGATION AREAS
FIGURE 4-4	PROPOSED LIGHT AND NOISE MITIGATION

----

3

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	CONSULTATION, COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT
APPENDIX B	PHASE 1 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX C	LIST OF TYPICAL WILDLIFE SPECIES
APPENDIX D	BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX E	RECORD OF SURVEY

#### NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT BOW HILL FEE TO TRUST AND RESORT EXPANSION BOW, WASHINGTON



- PROPOSAL: The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe has prepared for the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, an Environmental Assessment for the approval of the Fee to Trust conversion pursuant to 25 CFR 151 of approximately 134.13 acres immediately adjacent to and contiguous with the Upper Skagit Indian Bow Hill Reservation. The proposed action is located within southeast quarter of Section 31, and the southwest quarter of Section 32, Township 36 North, Range 4 East; and Government Lots 1, 2, & 3 of Section 6, Township 35 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian, Skagit County, Washington.
- PROJECT NEED: This project has been requested by the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe to convert Tribal-owned fee property into Trust property for non-gaming, hospitality/economic development purposes. The Tribe intends to develop approximately 42 acres of the converted Trust land for a hotel, an indoor waterpark, and a conference facility, with economic development activities that focus on the tourism and hospitality industry. The proposed project would increase the availability of jobs. The increased income resulting from the proposed development that would benefit both the Tribal and the local community.

**PROJECT** Two Alternatives are discussed in the EA Document: **DESCRIPTION:** 

Alternative 1 - Action Alternative - Fee-to-Trust Approval

The Action Alternative would include the conversion from Fee to Trust of approximately 134.13 acres and the subsequent development of approximately 42 acres of the Land for non-gaming economic development purposes, including the development of an approximately 300-room hotel, a 60,0000 square foot indoor waterpark, a 15,000 square foot conference center, a 10,000 square foot spa, a 10,0000 square foot arcade/day play area, associated restaurant and hospitality facilities, and supporting parking, infrastructure, and utilities. The remaining property acreage would be used for landscaping, buffers, and a wetland mitigation area, plus a small portion available for future, non-gaming economic development activities. The development portion of the project would include filling of approximately 5 acres of wetlands. The planned

mitigation for the anticipated filling of wetlands includes the creation of additional wetland acres and preserving approximately 60 acres of wetland/upland area adjacent to the development area. Traffic studies have indicated a slight increase in traffic volumes, but will not cause any roads to operate below adopted Level of Service standards or significantly impact any local intersections. The Federal decision is the approval of the Tribe's Fee to Trust conversion of Tribal-owned fee land to Trust status.

Alternative 2 - No Action

This Alternative would result in the Tribal-owned fee property not being placed into Trust and the proposed development of approximately 42 acres for a hotel, indoor waterpark, and conference space, will not occur. The property would be left in its current state as undeveloped. All potential increased job creation and revenue would go unrealized for both the local Community and the Tribe. No action on this project would result in no environmental affects to the property. There will be no Federal decision including not approving the Tribe's Application to convert Fee property to Trust status.

COMMENT Copies of this EA are available for review at the PERIOD: Office of the Superintendent, Puget Sound Agency, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 2707 Colby Avenue, Suite 1101, Everett, WA 98201, telephone (425) 258-2651. Copies of the EA are also available for review at the Upper Skagit Tribal Office, 25944 Community Plaza Way, Sedro Woolley, WA 98284, telephone (360) 854-7090. Comments on the Environmental document must be received by October 31, 2010 and should be sent to the Superintendent, Puget Sound Agency, Bureau of Indian Affairs, at the above address.

The responsible official for this environmental RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: document will be Judith R. Joseph, Superintendent, Puget Sound Agency, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 2707 Colby Avenue, Suite 1101, Everett, WA 98201. Dated this 1st day of October, 2010, Judith R. Joseph, Superintendent, Puget Sound Agency, Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Judich R. Joseph, Superintendent Puget Sound Agency



## **UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE**

25944 Community Plaza Way • Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284 Phone (360) 854-7000 • Fax (360) 854-7004

PLEASE TAKE NOTE:

INQUIRIES SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:

HAROLD CHESNIN AT THE UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE 360-661-1020

OR

STANLEY SURRIDGE, PUGET SOUND AGENCY OF THE BIA 425-258-2651



## UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE OFFICE OF TRIBAL ATTORNEY

# Memo

To:	SKAGIT COUNTY DEPARTMENTS	
From:	HARRY CHESNIN, GENERAL COUNSEL	
CC:	SHARON DILLON	
Date:	September 30, 2010	
Re:	DELIVERY OF UPPER SKAGIT EA	

Please take note that the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe delivered a full copy of the Environmental Assessment with respect to its fee to trust application to Commissioner Sharon Dillon on behalf of Skagit County,

1 2010

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Environmental Assessment (EA) addresses the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe's ("Tribe") proposed conversion, pursuant to 25 CFR 151, of approximately 134.13 acres (the "Land") of fee land into trust land for non-gaming, hospitality / economic development purposes. The Land proposed for conversion is located in Skagit County, Washington, immediately adjacent to and contiguous with the Tribe's Bow Hill Reservation trust parcel, which includes the Tribe's existing casino and hotel. The Tribe intends to use the Land to develop a hotel, indoor waterpark and conference space, all non-gaming economic development activities that focus on the tourism and hospitality industry.

Specifically, the Action Alternative includes the conversion from fee to trust of approximately 134.13 acres and the subsequent development of approximately 42 acres of the Land for non-gaming, economic development purposes, including development of an approximately 300-room hotel, a 60,000 square foot indoor waterpark, a 15,000 square foot conference center, a 10,000 square foot spa, a 10,000 square foot arcade / day play area, associated restaurant and hospitality facilities, and supporting parking, infrastructure and utilities. The remaining acreage would be used for landscaping, buffers and wetland mitigation area, plus a small portion available for future, non-gaming economic development activities.

The EA analyzes the environmental consequences of both the Action Alternative and the No Action Alternative in relation to the existing environmental setting. The EA also analyzes unavoidable impacts, mitigation and cumulative impacts in relation to the Action Alternative.

The primary benefit of the Action Alternative would be the increased availability of jobs and increased incomes resulting from development of the recreation and hospitality facility that would be available to both Upper Skagit Tribal community members and others in the local community. The main environmental impacts of the Action Alternative include the filling of approximately 5 acres of wetlands, the addition of approximately 216,000 square feet of impervious surfaces and other developed areas, and generation of increased traffic, light and noise.

Mitigation for anticipated filling of wetland areas includes the creation of additional wetland areas and the permanent preservation of over 60 acres of wetland/upland complex located on the Land immediately adjacent to the Project area. Potential impacts from increased stormwater runoff due to the addition of impervious surface area will be mitigated through the installation of stormwater treatment and detention facilities designed consistent with the Washington Department of Ecology 2005 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington. The results of the traffic study indicate that the slight increase in traffic volumes resulting from the Project will not have a significant impact on local intersections and will not cause any roads to operate below adopted Level of Service standards. Potential impacts due to increased light and noise will be mitigated through the siting of the Project away from other developed areas and the installation of a soil bern and vegetated buffer along the eastern boundary of the project area.

Based on the analysis contained in the EA, no significant environmental impacts were identified that would result from the Action Alternative. In comparison, although the No Action Alternative would not include the potential impacts and associated mitigation described above, it would also not provide any of the substantial benefits potentially resulting from the Action Alternative in terms of increased job opportunities and increased incomes available to both tribal and non-tribal community members. Preparation of an EIS is not deemed necessary. Based on this determination, issuance of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is recommended.

۲.

ES-2

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

This Environmental Assessment (EA) addresses the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe's ("Tribe") proposed conversion, pursuant to 25 CFR 151, of approximately 134.13 acres (the "Land") of fee land into trust land for non-gaming hospitality / economic development purposes. The Land proposed for conversion is located immediately adjacent to and contiguous with the Tribe's Bow Hill Reservation trust parcel, which Reservation parcel was previously taken into trust by the United States. All the land in question is located in Skagit County, Washington (See Figures 1-1 and 1-2). The Tribe intends to use the Land, after conversion from fee to trust, for a hotel, indoor waterpark and conference space, all non-gaming economic development activities that focus on the tourism and the hospitality industry. Specifically, the Tribe intends to build, own and operate the hotel, indoor waterpark and meeting center on approximately 42 acres of the Land. The remaining acreage is available for landscaping, buffers and utilities. Some of the remaining acreage will be available for future, non-gaming economic development, but the Tribe has no such plans at present. The EA was prepared for the Bureau of Indian Affairs, as lead federal agency, in cooperation with the Tribe, the project proponent. This EA was written in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969. The Tribe's Action Alternative is to utilize the Land, after the trust conversion, for the development and construction of an indoor water park, hotel and meeting center. The Tribe proposes to develop and contract the project itself. Approval of the Fee to Trust conversion by the Bureau of Indian Affairs is a federal action, which requires compliance with NEPA.

This EA is prepared to evaluate the likely environmental consequences of converting

approximately 134.13 acres of fee land to trust land and locating, constructing, and operating on approximately 42 acres of the Land a proposed hotel, indoor water park, and meeting center facility including restaurant, spa, fitness center, gift shop, and lounge together with associated  $F^{\text{erk}}$  including restaurant, spa, fitness center, gift shop, and lounge together with NEPA and the Upper Skagit Environmental Protection Code (USEPC), this EA: 1) identifies the purpose and need for the project; 2) describes the proposed action and defined alternatives; 3) characterizes the existing environmental setting; 4) assesses the expected environmental impacts of the project and potential mitigation; and 5) describes the Tribe's consultation and coordination activities for the proposed project. The EA also provides an evaluation of cumulative impacts and a conclusion based on this analysis. The objective of the EA is to determine whether the proposed action would be likely to have any significant environmental impacts.

#### **1.2 FEDERAL DECISION**

This document is intended to assist the federal government in making its determination as to whether or not to approve the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe's fee-to-trust application requesting that the United Sates government take approximately 134.13 acres of fee and into trust for future economic development, non-gaming, purposes, including to accommodate an expansion of the Tribe's existing Skagit Resort located near Bow, Washington.

#### 1.3 PURPOSE AND NEED

At present the Tribe has a very limited trust land base with approximately 100 acres of land in Reservation / trust status. The Reservation is divided into two geographically separate areas. The first area includes approximately 25 acres at Exit 236 of I-5 at Bow Hill Road (used exclusively for economic development and particularly for gaming and hospitality). Gaming is conducted pursuant to a Tribal / State Compact. The remaining 75 acres of Reservation trust land at Helmick Road near Sedro Woolley, WA is used exclusively for residential and governmental purposes. There is no trust or fee land within the Bow Hill Reservation parcel available for any future economic diversification. The Tribe has purchased approximately 134.13 acres of fee land adjacent and contiguous to the Bow Hill Reservation. The Tribe intends to convert this approximately 134.13 acres of fee land to trust land for non-gaming economic development purposes. The Tribe will locate the recreation and hospitality facility described above on the proposed project area by constructing, and operating a proposed hotel, indoor water park and meeting center facility, including restaurant, spa, fitness center, gift shop, and lounge together with associated parking and other infrastructure.

The purpose of the proposed development is to provide an alternative and diversified non-gaming economic / governmental revenue base for the Tribe together with the creation of new jobs and career opportunities for Tribal members, tribal community members and non-Indians. Revenues from the recreation and hospitality facility would give the Tribe an additional, independent and diversified economic revenue source other than gaming to support its governmental functions and significantly reduce its reliance on gaming, its principal source of outside income, in order to better withstand the negative impacts of dwindling state and federal programmatic funds. The proposed recreation and hospitality facility would provide an estimated 350 full-time jobs, with career opportunities for Tribal members and non-members in management, accounting, food services, marketing, mechanical operations, and other related fields.

The need for the proposed project is based on: (1) inadequate and unstable federal and state revenue sources for the Tribal Government and Tribal services; (2) minimizing the risk of single source gaming revenue in a changing regulatory, political and competitive environment; (3) insufficient employment opportunities for Tribal members whose skills and / or circumstances do not allow them to work in the regulated gaming industry; and, (4) limited economic development opportunities due to the limited trust land base of the Tribe.

Federal and State grants are currently essential to the continued provision of the wide range of services needed by the Tribal members. Those same funds are decreasing in availability. Funding needs, however, are increasing with the increase in tribal membership, up over 130% in the last decade, and the Tribe's taking over an increasing portion of the programs of the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) and Indian Health Service (IHS). The Tribe is currently limited in its ability to provide needed governmental services, sufficient infrastructure, and necessary administrative facilities, and, further, lacks sufficient quality housing and vacant land on the Reservation to sustain the growing demands of its increasing Tribal membership. However, the growing needs of Tribal members go well beyond the provision of housing and health-related services. Increasingly, the Tribe is being asked to meet all of the following needs of Tribal members: health services including medical, dental and vision services social services, housing, vocational training and education, food, fuel and energy, technological training and assistance, and end of life services. Recreation and hospitality facility net revenue will help the Tribe meet unfulfilled governmental responsibilities and will provide the resources for continued and expanded social, cultural, recreational, housing, and community development programs.

According to BIA year 2003 statistics, of the 755 tribal members, the Tribe had an annual unemployment rate of 44 % (membership has now grown to over 1,010); unemployment exceeded 65 % of the labor force in the winter (BIA, 2003). In comparison, in 2005, unemployment rates for Skagit County as a whole were about 5.8 % annually, and 8 % in the winter (Washington State Employment Security, 2005).

As of April 2010, the unemployment rate for Tribal members was 36 % (USIT 2010), even with the increases in employment provided through development of the Skagit Resort. Employment growth provided by the Tribe's casino has increased employment opportunities for Tribal members, but these increases have been offset in part by the ongoing loss of jobs in traditional, natural resource based industries. Some of the limitations on employment posed by the gaming industry will be offset by increased employment opportunities available through the development of diversified, non-gaming jobs that will be provided by the new recreation and hospitality facility. As of November 2009, unemployment in Skagit County has risen to 10.4 % as a result of the economic downturn (Washington State Employment Security 2009); however, this rate is still far below the unemployment rate among Tribal members.

Existing economic opportunities, even after the creation of the Tribe's Casino, still only provide Tribal members with limited incomes. Treaty commercial salmon fishing, once a mainstay activity for Tribal members, is in serious decline. In 1981, at the time of the creation of the checkerboard Reservation, there were 110 active Tribal fishermen and women who earned an average gross fishing income of \$1,624 (Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, 1981). Now, the number of fishermen has been drastically reduced as the fishing resource has been impacted. In 2009, only 59 tribal members were involved in resource-based industries, including salmon fishing and crab fishing. The average income of a salmon fisherman in 2009 was \$3,642. For the 9 tribal members who engaged in the crab fishery, the average income in 2009 was \$29,000; however, only 3 of the fisherman earned more than this average, while two-thirds earned less than the average (Schuyler, 2010). The Skagit River remains one of the Tribe's major treaty fishing grounds. Even though the Skagit produces most of the wild salmon in northwestern Washington, recent harvests have been severely restricted to protect declining wild stocks.

USIT BOW HILL EA SEPTEMBER 9, 2010 As a result of limited economic opportunities, over 57 % of those Tribal households living in the local area earn less than \$15,000 per year (USHD, 2009). A 2009 compilation of income by the Upper Skagit Housing Department found that, per U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development criteria, just over 90 % of Tribal households were of extremely low, very low or low income and 57.1 % were of extremely low income (USHD, 2009).

The proposed recreation and hospitality facility is a viable opportunity for creating a diversified business base for the Tribe, one which is capable of generating sufficient revenues to support many of the Tribe's governmental objectives. Those objectives include: 1) ensuring current and future employment opportunities for local tribal and non-tribal community members; 2) developing needed infrastructure on the Reservation; 3) diversifying and expanding the Tribal businesses; 4) acquiring trust land for future commercial development; and, 5) providing necessary and expanding governmental services.

#### 1.4 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The Tribe has encouraged public comment and participated in a variety of forums that provided opportunity for community input during the planning phases of the proposed development. Forums providing opportunities for specific input on the proposed project have included:

a) Numerous Tribal Council meetings from 2002 to date.

b) Tribal General Council Annual Meetings 2003 – 2009.

c) Skagit County Board of Commissioners, 2004, 2005, 2009 and 2010.

d) Environmental Assessment available for public review upon submitted to the BIA. The Tribal and local communities have consistently and overwhelmingly supported development of the non-gaming hospitality facility for the proposed project site.

### 2.0 ALTERNATIVES

#### 2.1 ALTERNATIVE 1: ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The Action Alternative is to obtain federal approval of the Tribe's fee-to-trust application and receive the affirmative decision of the Secretary as delegated to the Regional Director to take into trust, pursuant to 25 CFR 151, the land adjoining and contiguous to the existing Tribal designated economic development Reservation trust land at Exit 236 of I-5. The project area consists of six parcels totaling approximately 134.13 acres proposed for conversion from fee to trust, which lands are adjacent to and contiguous with the existing Tribal Bow Hill Reservation trust property. Presently the Tribe has an existing gaming facility and hotel on the Bow Hill Reservation (see Figure 2-1). The Tribe and Skagit County made several improvements to the Bow Hill road system in 1995-96 and 2005 (see Figure 2-2). All the required utilities and amenities such as roads, water, electricity, telephone and wastewater for the proposed recreation and hospitality facility and associated features already exist for this alternative. This alternative also allows the Tribe to utilize its existing potable water and waste water transmission lines consistent with the additional capacity planned for at the time of the original Bow Hill Reservation development. The proposed recreation and hospitality facility would occupy a total of approximately 42 acres of the project area.

#### 2.1.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The proposed development consists of an approximately 125,000 square foot structure encompassing a 318-unit hotel, an indoor waterpark, and a meeting center. The hotel will be used primarily by waterpark guests and, during the workweek, by guests attending meetings and conferences at the meeting center. There will be no gaming in the facility. Figure 2-3, a proposed concept drawing, shows the layout of hotel, indoor water park and meeting center hospitality facilities. The proposed recreation and hospitality facility would be approximately 125,000 square feet in size, allocated as follows:

Hotel:50,000 sq. feetWaterpark:60,000 sq. feetMeeting Center:15,000 sq. feet

The waterpark facility would be open an average of 14 hours per day on an annualized basis. Infrastructure and ancillary facilities would include approximately 850 parking spaces, public water system hookup, and wastewater disposal hookup.

The estimated construction cost for the project is \$115,000,000. This total construction expenditure will provide much-needed work for local and regional construction contractors and construction workers. It will also include purchases of locally produced construction materials and construction-related services. Once in full operation, the project is expected to employ 350 persons. These employees will include both tribal and non-tribal members from the local community. The Tribe will use the revenues from the recreation and hospitality facility to: a) fund tribal government operations and programs; b) provide for the general welfare of the Tribe and its members; and c) promote further Tribal economic development diversification. In addition, as a result of the wages paid to employees, many of whom will be non-tribal, and the increased quantity of products that will be purchased from non-tribal vendors, there will be a secondary, but substantial, beneficial impact upon Skagit County, by increased economic activity, additional monies being spent in the businesses in the county and the sales tax revenues generated by such activities. Finally, the hospitality facility will bring a new group of visitors,

families with small children, to Skagit County, which will, in turn, provide further economic opportunities for the citizens of Skagit County. All of the above-described benefits are consistent with the feasibility study and market analysis prepared for the Tribe that supports the viability of the type of hospitality facility being proposed as the Action Alternative.

#### 2.2 ALTERNATIVE 2: NO ACTION

The no action alternative includes the federal decision to disapprove the Tribe's application to convert the Land to trust status and would result in no facility development by the Tribe. At present the Tribe has a limited land base in trust and, therefore, conversion of the proposed land to trust would provide the Tribe an additional land on which to diversify its non-gaming economic development activities. The Tribe strongly realizes that there is a need for diversification in the area of investments and businesses, and the proposed conversion and building of recreation and hospitality facilities would provide the Tribe with much needed diversification. The no action alternative would result in all potential revenues from diversified businesses going unrealized, and the needed economic results would not likely be available to assist the Tribe in pursuing its stated objectives. In addition, there would be no additional employment, no purchases from non-tribal vendors and no additional visitors to Skagit County from the hospitality facility and, therefore, all the potential revenue and taxes to the non-Indian citizens of Skagit County and the State of Washington would be foregone.

#### 2.3 ALTERNATIVE 3: ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED AFTER EVALUATION

In addition to the action alternative and the no action alternative, the Tribe initially considered other alternatives that were subsequently determined to be insufficient to meet the project purposes and need. These alternatives are briefly discussed below.

#### 2.3.1 LEAVING THE PROPOSED PROJECT LAND IN FEE STATUS

In the process of developing the project scope, the Tribe considered several alternative plans for the Land, including ones that called for leaving the Land in fee status and then building the tribal recreation and hospitality facilities on land under County jurisdiction. The analysis indicated that building a recreation and hospitality project on a property owned by the Tribe but in fee status would have the following unfavorable impacts:

(i) Trust Status is a Prerequisite to Eligibility for Federal Economic Incentives and Programs

Trust status will make any economic development on the property eligible for several federal economic incentives and programs, which would not be available if the activities were to occur on land owned by the Tribe in fee status. As described in further detail below, such economic incentives and programs include accelerated depreciation, Indian employment tax credits, tax-exempt private activity bonds, and grants and services made available under the Indian Business Development Program.

(a) Accelerated Depreciation, 26 U.S.C. § 168(j).

26 U.S.C. § 168(j) permits an accelerated depreciation deduction from federal income taxes for property used in a trade or business located on trust land. See 26 U.S.C. § 168(j) (6) (B) (incorporating the definition of "Indian Reservation" from 25 U.S.C. § 1903(10), which include "lands held by the United States in trust for the benefit of any Indian tribe. . . "). For example, property that ordinarily would be deducted over a 20-year period can be deducted over a 12-year period if the business is located on trust land. Congress intended this provision to stimulate tribal economic development by making it advantageous to locate business activities on trust lands. By placing the Property in trust, the Secretary will be furthering the

Congressional policy.

(b) Indian Employment Credit, 26 U.S.C. § 45A.

26 U.S.C. § 45A provides a federal income tax credit for wages and health insurance paid by an employer to a member of an Indian Tribe. The credit is only available if the services performed by such Tribal member/employee are performed on an "Indian Reservation." For purposes of section 45A, the term "Indian Reservation" includes land held in trust by the United States for the benefit of an Indian tribe. See 26 U.S.C.§ 45A(c)(7). This provision was intended to promote tribal economic development and self-sufficiency by providing an incentive to both tribal and non-tribal employers to gainfully employ tribal members. Thus, by approving this Application, the Secretary will be furthering the Congressional policy of promoting tribal economic development and self-sufficiency.

(c) Interest Exemption for Private Activity Bonds, 26 U.S.C. § 7871(c) (3).

26 U.S.C. §7871(c) (3) provides that the interest on bonds issued for certain facilities located on qualified Indian lands is not considered income for federal tax purposes. In order to qualify under this section, the facility must be located "on land held in trust by the United States for the benefit of an Indian Tribe." 26 U.S.C.§ 7871(c)(3)(E). Again, Congress enacted this provision in order to encourage and facilitate tribal economic development and selfsufficiency; by approving the present Application, the Secretary will be carrying out these Congressional policies.

#### (d) Eligibility for Indian Business Development Program Grants

Congress has made grants available to Indian tribes under the Indian Business Development Program, 25 U.S.C. § 1521, *et seq.*, "to stimulate and increase Indian entrepreneurship and employment by providing equity capital . . . to Indian tribes to establish and expand profit-making Indian-owned economic enterprises on or near reservations." While these grants are available for activities on fee lands near reservations, the regulations implementing the Program require the Secretary to assign lower priority to enterprises located on fee lands than to enterprises located on reservation or trust lands. See 25 C.F.R. § 286.8. Accordingly, if the present fee to trust is granted, the Tribe will have a greater chance of obtaining capital for economic development on the property through the Indian Business Development Program.

#### (ii) State and Local Land Use Restrictions

The proposed project property is presently designated / zoned as Rural Reserve by Skagit County. Therefore, the proposed project, as a commercial and business venture, would not be allowed under County regulations if the Land remains in fee status under County jurisdiction.

As a result of the State of Washington Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A, any application for a change of the Skagit County Comprehensive Plan and zoning designations would be time consuming, costly, and the ultimate result would be in doubt. At best, it would add substantial costs to the project and would not guarantee that the Tribe could diversify its economic base to meet its future employment and economic needs.

#### 2.3.2 UTILIZING CURRENT TRUST LAND AT THE BOW HILL RESERVATION

All of the Tribal trust land on the Bow Hill Reservation parcel is currently utilized for economic purposes and sufficient land to accommodate further economic diversification at this location is not available. The approximately 16 acres surrounding the existing Casino contains the Tribe's Skagit Resort Hotel and adjacent parking for the Casino and Hotel. The approximately 9-acre area of the Bow Hill Reservation parcel located to the north and adjacent to the Thousand Trails property contains employee and overflow parking and storage units for furniture, fixtures, equipment and gaming records that cannot be accommodated at the Casino or Hotel. In addition, the existing trust property could not accommodate both the waterpark and hotel and additional associated parking necessary to allow for the occupancy needs of the new economic development project. Thus, the existing trust land at the Bow Hill Reservation is physically unsuitable as an alternative.

## 3.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

This section of the Environmental Assessment addresses the existing environmental setting within which the proposed Project is located. In general, the potential impacts of the Project on the environment as well as project mitigation are discussed in Section 4.

#### 3.1 GEOLOGY, TOPOGRAPHY AND SOILS

The proposed fee to trust project area is located in the Central Puget Lowland, which is part of a regional north- south trending trough. In western Washington, the Puget Lowland is bordered on the west by the Olympic Mountains and on the east by the Cascade Mountains. Locally, the Central Puget Lowland includes areas along Interstate 5 from Bellingham, WA through Skagit County.

The proposed fee to trust site is located off I-5 at Exit 236 (Bow Hill Road) approximately 10 miles from Mt. Vernon, WA and 20 miles from Bellingham, WA. The proposed fee to trust parcels are located immediately adjacent to the Skagit Valley Casino Resort, consisting of the casino facility and a 103-room hotel with adjacent parking. Across Bow Hill Road and immediately adjacent to the site are additional commercial properties consisting of the Skagit Ridge Hotel and the Bow Hill Gas and Food Mart.

The Skagit County Assessor's tax parcel numbers for the proposed fee to trust project area are:

Parcel # P35839	[8.61 acres located in the southwest portion of the Land]
Parcel # P123324	[21.11 acres located in the southeast portion of the Land]
Parcel # P50416	[41.52 acres located in the central portion of the Land]
Parcel # 119078	[0.58 acres located in the eastern portion of the Land]
Parcel # P50414	[61.95 acres located in the northern portion of the Land]
Parcel # P50500	[0.36 acres located in the northeast portion of the Land]

The above parcels include the vacated portion of East Darrk Lane. (See the Record of Survey included herewith as Appendix E) In 2007, GeoEngineers, Inc. completed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the Land. This Phase I ESA was updated in 2010 (GeoEngineers, 2010) and is included as Appendix B.

#### 3.1.1 SITE GEOLOGY

Bedrock in the vicinity of the project area consists primarily of igneous, sedimentary, and inclamorphic rocks of pre-Devonian to Pliocene age. The rocks are complexly folded and faulted, and are exposed as hills above the predominantly flat ground surface in the general site vicinity. Except where exposed, the bedrock was overlain by glacial deposits from the Fraser Glaciations of about 10,000 to 20,000 years ago (Shannon and Wilson, Inc., 1994). The project area was heavily glaciated by a minimum of three ice flow events that inundated the area.

#### 3.1.2 TOPOGRAPHY

The project area (see Figure 3-1) consists of six parcels totaling approximately 134.13 acres, located on a gently sloped upland terrace ranging from 245 to 265 feet above sea level. The topography of the southwest portion of the property (8.61 acres) is generally leveled with a slight slope towards Bob Smith Creek. The adjoining south-east portion of the project area (21.11 acres) is partially wooded; however, the southern portion and a "swath" along Darrk Lane and an area on the top of the slope along Bow Hill Road were cleared about 10 years ago and are currently dominated by young red alder (Alnus rubra). This portion of the land has an overall slope to the west/northwest, and the southeastern portion that abuts Bow Hill Road is steeply sloped to the south. The adjoining central and eastern portion of the project area (41.52 and 0.58 acres) has small forested areas and was logged and partially cleared within the last 10 years. The overall slope is to the southwest and west. The adjoining north and northeast portion of the

project area (61.95 and 0.36 acres) is surrounded by a mix of recently logged forested land, limited residential acreage on the southeast and, on the west, commercial businesses (Tribal casino, parking lot, etc). The western portion of the northern parcel is nearly level with hummocky surface topography. The eastern edge of the parcel consists of a steep slope that slopes to Highway 99 and Friday Creek. The parcel was logged and replanted sometime within the past 10 years. (ATSI, 2006)

#### S.E.3 SOILS

The predominant soil type on the project area, as mapped by the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), is Skipopa silt loam (NRCS, 1989). This very deep, somewhat poorly drained soil is found on terraces. The permeability of Skipopa soils is very slow, and the water storage capacity is very high. Effective rooting depth is limited by a perched water table that is at a depth of approximately 12 to 24 inches from October to June. Runoff is slow and hazard of water erosion is slight. (NRCS, 1989).

A geotechnical engineering evaluation was performed by John A. Pinner & Associates (1993) on the adjacent Reservation site and is applicable to the project site. They encountered a surface layer of approximately 6 inches of moist brown organic clay, underlain by stiff, moist-towet brown clay to approximately 15 feet below land surface. Below this lies wet, firm-to-stiff blue-gray silty clay to a depth of at least 35 feet. In 2007, GeoEngineers, Inc. prepared a site-specific geotechnical engineering report addressing the potential impacts of the Project and providing recommendations pertaining to project construction. Through their investigation, GeoEngineers identified a thick layer of stiff glacial till located below the layers of clay described in the Pinner report (GeoEngineers, 2007).

#### 3.2 CLIMATE

The Bow Hill area has a temperate climate that receives a significant nautical influence from the Pacific Ocean. The moderating influence of ocean air, combined with seasonal air circulation patterns, generally results in warmer winter and cooler summer temperatures in western Washington than in interior areas (Jackson and Kimerling, 1993). The lowlands bordering Puget Sound have relatively moist, mild winters and dry, sunny summers. Daily January maximum temperatures in the Bow Hill area are typically above 40° Fahrenheit (F), while July maximums usually range from 60° to 80°F.

The amount and type of precipitation in Skagit County varies considerably from west to east, as a result of the mountain influences on climate. The total annual precipitation measured just south of the project area in Mount Vernon averages approximately 32.65 inches per year (Economic Development Association of Skagit County, 2010). Nearly the entire annual precipitation total falls as rain. Rains are frequent during the fall, winter, and spring, particularly from November through April. In the summer, rainfall is generally light and several weeks often pass without precipitation. The average annual snowfall is 7 inches, and there is at least 1 inch of snow on the ground on approximately 4 days per year.

The prevailing wind direction is from the southwest. Wind speeds are highest during the winter months. During most winters, the Bow Hill area experiences one or two storms that brings strong and sometimes damaging winds. There is general agreement among the scientific community that some changes to climate patterns in the Pacific Northwest are likely to occur due to the cumulative effects of greenhouse gas emissions. Such changes could include more frequent and more intense storm events and increased air and water temperatures (Washington Department of Ecology 2010).

#### 3.3 WATER RESOURCES

#### 3.3.1 SURFACE HYDROLOGY

All of the Land, including the Project area, is within the drainage basin of the Samish River; however, no portion of the Land is located in a 100-year floodplain as mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The headwaters area of the Samish River is located northeast of Wickersham in Whatcom County, about 10 miles northeast of the Bow Hill Reservation. The river flows to the south and west for approximately 35 miles, draining into Samish Bay near the community of Edison.

Friday Creek, which flows out of Samish Lake, is a principal tributary. The project area is located approximately one (1) mile west of Friday Creek and one (1) mile northwest of the Samish River at an elevation that is approximately 265 feet above the river. Just west of the proposed project site is Bob Smith Creek, which originates south of the existing trust land location of the adjacent Bow Hill Reservation and Skagit Resort facility and through the southwest portion of the Land. See Figure 3-3.

On-site surface drainage on the project area, including the headwaters of Bob Smith Creek, is provided from surrounding surface and subsurface runoff and direct precipitation (Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. [ATSI], 1993 and 2006).

The topography of the project area directs up-gradient surface drainage, and probably subsurface drainage, toward the southern part of the forested wetlands on the western portion of this area. Here, runoff flows into Bob Smith Creek, a seasonal surface water run-off stream. The majority of the on-site wetlands are hydrologically interconnected, with drainage directed into the seasonal stream.

Past actions, such as the construction of Interstate 5, Darrk Lane, and associated ditches and culverts, have altered the original hydrology of the project area (ATSI, 1993 and 2006). Interstate 5 has interrupted up-gradient flow from the west and has either diverted it completely away from the project area or, as in the case of drainage to the east from Interstate 5, directed it into specific outlets onto the adjacent Reservation site.

Bob Smith Creek is a tributary of the Samish River. Drainage records for Bob Smith Creek are not available, due to the small size and seasonal nature of the stream. Based on previous, but unchanged, unit area flow comparisons with the Samish River and Friday Creek, staff from the Skagit System Cooperative (SSC) Natural Resources department estimated the mean annual flow of Bob Smith Creek at less than 1.4 cubic feet per second (cfs) (letter from R.G. LaRock, SSC, July 20, 1994). In years 1995-96, during the construction of the gaming facility on Bow Hill Reservation, Bob Smith Creek was left undisturbed. Similarly there will be no impacts on Bob Smith Creek during or as a result of the proposed project.

#### 3.3.2 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater can be found in up to three aquifer zones in the vicinity of the project area. A shallow, often perched aquifer occurs in some locations, but is not present near the parcels proposed for trust status. It appears that an aquifer between 10 and 70 feet in elevation is the regional aquifer (Shannon and Wilson, Inc., 1994). This unit is generally referred to as the middle aquifer by local well drillers, and many wells in the area are finished in this zone. Infiltration of precipitation recharges the aquifer; groundwater then probably flows toward, and discharges to, the Strait of Georgia. Though precipitation is an important source of recharge, it appears that much of the recharge to the aquifer comes as seepage from the up-gradient Samish and Whatcom Lakes. An underlying aquifer generally exists between -1 and -100 feet elevation (Shannon and Wilson, Inc., 1994). This is a saturated unit of inter-layered sand, gravel, and clay, which is occasionally tapped by wells. This water-bearing zone, for purposes of this EA, is termed "the deep aquifer." It is believed that the unit is more or less laterally continuous. The middle and deep aquifers may not be distinct and a degree of hydraulic connection between the two probably exists. Aquifers in the project area are essentially shielded by the deep clay layer below the land surface (Pinner and Associates, 1993).

As is the case for the adjacent Skagit Valley Casino Resort, the proposed fee to trust project will not rely upon or use ground water as the source for its water uses. The project will be hooked up to the same water source as the casino facility, the 12-inch public water main that was installed previously for the Skagit Valley Casino Resort prior fee to trust project.

#### 3.3.3 WATER QUALITY

Water quality in the streams in the Bow Hill area is generally good. The Samish River and, although not designated directly, its tributary Friday Creek have been addressed under the State of Washington's surface water quality classification system (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173- 201A-602).

Comprehensive data on existing water quality in Bob Smith Creek are currently unavailable. Runoff from Interstate 5 drains through the Reservation and probably contributes unknown levels of constituents such as gasoline and motor oil to Bob Smith Creek. (SSC, 1994 as updated by personal communication with Doreen Maloney, Upper Skagit Natural Resources Department 2010). The existing Skagit Resort development includes stormwater management facilities to treat and detain stormwater from on-site parking areas and other impervious surfaces designed to reduce water quality impacts to Bob Smith Creek.

#### 3.4 VEGETATION

The project area supports mixed upland coniferous/deciduous forest, palustrine forested wetlands, palustrine scrub-shrub wetlands, and palustrine emergent wetlands (ATSI, 1993 and 2006). The eastern half of the southwestern portion of the project area is a mowed field that is maintained throughout the summer. The north and northeast portion of the project area is forested with upland mixed coniferous and deciduous forest. Vegetation in the upland areas is discussed below. The wetlands are discussed separately in Section 3.5.

#### 3.4.1 UPLAND FOREST COMMUNITY

Some parts of Land were logged by previous landowners. Much the logged upland portion of the project area has naturally regenerated as deciduous forest (ATSI, 1993 and 2006). The dominant, regenerating, canopy species in this area are black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera*) and red alder (*Alnus rubra*). Understory species include vine maple (*Acer circinatum*), salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*), elderberry (*Sambucus racemosa*), sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), cascara (*Rhamnus purshiana*), and lady fern (*Althyrium felix-femina*). Several facultative wet species were found within the disturbed areas of the uplands. The remaining upland vegetation is similar to that found in the upland areas of the eastern portion of the parcel, which support a coniferous forest with an average age of 45 years. The canopy is predominantly composed of western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*) interspersed with red alder and Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*).

The north and the northeast portions of the project area were logged by the previous landowner and replanted with Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) within approximately the last fifteen years. Typical upland vegetation of the north and northeast portions of the project consist of red alder (*Alnus rubra*), big leaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), western red cedar (*Thuja* 

plicata), salmonberry (Rubus spectabilis), red elderberry (Sambucus racemosa), sword fern (Polystichum munitum), and trailing blackberry (Rubus ursinus) (ATSI, 2006).

#### 3.5 WETLANDS

The results of the wetland reconnaissance performed by ATSI (2006) show that a number of wetlands are located in the project area. See Figure 3-2. The southwestern portion of the project area contains Palustrine emergent seasonally flooded/saturated (PEME) wetlands with a seasonal stream within a ravine. Palustrine forested scrub-shrub seasonally flooded to saturated wetlands and Palustrine emergent seasonally flooded/saturated (PEME) wetlands were also present in the central portions of the project area. The north and the northeast portions of the project area contain Palustrine forested seasonally flooded (PFOC) wetland-upland complex. (ATSI, 2006)

ATSI (2006) rated the overall functional value of the Palustrine emergent seasonally flooded to saturated wetland on the southern portion of the project as low. The only wetland function to have a high value was the enhancement potential. This was in spite of the wetland being located in a very disturbed, but heavily vegetated area. The remaining functions received values of moderate to low. Using the Washington Department of Ecology's Wetland Rating System, the Palustrine emergent seasonally flooded to saturated wetlands located in the southwestern portion of the site are categorized as Category II wetland, because they have a high potential for enhancement, have well-established buffers, are small in size, have experienced disturbance, and contain some invasive or non-native plant species. Palustrine forested scrubshrub seasonally flooded to saturated wetlands and Palustrine emergent seasonally flooded to saturated wetlands located in the central portions of the project area have low to moderate overall value. The enhancement potential for the PEME wetlands is high because they are dominated by non-native pasture vegetation. A Palustrine forested seasonally flooded (PFOC) wetland-upland complex throughout the northern and northeastern portions of the project area have moderate to high overall value. These wetlands have moderate to high potential and opportunity for flood and storm drainage protection because they are within depressions and swales over a large area, and comprise the headwaters of Bob Smith Creek. The wetlands also have moderate opportunity and high potential to improve water quality because not all of the impervious surface area runoff in the area is treated and the wetlands have a well developed herbaceous layer (ATSI, 2006).

#### 3.6 FISH AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES

Three surface watercourses are located in the general vicinity of the project area. See Figure 3-3. The headwaters of Bob Smith Creek are located at the northern boundary of the project site. The surface water runoff from the area and the runoff from I-5 constitute water sources for Bob Smith Creek. The upper portion of Bob Smith Creek is seasonal and does not support fish. The lower reaches of the creek, which drains to the Samish River, support salmonids. Friday Creek, a tributary to the Samish River located 0.5 to 1 mile east of the Reservation, supports Coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch), chinook (0. tshawytscha), and chum salmon (0. keta) (ATSI, 2010). Salmon present in the lower reaches of Bob Smith Creek likely include one or more of the species found in Friday Creek. Based on field investigation in March 2004, Coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch) and chum salmon (0. keta) were observed in the upper reaches of Bob Smith Creek south of Bow Hill Road approximately 0.25 miles south of the Land (Personal communication Douglas Couvelier, Tribal Biologist, January 10, 2006). In addition, culverts where Bow Hill Road and Darrk Lane cross the creek (both of which are located downstream from the proposed hospitality facility) are barriers to fish passage. The Bow Hill area, including the Land, provides habitat for a variety of wildlife species, including black- tailed deer *(Odocoileus hemionus columbianus)*, small mammals, birds, amphibians, and reptiles. The common species that typically occur in the vicinity of the Land or in similar settings are listed in Appendix C.

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) was contacted in February, 2005 and October, 2008 to obtain a list of sensitive and listed species and their habitats that are known to occur or could occur on the Land / project area contiguous to the Bow Hill Reservation. The maps only list the known presence of salmonids within Bob Smith Creek, up to Bow Hill Road.

Although no longer listed as a threatened species under the federal Endangered Species Act, Bald eagles continue to be of importance to local tribes for cultural reasons. No known eagle nests are present on the Land. The nearest known bald eagle nesting area is approximately 2 miles away and the nearest area where eagles are known to congregate is over 5 miles from the Land. (WDFW, 2005 as confirmed by WDFW letter dated January 27, 2007 and ATS1, 2010).

#### 3.7 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

Threatened and endangered species or their habitat do not occur on the Project site. This finding was confirmed by ATSI through site investigations in 2005 and 2006. In addition, ATSI prepared a Biological Assessment that found that the Project would have "No Effect" on threatened and endangered species, their habitat and essential fish habitat (ATSI 2010). See Appendix D. Previously, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) indicated that the marbled murrelet *(Brachyramphus marmoratus)*, and northern spotted owl *(Strix occidentalis caurina)* may occur in the vicinity of the Bow Hill Reservation (letter from D. Fredrick, FWS). These findings were confirmed in the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) report

dated February 2005. These species are listed as threatened under the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA). Previously, the Tribe consulted with Federal and State resource agencies concerning the likely presence of these species, or suitable habitat for these species, on the Bow Hill Reservation and in the Bow Hill area. After reviewing species listed by federal and state agencies and as a result of site-specific investigations of the Land, ATSI (2010) concluded that neither endangered, threatened, nor sensitive species nor their habitat occur on the Land or within the Project area. As a result, the Tribe has confirmed that there are no issues of concern related to threatened or endangered species or their habitat in the area potentially affected by the Project.

The FWS also identified four species that are candidates for listing under ESA and potentially occur in the area: northern goshawk (Accipter gentilis), the mountain quail (Oreortyx pictus), California red-legged frog (Rana aurora draytoni), and spotted frog (Rana pretiosa). All occurrences of these species are considered priority areas (letter from ATSI, September 7, 1994). After recent investigation, there was no evidence that the project lands contained such candidate species (ATSI 2006).

Twenty-one endangered, threatened, or sensitive (ETS) plant species (including four monitor species) have been recorded within Skagit County. Of those only the ground-pine, boreal bedstraw, and branching montia are found in habitats similar to those of the Bow Hill area. Field investigations conducted on the Bow Hill Reservation and contiguous lands (including the Land) revealed no evidence that any of these twenty-one species or any other ETS plant species are located on the project lands contiguous to and adjacent with the Bow Hill Reservation (letter from ATSI, December 11, 1994 and ATSI 2006).

#### 3.8 SOCIOECONOMIC

The proposed hospitality facility would affect socioeconomic conditions both for the Tribe and the surrounding Skagit Valley community. To provide a context for the larger area of socioeconomic influence, this section summarizes existing conditions for Skagit County as a whole. While some of the project's socioeconomic effects would be felt beyond the county boundaries, Skagit County represents the main local jurisdiction (non-Indian country) in which these effects would be most noticeable.

The most immediate positive impact on the socioeconomic conditions in Skagit County would be garnered through the construction jobs and vendors services to be provided by and available to Tribal members, Skagit County businesses and Skagit County residents. Following completion, the hospitality facilities project will provide approximately 350 jobs for Tribal members and citizens of Skagit County and will purchase more than \$12,539,000 worth of goods and services annually (Doolittle, 2009). Moreover, the waterpark facility will provide indoor, year round recreational facilities for the citizens of Skagit County.

#### 3.8.1 POPULATION

The population of Skagit County has been growing steadily for several decades. The county population increased by 24 % between 1980 and 1990 and 19 % between 1995 and 2005. The population in 2005 was estimated to be 110,900 and in 2009 to be 118,900 (Washington State Office of Finaucial Management, 2009a). The relatively rapid growth has been primarily attributed to in-migration, in part because the County is increasingly serving as a bedroom community for Everett and surrounding areas.

Skagit County's overall population density is moderately low, about 68.5 people per square mile in 2009 (Washington State OFM, 2009b), primarily because there are large,

unpopulated tracts of federal lands in the eastern part of the County. Approximately 57 % of the county's population live in incorporated towns and cities that are primarily located in the western part of the County.

The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe has an enrolled membership of 1,013 persons. 151 Tribal members (approximately 15 %) live on the Helmick Road Reservation, and a total of 365 Tribal members (approximately 36%) live in Skagit County. An additional 186 Tribal members (approximately19%) live in the adjacent counties of Snohomish and Whatcom counties. Thus, a total of 551 Tribal members, or over 54% of the total Tribal membership, live either on the Helmick Reservation or in the surrounding area and regularly access Tribal services and would benefit from increased employment opportunities that would result from the proposed recreation and hospitality facility. (J. Washington, USIT Administration, 2010)

The 76 on-Reservation homes at the Upper Skagit Community on Helmick Road house approximately 196 people. Of these, approximately 151 are Tribal members while 24 are other Native Americans and 21 are non-members that live in an Upper Skagit household (personal communication, Jennifer Washington, Upper Skagit Administration, 2010).

#### 3.8.2 EMPLOYMENT

The Skagit County unemployment rate in 1994 was 9.9 %. In the year 2005 the unemployment rate fell to 5.8 %, which was similar to the State unemployment rate that year of 5.5 % (EDASC, November 2005). Total employment in Skagit County in November 2005 was 55,090. As of October 2009, the Skagit County unemployment rate is 9.2 % and the Washington State unemployment rate is 8.8 % (EDASC, 2010).

Overall, trade, government, and services are the largest economic sectors in Skagit County in terms of employment. Employment in services, trade, and construction grew rapidly
between 1995 and 2005. Manufacturing employment fell largely as a result of changes in the forest products industry. (Washington State Employment Security Department 2005).

BIA Labor Force Statistics for 2003 show the total Tribal labor force at 200 persons (including members living on and off the Reservation). Of these, 112 (56 %) were employed, and 88 (44 %) were unemployed. However, a substantial number of the employed Tribal members hold seasonal or low-paying jobs. The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Labor Force Reports from April of 2010 indicate that the Tribal unemployment rate has decreased to approximately 36 %, which is still substantially higher than the unemployment rates in Skagit County of Washington State noted above.

A total of 93 individuals are currently employed in on-Reservation (Helmick) jobs. Of the 93 Tribal government jobs, 53 are held by non-members and 40 are held by Tribal members (personal communication from Jennifer Washington, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Administration, 2010). In addition, the number of individuals currently employed at the Bow Hill Reservation includes 25 Tribal members and 463 non- Indians (personal communication with Sharon Cochrane, Skagit Resort Human Resources Director, 2010). (The proposed hospitality facility project will have the added benefit of not requiring a gaming license of employees and, therefore, an additional category of Tribal members and non-Indians will be available for the work force.)

## 3.8.3 INCOME

The median income for a household in Skagit County is \$42,381. Males have a median income of \$37,207 versus \$26,123 for females. The per capita income for the county is \$21,256. 11.10% of the population and 7.90% of families are below the poverty line. Out of the total

population, 13.5% of those under the age of 18 and 6.8% of those 65 and older are living below the poverty line. (Washington State Employment Security 2005).

Bureau of Census income data are not available for the Tribal membership as a whole. The best information about on-Reservation and local area household income is from Upper Skagit Housing Department (2009) resident statistics. Per 2009 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant Program criteria, on-Reservation family or household income distribution is as follows:

Extremely Low Income	57 %
Very Low Income	18 %
Low Income	15 %
Above Low Income	10 %

The Upper Skagit Helmick Reservation can be characterized as impoverished. Unemployment of Tribal members living locally is estimated at 36 % (USIT, 2010), 57 % of local Tribal households earn less than \$15,000 per year, and 57.1 % of local Tribal households are considered to be of extremely low income. (Upper Skagit Housing Department 2009). These figures represent a positive impact / increase in the economic welfare of Tribal members as a result of the Skagit Valley Casino Resort. The addition of the proposed hospitality facility will provide for additional employment and additional income for members of the Tribe.

## 3.9 LAND USE

The Land, which is adjacent and contiguous to the Bow Hill Reservation, is located near Interstate 5 in a mostly rural area of western Skagit County (See figure 3-4). The Land has seen limited development in the past. One structure is present on the Land, a storage building that was constructed after 1978 and has metal siding. Based on an inspection of the building no materials were identified as potentially containing either lead-based paint or asbestos (Pillar To Post, 2010).

Land use in the Bow Hill area includes commercial, agriculture, transportation, commercial recreation, recreational vehicle (RV park/camp ground and a motor speedway), forestry and scattered rural residence (see figure 3-4). In 1994 and 2000, Skagit County, in its Comprehensive Land Use Plan, designated those lands within its jurisdiction (non-Indian, off-Reservation fee lands) in the Bow Hill area for rural use, with the exception of two commercial parcels on the northwest and southwest corners of the Bow Hill interchange. This designation mirrors the County's past ten-year land use patterns for the area.

In 1990, as part of the Tribe's land consolidation and economic development planning process, the Tribal Council designated the Bow Hill trust properties, including the Bow Hill Reservation and adjacent dependent non-reservation Indian Trust land over which the Tribe asserts land use authority, for general business/commercial use and economic development purposes (see figure 3-4 and figure 3-1).

The Thousand Trails, Inc. campground located approximately 0.5 mile north of the Land is a 280-acre, commercial camping facility consisting of 230 campsites and RV spaces. The Tribe's Bow Hill Reservation adjacent to and contiguous with the Land contains the Tribe's hotel and gaming facility. With the above exceptions, all other lands immediately to the north and south of the Land are either commercial properties, vacant woodlots or unused pasture land. To the east, there is a small 9-lot housing development (the Tribe now owns one of the lots adjacent to the Land), but it is principally downhill from the Land and not likely to be disturbed by the proposed use of Land. A vacant parcel (formerly a retail seafood business) is located in the northwest quadrant of Exit 236 (across the freeway from the Land and the Bow Hill Reservation). Near this business are four homes located along Bow Hill Road. With these additional exceptions, all the lands to the west and southwest of the proposed hospitality facility are either vacant forestlands or undeveloped cleared acreage. The Tribe owns all four corner parcels adjacent to the Bow Hill interchange of I-5. (See Figure 3-5 for Tribal land holdings, fee and trust lands.)

Across Bow Hill Road from the Bow Hill Reservation is a tribally owned gas station / convenience store and a small 41 unit hotel. Both of these enterprises are located on fee Iand and required substantial time and expense to obtain approval from the County for construction. The Tribe, furthermore, has always maintained a good relationship with Skagit County and utilizes these businesses to provide tax dollars to the State and County and employment for County residents.

As part of the Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, GeoEngineers (2010) documented their review of a search of federal, state, local and tribal lists and databases of sites with previous and current, known or suspected environmental concerns within and around the project area. This review included a search of sites listed as toxic or hazardous waste sites and Superfund sites. The results of this review show that the Land is not identified in any of the lists or databases ads as the site of a known environmental concern. One site located approximately 600 feet northwest of the Land was identified as the site of a previous fuel release in 1991 at the Thousand Trails Campground; however, the review indicated that this site had been cleaned up by 1995. On this basis, this adjacent site was not considered to be of significant concern (GeoEngineers, 2010).

#### 3.10 TRANSPORTATION

#### 3.10.1 ROADS

Transportation access to the Land and the Bow Hill Reservation is provided by paved local roads in the northeast quadrant of the Interstate 5 interchange at Bow Hill Road (Figure 3-6). Bow Hill Road provides the sole access to the Land, with access thereby to Darrk Lane. Interstate 5, a four-lane, controlled-access freeway, abuts the Reservation and the adjacent and contiguous Land on the west.

Bow Hill Road is a two-lane Skagit County road that crosses Interstate 5 south and west of the proposed development, and is classified as a major collector arterial. It is the only access to Darrk Lane and the Land. Bow Hill Road at Darrk Lane has a left turn lane and traffic light.

Darrk Lane is a 30-foot wide, two-lane asphalt road that intersects Bow Hill Road approximately 900 feet southeast of the Bow Hill Reservation. Darrk Lane was built in 1981 under a 60-foot road and utility easement agreement between the Tribe, surrounding Indian landowners, and Thousand Trails, Inc.

Major improvements to Darrk Lane and Bow Hill Road occurred in 1995 at the time of the construction of the Tribe's casino. In addition, the Tribe has just completed a road relocation project for Darrk Lane, which not only provides additional, closer and safer parking for customers at the Skagit Valley Casino Resort, but makes the traffic flow on Darrk Lane better and cases traffic conditions adjacent to the proposed hospitality facility project site.

## 3.10.2 ROADWAY CONDITIONS

Speed limits are 70 mph on Interstate 5, 35 mph on Bow Hill Road, 25 mph on Darrk Lane, and 35 mph on most other roadways in the local area. Pavement quality on both Bow Hill Road and Darrk Lane is generally good. The principal traffic controls within the immediate Bow Hill area are 3 marked stops where the I-5 exit ramps and Darrk Lane enter Bow Hill Road and the traffic light at Darrk Lane and Bow Hill Road.

Skagit County has improved the Bow Hill area through: (1) safety and traffic volume improvements to the intersection of Bow Hill Road and Darrk Lane; and (2) the reconstruction of Bow Hill Road just east of the Darrk Lane intersection.

The purpose of the former was to improve the deficiencies in sight distances at the subject intersection and allow for safer left turn traffic. The latter was to stabilize slopes on Bow Hill Road east of Darrk Lane to Old Highway 99 and to correct pavement failure problems in that area.

Comprehensive traffic accident data for Bow Hill Road are not available. For the 4-year period from 1992 to 1996, Bow Hill Road had two injury accidents per year at the Interstate 5 interchange. This is not an uncommon accident rate for a mostly rural area (personal communication with P. Budrow, Upper Skagit Tribal Police, 2010).

## 3.10.3 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Previously, the Tribe conducted a traffic study for the Bow Hill Reservation parcel adjacent to and contiguous with the project site during the summer of 1994 (Entranco, 1994). The purpose of the study was to assess the current traffic volumes and movements in the Bow Hill area and to further anticipate impacts of economic developments on the Bow Hill Reservation. The study relied upon available traffic data and other information and reports provided by the Tribe, WSDOT, and Skagit County. These data were supplemented by field investigation and office studies conducted by the consultant.

The 1994 average daily traffic volume on Interstate 5 at Exit 236 (Bow Hill Road) was estimated to be 27,500 (Entranco, 1994). Skagit County reported an average annual daily traffic

on Bow Hill Road of 1,300 vehicles and a peak hour volume of 130 vehicles between Interstate 5 and Old 99 North. The weekly daily traffic volume on Darrk Lane was estimated at 550 vehicles (Entranco, 1994).

The traffic study showed p.m. peak hour directional volumes and intersection turning movement volumes. The current and projected 1995 without hospitality facility peak hour was determined to be from 4:30 to 5:30 p.m. Casual observations, plus the count data, indicated that weekday truck and recreational vehicle traffic falls off sharply after 4:00 p.m.

Figure 3-6 from the 1995 Environmental Assessment prepared by the Tribe illustrates the projected summer Friday p.m. peak hour traffic volumes in the project vicinity presented in the Entranco report. These volumes are based on a 10 % increase applied to the observed 1994 volumes to adjust to a Friday basis, plus a 5 % increase to project the latter forward to the summer of 1995 (USIT, 1995). The Friday analysis provides a conservative assessment of traffic impacts for the hospitality facility (a worst-case scenario for 1995).

A level of service (LOS) analysis was made for the 1995 without casino and hospitality facility p.m. peak hour volumes (typical summer Friday peak case). LOS is a grading system that indicates the level of congestion and delay at intersections and along roadways. LOS calculations show that the stopped approaches and main route left -turn movements at the four study intersections on Bow Hill Road would likely operate at LOS A for the 1995 summer Friday p.m. peak hour without the proposed hospitality facility. The LOS grading system applied here and the resulting LOS determination did not take into account the limited east-west sight distance at the Darrk Lane and Bow Hill Road intersection (letter from D. Sheridan, Engineering Division Manager, Skagit County Public Works Department, Mount Vernon, Washington, December 23, 1994) which has since been improved.

USIT BOW HILL EA SEPTEMBER 9, 2010 When the original work and calculations were made for the Tribe's casino development in 1995, the designs were set to accommodate a far larger capacity than has actually been generated by the existing casino and hotel. In 2007, the Tribe engaged a further traffic study to examine the impact of further economic development on the carrying capacity of Exit 236, Bow Hill Road and Darrk Lane. This new study was prepared by Transportation Solutions, Inc. (TSI).

The study submitted with this assessment found that projected traffic volumes including the currently proposed recreation and hospitality facility would, in general, be no greater than the traffic volumes previously studied as part of the 1995 Entranco study for the casino. The new traffic study concludes that "the proposed hotel and water park would not result in any foreseeable significant adverse impacts to the local road system that would require mitigation." (TSI, 2010).

In determining the traffic effects under the Action Alternative, the Tribe retained Transportation Solutions, Inc. (TSI 2010) to examine estimated number of customers, estimated number of employees, the likely route of both customers and employees to the site, and existing traffic conditions. Based on the traffic study (TSI 2010), it is estimated that the proposed facilities would generate approximately 99 new PM peak hour trips, approximately 79 new AM peak hour trips and at total of 988 new daily trips. In addition, the Level of Service at the Bow Hill Road / I-5 Interchange would not be adversely affected by the new facilities. As described in the Traffic Study:

The PM peak hour traffic volumes generated by the proposed hotel do not adversely affect any of the study intersections. At the Bow Hill Road at I-5 southbound ramps the southbound approach (off-ramp) increases delay by 3.2 seconds and drops from LOS-C to LOS-D.....It is perfectly acceptable to have an approach to a two-way stop-controlled intersection operate at LOS-D during the peak hour and the 3 second increase in delay would not be noticeable to the typical motorist.

#### 3.11 AIR QUALITY

Regulation of air quality in Washington State involves the cooperative efforts of Federal, State, Tribal and local government agencies. The Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) has overall statewide authority for air quality, and has primary responsibility for protecting local air quality in areas where no local authority exists. The Northwest Washington Clean Air Agency (NWCAA) has primary responsibility for air quality in Skagit and Whatcom Counties (WDOE, 1993), and therefore within the Bow Hill Area. The Tribe has not chosen to pursue this authority for the Reservation as of this date.

NWCAA and WDOE monitor ambient air concentrations for several criteria pollutants, including total suspended particulates, fine particulate matter, carbon monoxide, ozone, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide. Skagit County is classified as in attainment of the ambient air quality standards (AAQS) for all of these criteria pollutants (personal communication with A. Franzmann, NWCAA, 2010). Based on the attainment status and monitored pollutant readings well below the standards, existing air quality in the Bow Hill Area and the remainder of Skagit County can be considered generally good. Sources of air emissions, including greenhouse gases, within or near the project area include the Tribe's casino, motor vehicles, plowed fields, and burning of logging residue. The existing vegetation on the Land serves as a sink for carbon, potentially reducing the cumulative effects of greenhouse gas emissions.

## 3.12 PUBLIC SERVICES/INFRASTRACTURE

#### 3.12.1 WATER

A nearby public water system operated by Skagit County Public Utility District No.1 (PUD) has a water line running to the Bow Hill Reservation. As per the Memorandum of Agreement signed September 1996, the Skagit County Public Utility District provides 0.75 mgd water to the Upper Skagit Tribe for Bow Hill Reservation. This water is available to the Land.

#### 3.12.2 SEWER

An 8-inch sanitary sewer transmission line operated by Samish Water District serves the Bow Hill area and crosses the northern parcel of Tribal land at the Bow Hill Reservation. A 12inch force main, with over 250,000 gallons of capacity is located on the Reservation parcel and is available to the Land. Sewage is transported via this line to the City of Burlington sewage treatment facility. The City of Burlington has sufficient capacity at its sewage treatment facility to treat wastewater generated from the hospitality facility project.

#### 3.12.3 SOLID WASTE

The Land and the adjacent and contiguous Bow Hill Reservation is located within the solid waste collection territory of Rural Skagit Sanitation, a private waste hauler. Solid waste collected from the Reservation is transported to the Skagit County-owned solid waste collection station, located approximately 14 miles southwest of the Bow Hill area.

#### 3.12.4 EMERGENCY SERVICES

Police protection is afforded by the Upper Skagit Police Department. Presently there are four law enforcement officers available. The police station is located on the Tribe's Helmick Road Reservation, approximately 12 miles from the Action Alternative site. The maximum emergency response time between the Land and the Helmick Road Reservation is approximately 15 minutes (personal communication with Paul Budrow, Chief, Upper Skagit Tribal Police, Sedro-Woolley, Washington, January 6, 2010). In addition, the Skagit Valley Casino Resort maintains a security force which can assist during times of emergency. Fire and emergency response for the Land and the Bow Hill Reservation is provided by the Skagit County Fire District # 14 on a fee for service basis. Maximum emergency response times for fire and ambulance services are approximately 9 minutes (personal communication, D. Costanti, Skagit County Fire District # 14, January 12, 2010).

#### 3.12.5 POWER AND TELEPHONE

Puget Sound Energy, a private utility company, provides power to the Bow Hill Reservation, the Land and all of Skagit County. Three-phase power is located at the Reservation and available to the Land. Darrk Lane is also served by single-phase electric power. Verizon provides local telephone service to the Bow Hill Reservation and is available to the Land.

#### 3.13 RECREATION

The proposed site is near the North Cascades, Puget Sound and San Juan Islands, all prime recreation areas. In addition, Skagit County maintains 17 public parks that offer a variety of recreational opportunities. The closest park to the Land is Pomona Grange Park which is located approximately 1 mile east of the site on Old Highway 99 North. Private-sector commercial recreational facilities within an approximately 1-mile radius include the Thousand Trails campground; the Skagit Speedway (an auto racing venue), which is located north of the Land on Old Highway 99 North; a Kampgrounds of America (KOA) campground (120 sites) located south of the project site on Old Highway 99 North; and the Avalon Golf Course located approximately 2 miles south of the Land near Old Highway 99.

The Thousand Trails campground is located directly north of the Land. The 280-acre facility is open April through September and has 230 full-serviced campsites.

#### 3.14 **AESTHETICS**

The Land is currently partially developed, with roadway and utilities, and contains a mixture of vegetation types, some of which have been altered to varying degrees. The primary viewers of the Land are guests at the Tribe's Hotel and Casino on the Bow Hill Reservation and campers driving past the site on Darrk Lane to the Thousand Trails campground. The campground is adjacent to the Land on the north. Views of the Land from within the campground are screened by vegetation. The bulk of the Land cannot be seen from the campground.

Interstate 5 is located immediately west of the Land and the Bow Hill Reservation. Trees and shrubs along the edge of the right-of-way screen views of the interior of the site from Interstate 5. The Land is not visible from the former retail seafood outlet located west of Interstate 5 adjacent to Bow Hill Road, or from two nearby residences, all of which are now owned by the Tribe. Visual elements from this location toward the site include Interstate 5, the southbound Interstate 5 exit ramp to Bow Hill Road, light standards along the exit ramp, and light standards for the former retail fish outlet parking lot.

One single-family detached dwelling is situated on the east side of Darrk Lane just north of the casino parking lot. Views of the land from this residence are screened by vegetation. A small residential subdivision is located east of the Land immediately adjacent to the proposed Project site. Views of the Land from the subdivision lots is limited due to local topography which slopes down to the east from the Land.

#### 3.15 NOISE

The Land is located east of the Bow Hill Reservation which, in turn, is located east of, and adjacent to, the Interstate 5 right-of-way. Freeway traffic noise is blocked by the Casino and Hotel, but can be heard from Darrk Lane. There are currently no structures or noise sensitive receptors at the Land, nor are there any noise generating facilities. The nearest general aviation airport is located over five miles to the southwest near Bayview, Washington; and the nearest railroad is located over two miles to the south where the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company tracks cross Interstate-5 just north of Cook Road.

The Thousand Trails campground, an occupied house, and two residences west of Interstate 5 together with a subdivision to the east are the only uses or structures located within one-half mile of the proposed project that might be classified as noise sensitive. The singlefamily dwelling is located west of the Land on the east side of Darrk Lane. The properties west of Interstate 5 will not be affected by any noise component, even when the project is built. Potential noise impacts on the small residential subdivision located to the east of the Land are limited by the topography of the area, which slopes down to the east from the Land. It is the plan of the Tribe to build a noise and light landscaping / screening barrier to reduce and / or eliminate any noise or light impacts from the project site.

Noise is customarily measured in decibels (dB), units related to the apparent loudness of sound. An A-weighted decibel (dBA) represents sound frequencies that are normally heard by the human ear. On this scale, the normal range of human hearing extends from about 3 dBA to 140 dBA, with speech normally occurring between 60 and 65 dBA. A 10 dBA increase in the level of a continuous noise represents a perceived doubling of loudness, whereas a 3 dBA increase is just noticeable to most people (Hessler and Associates, Inc., 1993).

Because of the presence of Interstate 5, noise levels at the Bow Hill Reservation are higher than noise levels typically found in rural areas, which range from 40 dBA during the day to 30 dBA at night (see Table 3-1). These noise levels, however, are greatly reduced at the Land as a result of the development on the Bow Hill Reservation. Staff from the WSDOT Noise Program estimated the likely peak (Leq) daytime noise level at a site 400 feet from the center northbound lane of Interstate 5 (which is approximately the distance to the center of the Casino facility site) at 64 dBA, (personal communications with T. Coats, Washington State Department of Transportation, Olympia, Washington, July 22, 1994). The estimate was based on several assumptions involving traffic volume (average annual daily traffic of 33,000), traffic composition (91.3 % automobiles and 8.7 % trucks), speed {65 mph}, peak hour traffic volume (8.1 %), and a straight line-of-sight between the Bow Hill Reservation and the center lane of Interstate 5. In a recent contact, WSDOT staff indicated that reanalyzing this case with a lineroused freeway traffic volume (46,000) and as a result of cxisting casino and hotel facilities would result in a corresponding noise level of about 50 dBA at 900 feet from the centerline (personal communication, E. Combs, Washington State Department of Transportation, Olympia, Washington, January 12, 2006).

Table 3-1	Typical Noise	e Levels by	Type of Setting.
			, i U

Setting	Daytime	Nighttime	
Rural Areas	40 dBA	30 dBA	
Suburban Areas	45 dBA	35 dBA	
Busy Urban Areas	50 dBA	35 dBA	

Source: Duerden, 1971

# 3.16 CULTURAL RESOURCES

The Tribe retained Equinox Research and Consulting International (ERCI), to study the cultural resources potentially affecting the proposed development site. ERCI produced a report on March 8, 2005, indicating that no cultural or historic sites were identified through archival

research using state and federal database (ERCU, 2005). In preparing their report, ERCI also conducted a field investigation.

The most northerly portion of the project area has an old access road built from Old Highway 99. The timber has been cut in the last 25 years, with some original stumps left in place. A zig-zag pedestrian survey was conducted in transects from south to north and then followed the old road out and tested along a distinct landform. The survey examined a variety of locations, including without limitation, those associated with the old road, the center of the lot and south to the existing access road and buildings located in the central portion of the Land. No protected cultural material was identified. The central part of the property has been logged in the past 25 years. Much of the area was cleared after logging and some has young deciduous growth. No shovel test holes were dug as there was no significant landforms deemed of high potential for cultural resources. In much of the property, logging activities have disturbed much of the surface. With respect to the Land and adjacent properties, an unimproved road enters the central property from North Darrk Lane to access the buildings near the west side of the Land. Shovel testing was employed in this area as the southern boundary follows a distinct landform overlooking Jarman's prairie.

Bow Hill Road is part of the southern boundary and like many modern roads may have followed an old road or even older trail system. No cultural material was identified in the 16 holes dug along this landform. The rest of the adjacent southern area was covered with a pedestrian survey. This section of the property has two distinct zones. The east half is a leveled and grassed parking area and the western half is forested with a small north-south creek running through the property. The western half also had recent restoration work along the north end of the creck that includes a small gravel trail and other stream clean up. Shovel test holes were excavated along the west side of the ravine and the rest of the forested area had a pedestrian survey. No protected cultural material was identified in the shovel probes or the pedestrian survey. However, there was an interesting historic dump encountered in this area. The dump is likely related to either the old Bow Hill Road that followed the existing Darrk Lane or a use area or habitation, although no evidence of a structure was found. The refuse dated from sometime in the late 1930s or 1940s through the 1960s.

The ERCI field investigation did not identify any protected cultural resources within the area of potential effect. In addition to the above, there are no historical structures on the Land.

# 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES AND MITIGATION

Sections 4.1 through 4.16 address the environmental consequences and proposed mitigation associated with the Action Alternative. The environmental consequences of the No Action Alternative are summarized in Section 4.17, and a comparison of the environmental consequences of these two alternatives is presented in Section 4.18.

# 4.1 GEOLOGY, TOPOGRAPHY AND SOILS

The adjacent trust lands / Reservation have had significant construction activities in the past with minimal environmental consequences. The proposed Action Alternative would have no greater or more long-lasting environmental consequences that would be experienced as a result of any short-term construction project.

Based upon prior experience and the current conditions, constructing and operating the proposed project would have no impact on the underlying geology at the Land. The local topography would be altered very slightly by surface grading (cutting and filling) needed to prepare the site for construction.

Construction of the project would disturb approximately 42 acres of the land surface at the Land, and could cause a minor temporary increase in soil erosion. Soil compaction from construction equipment, clearing of vegetative cover and development of impermeable surfaces during construction would increase surface runoff from the site. However, the relatively flat topography of the site and the soil type present indicate that the natural erosion hazard would be relatively low (Pacific Survey and Engineering, 2009). In addition, a construction erosion and storm water control plan would require the use of proper erosion control practices during construction.

Approximately 25 acres of the project site would be mostly covered with impermeable surface materials after construction is complete. The addition of impermeable surfaces could increase runoff velocity and quantity, and thereby increase the potential for erosion at the site and downstream without preventive action. The storm water drainage plan for the project incorporates a series of detention ponds or swales to contain and slow runoff from the project site (per current WSDOT standards). By collecting, conveying, and slowing down water from typical 2-, 10-, and 100-year, 24-hour storm events, the potential for on-site and downstream erosion during project operation would be minimal.

The proposed recreation and hospitality facility incorporates a construction erosion and storm water control plan and a drainage plan for the project operation phase. These plans would ensure the use of appropriate erosion control best management practices (BMPs) during the construction period, including, but not limited to, development of construction access road, use of filter fences, straw bales and temporary sedimentation ponds, and permanent stabilization upon completion of construction. All impervious surfaces will discharge to and through a proposed stormwater management facility that is consistent with the engineered stormwater control plan. Furthermore, stormwater from roadways, building and parking areas, and other related aspects of the proposed facility will be tied back into the currently existing adjacent stormwater management facilities with no additional adverse impacts. No additional mitigation measures would be needed. Therefore, utilizing these best management practices, erosion and other stormwater-related impacts during construction and operation of the project are not expected to be significant.

The GeoEngineers geotechnical engineering report (2007) concluded that the Project "may be developed satisfactorily as planned with respect to geotechnical issues," provided that certain settlement and foundation considerations are incorporated into the final design. Specific footing designs and the use of pile foundations extending into stable sub-surface layers have been incorporated into project construction plans where appropriate.

#### 4.2 CLIMATE

In general, effects to climate as a result of this project are not expected to be significant in light of the small scale of the proposed hospitality facility. Furthermore, the waterpark is an enclosed, year-round facility and will not impact the climate because of its enclosed nature.

The Project could contribute to climate change based on a relatively small contribution to cumulative greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. In a Technical Memorandum (July 12, 2010), Element Solutions identified potential sources of greenhouse gas emissions associated with the Project and attempted to quantify the annual emissions resulting from the lifespan of the Project. Potential sources of emissions considered included: emissions associated with obtaining construction materials, emissions from fuels used during construction, emissions from energy used during Project operation, and emissions related to transportation by building occupants.

Element Solutions utilized the King County [Washington] Department of Development and Environmental Services SEPA GHG Emissions Worksheet "to provide an approximated assessment of GHG emissions that might be caused by the project over its lifetime." (Element Solutions, 2010). Based on its analysis of the Project, Element Solutions (2010) has estimated that the Project will produce on the magnitude of 4,100 million tons of carbon dioxide equivalency (MTCO2e) per year over the lifespan of the Project. This quantity is considerably less than the 25,000 MTCO2e figure suggested by the Council on Environmental Equality as the threshold for needing to prepare an in-depth qualitative analysis.

Based on the assessment prepared by Element Solutions (2010), the Project has the

potential to add to the cumulative contribution to global climate change. Several factors may serve to mitigate some of these potential impacts. First, the development of the recreation and hospitality facility may result in a net reduction of trip length for some customers traveling to the new facility who would otherwise need to travel greater distances to reach a similar facility. The fact that the recreation and hospitality facility will include the collocation of recreational, commercial, conference and hospitality facilities, all in close proximity to other facilities, such as the Skagit Resort, could reduce the number of trips undertaken as customers combine trips to reach a single destination with multiple attractions.

Although some vegetation will be removed from the land to develop the Project tpotentially reducing the capacity of the environment to store carbon), the great majority of existing on-site vegetation will not be disturbed and substantial re-planting of native vegetation has been proposed in conjunction with wetland mitigation and the establishment of a vegetated buffer along the eastern boundary of the Land. In addition, approximately 61 acres of undisturbed land (combined upland and wetland complex) with intact vegetation will be permanently preserved through implementation of the proposed wetland mitigation plan. Based on the assessment of annualized emissions and the potential mitigation measures included in the Project, it is likely that impacts to the environment due to increased emissions of greenhouse gases related to development of the proposed recreation and hospitality facility will not be significant.

## 4.3 WATER RESOURCES

Construction of the proposed recreation and hospitality facility would not be likely to significantly affect water quality because the site is fairly flat, and a construction storm water control plan would be implemented as part of the project. Stormwater control best management

practices (BMPs) to be used during project construction will include, but not be limited to, development of construction access road, use of filter fences, straw bales and temporary sedimentation ponds, and permanent stabilization upon completion of construction. Expected water quality effects on surface waters from operation would also be insignificant.

The facility drainage plan has been prepared by Pacific Survey and Engineering and includes a detailed storm water system designed to trap pollutants washed into the system from throughout the site (primarily parking areas). While the Tribe uses the International Building Code, the storm water system will meet both County and State standards.

The storm water detention system entails the construction of two detention/treatment ponds or swales for the site. The most practical location for these ponds is the southwest corner, east of Darrk Lane. A treatment swale system will also be constructed on the parking site east of Darrk Lane (see figure 4-1). Storm water from all developed portions of the site will be treated in these facilities before being released to downstream ditches and streams. For all practical purposes, the post- development flows will be indistinguishable from pre-development flows in both quantity and quality.

The Tribe will, pursuant to best management practices, monitor water quality conditions on and adjacent to the Land during construction and through 3 years of project operation. Monitoring will be conducted according to a water quality monitoring plan prepared by the Tribe.

## 4.4 VEGETATION

Approximately 42 acres of existing vegetation on the project site would be removed for construction of the recreation and hospitality facilities and parking areas. Upland vegetation to be removed includes regenerating deciduous trees, primarily alders with limited growth. Such

vegetation will be removed from the site without damaging adjacent vegetation. Where applicable the vegetation would be ground and / or disposed of in an environmentally appropriate manner.

Vegetation around the perimeter of the site would be left intact where possible, to limit the extent of site clearing. Organic soils removed from building foundation and parking areas during site grading would be stockpiled for later use in landscaped areas.

#### 4.5 WETLANDS

A total of approximately 4.94 acres of wetlands in the project area would be filled during construction of the project (see figure 4-2). As set forth in the Mitigation Plan prepared by Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. (2008), the Tribe will mitigate for this filling at other locations in the project area. The project will require an individual Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers permit because the total wetland fill area is over 1 acre. The siting of the construction is set forth on figure 4-2. This is the area of wetlands that would be filled. In addition, figure 4-3 shows the areas where the mitigation will occur. This mitigation consists of creating and enhancing 8.91 acres of wetlands to replace the areas filled in. The enhancement and / or creation of the wetlands will include the use of native tree and shrub vegetation that is typical of this area.

Project mitigation will also include preserving approximately 61 acres of wetland / upland complex within the Land by identifying such areas as native growth protection areas. Finally, the Tribe will insure that the wetland process will proceed in an environmentally sensitive manner to reduce the impacts from the project.

## 4.6 FISH AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES

The project would not be expected to have any adverse effects on fish resources. The upper reaches of Bob Smith Creek within the adjacent Bow Hill Reservation are seasonally dry and do not support fish. As a result of the use of retained and enhanced wetlands, constructed bio-swales and storm water collection procedures, the potential for adverse impacts to fish resources that may be present in the lower reaches of the creek would be significantly reduced. Additionally, the previous implementation of similar systems on the adjacent Reservation site supports this proposition that adverse impacts will be minimal or non-existent through erosion control, storm water retention, and water quality monitoring measures that are incorporated in project plans.

The project would result in both temporary and permanent impacts to wildlife. Construction of the project would result in the loss of minimal amounts of deciduous and coniferous forest on the Land, including approximately 4 acres of wetland wildlife habitat, which would be mitigated. Permits from both the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency will be required for the wetland disturbances. Wetland mitigation will occur on an approximate 60-acre parcel directly north of the project.

Construction and operation of the recreation and hospitality facility would also increase the level of human activity and noise at the site, leading to additional avoidance of the area by wildlife species. Some species such as black-capped chickadees, that are tolerant of human disturbance, are likely to use remaining habitat close to the facility. Other species might avoid the area entirely. Given the current high level of noise and activity near the freeway and the Casino, and the abundance of similar wildlife habitat in the vicinity of the area being displaced, the additional impact created by the proposed project is expected to be minimal.

The project would not affect primary habitat for bald eagles. As discussed in Section 3.6, the closest known bald eagle nest is approximately 1.5 miles from the project and the closest known area where bald eagles congregate is over 5 miles away. (WDFW letter January 27, 2006)

as confirmed by ATSI, 2010).

#### 4.7 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

The project would not affect primary habitats for marbled murrelets or northern spotted owls. Representatives from FWS and WDFW who visited the Bow Hill Reservation previously agreed that the hospitality facility site does not contain habitat suitable for the northern spotted owl or the marbled murrelet.

Operation of the recreational and hospitality facility would increase the human presence at the Land. Because of the habitat conditions present, however, as a result of the adjacent development and this development, birds of the above-mentioned threatened species are not likely to use the site and the project would be unlikely to affect any of the species (letter from D. C. Frederick, FWS, Olympia, Washington, September 15, 1994 and letters from J. Bernatowicz and A. G. Stendall, biologists, WDFW, July 25, 1994). The northern goshawk, spotted frog, and mountain quail do not occur on the Land or the Bow Hill Reservation. Because of habitat requirements and species use characteristics (see Section 3.7), no adverse impacts to these candidate species are expected.

No fish-bearing streams are located on the Land or on the adjacent Bow Hill Reservation. The closest fish-bearing stream is located over one-half mile from the Project site. Salmonids that are known to be present in the Samish River and in the lower reaches of Bob Smith Creck do not have access to upstream tributary and headwater areas due to the presence of a blocking culvert located at Bow Hill Road.

Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. (ATSI) prepared a Biological Assessment (BA) addressing development of the proposed recreation and hospitality facility. The BA included a "No Effect" finding, stating that the proposed development would likely have no effect on threatened or endangered species or their habitat or on essential fish habitat (ATSI, 2010).

The project would not affect primary habitats for endangered, threatened or sensitive (ETS) plant species. As discussed in Section 3.7, there is no evidence that any ETS plant species are located on the Land or the Bow Hill Reservation.

## 4.8 SOCIOECONOMICS

## 4.8.1 POPULATION

Although the Action Alternative would create approximately 350 new jobs, the recreation and hospitality facility would be expected to have little or no effect on the population of Skagit County or the Land. A portion of the jobs created would be held by the overall Tribal community, and most of the remaining jobs are expected to be filled by local residents. Skagit County has been averaging 3,400 unemployed residents per month in the second half of 2005 (EDASC, 2006) so the local labor force should be able to fill the project jobs from the existing pool of available labor. Therefore, the project is not expected to trigger significant immigration.

#### 4.8.2 EMPLOYMENT

The Action Alternative would have a significant long-term beneficial impact on the economy of the Tribe, Skagit County, and northwest Washington. These impacts would provide workers and vendors with disposable income, thereby increasing money in the overall County and State economy. Such revenues would increase the ability of the local and state entities to collect increased tax revenues and the region would benefit from further economic development and the provision of governmental services.

The proposed project would not represent such a large-scale construction effort that would have significant adverse employment-related effects on the local economy. The scale of the proposed hospitality facility is such that it would likely be one of several moderate-sized commercial developments active in western Skagit County at the time of development. The project would provide a temporary source of employment for a small fraction of the existing construction labor force in the local labor market. This labor market includes approximately 3,410 existing construction jobs in Skagit County, plus additional construction employment in adjacent areas of Snohomish and Whatcom Counties. The project would generate approximately 60 temporary construction jobs.

The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe is currently one of the largest employers in Skagit County. The Action Alternative would create about 350 new full-time operation jobs at wages comparable to or better than typical local wage levels. The new jobs at the recreation and hospitality facility would represent approximately 1.0 % of existing total employment in Skagit County and about 3.0 % of existing employment in the trade and services sectors. Most of the operations positions at the recreation and hospitality facility would likely be filled by current residents of Skagit County (both Tribal members and non-members) and nearby Whatcom and Snohomish Counties. The Tribe's employment preference policy for this project includes local residents to increase the likelihood that employment benefits remain at a local and regional level.

Overall, the project would directly create a small long-term increase in trade and services employment within the local labor market, which is considered a positive impact. The project would also indirectly support additional employment increases primarily in the trade and services sectors, through the multiplier effect. The increased payroll from employment and the increased services, including, without limitation, food and beverage vendor services would support this multiplier effect, sometimes estimated at \$4.00 to \$8.00 for every \$1.00 of expenditures or wages. The new long-term jobs created by the Action Alternative amount to approximately 10 % of the 2005 Skagit County unemployed labor force. Therefore, the operation of the project is likely providing jobs for currently unemployed workers in Skagit County and adjacent areas. Because the new employment opportunities created by the Action Alternative will be non-gaming jobs, the Action Alternative will especially benefit Tribal members and others from the local area who are unable to obtain employment in the gaming industry due to restrictions related to the need to obtain gaming licenses.

#### 4.8.3 INCOME

Construction-related jobs would produce an estimated \$25.0 million direct increase in income for construction workers, the majority of whom would come from Skagit County or elsewhere in northwestern Washington. The Tribe would use local contractors and material suppliers whenever practical and feasible. Therefore, much of the estimated \$115 million project construction cost would be spent in northwestern Washington and benefit the regional economy.

Operating the recreation and hospitality facility would have significant, long-term direct and indirect positive income effects on the local and regional economies. The economic variables that would be influenced include total personal income, labor income in various economic sectors, taxable retail sales, and sales tax revenues.

Total personal income in northwest Washington is also estimated to increase as a result of the Action Alternative by \$6 million annually during the early years of operation, and is expected to increase to approximately \$8 million by the year 2012.

While many sectors would experience growth in income as a result of the project, somewhat more than three-quarters of the total effect would be concentrated in the services sector (which includes recreation). Taxable retail sales in northwest Washington would also experience a strong positive effect. The proposed hospitality facility would directly benefit the Tribe and is expected to eliminate some of the general state of poverty and also impact the jobless rate in Skagit County, all within a fairly short period of time. These jobs within the service and hospitality industry require less-skilled workers and would also include workers who might not qualify for jobs requiring licensing at the Casino. Of the 350 jobs created by the project, a significant portion would be filled by the tribal community. Jobs created by the project would offer employment opportunities to a high percentage of the tribal community, even those with lesser job skills. The Tribe intends to use a portion of the expected net profits to assist tribal community members in, among other things, developing job skills through education, job readiness, add work experience programs.

The Project would be expected to have a positive effect on issues related to environmental justice. First of all, the Project is not located in an economically blighted area within Skagit County. Thus, the Project would not result in the siting of a major facility at a location that would result in disproportionately greater impacts on low-income or specific minority groups. More importantly, the Project will result in significant benefits to the Upper Skagit tribal community, may of whom currently live in low-income or extremely low-income households. Increased access to employment and the corresponding increase in household incomes will significantly benefit this currently disadvantaged segment of the community.

## 4.9 LAND USE

Long-term impacts to land use at the Land would result from developing approximately 42 acres for the recreation and hospitality facility from a predominantly undeveloped state. The one existing structure would be removed; however, the removal of this structure is not anticipated to result in any adverse effects because the structure does not contain potentially

hazardous materials such as lead-based paint or asbestos (Pillar To Post, 2010).

Wetland impacts as well as wetland mitigation will also result from the development. Based on the extent of the area affected, these land use changes would not represent a significant local impact.

The Action Alternative could intensify land use at the Bow Hill interchange, and could induce further development on nearby lands. However, non-tribally owned lands are subject to the Washington State Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A, which limits growth of commercial businesses outside of designated urban growth areas. These non-trust lands are also subject to local zoning, which is currently Rural Reserve and Rural Resource (Agriculture), and associated zoning regulations that do not allow commercial uses. These regulatory conditions will serve to limit additional commercial development and will effectively prohibit unplanned expansion and sprawl of commercial development in the area that might otherwise be induced by development of the Project.

In addition to the above, the Tribe owns virtually all of the lands immediately adjacent to the Bow Hill interchange. A number of these fee properties are owned by the Tribe and are already designated commercial by Skagit County. These properties would be the areas most likely affected by future land use intensification, and such commercial expansion would entirely consistent with state growth management statutes and local comprehensive plans and development regulations.

A further limiting factor for additional commercial development in the Bow Hill area is the availability of wastewater treatment service. For other than the Tribe's project, additional wastewater treatment availability at the City of Burlington may not occur until after facility expansion, expected some time after the year 2010. Skagit County designation of other lands in the immediate area as rural will also limit development because Skagit County has a policy that disallows extension of urban-level services into rural areas and sanitary sewer service is considered to be an urban-level service.

# 4.10 TRANSPORTATION

To determine the traffic effects under the Action Alternative, the Tribe retained Transportation Solutions, Inc. (TSI) to examine estimated number of customers, estimated number of employees, the likely route of both customers and employees to the site, and existing traffic conditions. Based on the traffic study (TSI, 2010), it is estimated that the proposed facilities would generate approximately 99 new PM peak hour trips, approximately 79 new AM peak hour trips and at total of 988 new daily trips. In addition, the Level of Service at the Bow Hill Road / 1-5 Interchange will not be adversely affected by the new facilities. As described in the Traffic Study:

The PM peak hour traffic volumes generated by the proposed hotel do not adversely affect any of the study intersections. At the Bow Hill Road at I-5 southbound ramps the southbound approach (off-ramp) increases delay by 3.2 seconds and drops from LOS-C to LOS-D....It is perfectly acceptable to have an approach to a two-way stop-controlled intersection operate at LOS-D during the peak hour and the 3 second increase in delay would not be noticeable to the typical motorist.

Similar or better results were found at the other approaches to the hotel and waterpark site. As a result, the Traffic Study concluded that "the proposed hotel and water park would not result in any foreseeable significant adverse impacts to the local road system that would require mitigation" (TSI, 2010). (The scheduling of hospitality facility events, customer and employee bus transportation service, and staff shift changes, will be utilized to minimize and regulate traffic effects under the Action Alternative.)

It should also be noted that the traffic volumes studied and planned for in conjunction

with development of the tribal casino were conservative (high) enough that the volumes anticipated including the new hospitality facility will, in general, be less than those previously studied and planned for. [See Figure 4-3 from the 1995 Environmental Assessment prepared by the Tribe for the casino, which shows the estimated (with casino) 1995 peak hour volumes at intersections in the project vicinity presented in the 1994 Entranco traffic study.]

In addition, the previous Bow Hill area road improvements made at the time of the construction of the Tribe's existing casino and hotel addressed increased traffic volumes and the existing Bow Hill Road / Darrk Lane Intersection sight distance limitations. Through these improvements, safe and efficient traffic operations were achieved that are sufficient for the Action Alternative. Thus, no additional mitigation related to the existing transportation system and associated infrastructure are necessary to accommodate the Action Alternative.

## 4.11 AIR QUALITY

Construction of the proposed project would result in two types of air emissions at the Land adjacent to and contiguous with the Bow Hill Reservation. Motorized construction equipment would produce small quantities of carbon monoxide, ozone, and nitrogen oxides as combustion by-products. Construction traffic and wind would also generate blowing dust from disturbed areas at the Land. Dust emissions can be controlled through regular watering of disturbed areas. Both types of emissions would be minor, highly localized, and short term. Therefore, the expected effects of project construction on air quality would not be significant in the Bow Hill area, which is in attainment of the federally mandated, ambient air quality standards or AAQS.

During operation, the existing casino and hospitality facility generate as many as 3,110 average daily trips. The introduction of this additional traffic would only marginally increase the

volume of air emissions in the vicinity of the Land and the Bow Hill Reservation. However, this increase must be considered within the context of existing traffic levels and emissions patterns. An estimated daily average of 44,000 light and heavy vehicles pass by the Land on Interstate 5 per day (WSDOT, 2007). Additional existing local daily traffic has included approximately 1,300 vehicles on Bow Hill Road, 550 vehicles on Darrk Lane, and about 3,000 vehicles on Old Highway 99 (Entranco, 1994). The local increase in traffic and vehicle emissions contributed by the hospitality facility would be small relative to the existing local levels of traffic and vehicle emissions attributable to the hospitality facility would not perceptibly decrease short- or long-term air quality in the Bow Hill area, which is in attainment of the AAQS.

See Section 4.2 Climate for a discussion of the potential impacts and proposed mitigation related to climate change and the Project's potential to contribute to cumulate emissions of greenhouse gases.

#### 4.12 PUBLIC SERVICES/INFRASTRUCTURE

The proposed recreation and hospitality facility development is not expected to have any significant adverse impacts on local public facilities and services as indicated by the appropriate public officials contacted with regard to the project (see Section 5.0 Consultation and Coordination). Skagit County Public Utility District No.1 (PUD) has available water and will provide water service to the Land via existing roads and utility right-of-ways (Letter from Skagit County Public Utility District No. 1 dated April 14, 2010). The Action Alternative is expected to use 50,000 gallons of water per day for domestic and water park use. Water utilized in the water park facility will be recycled and reused, and it is estimated that only about 4% of total water use will be lost during operation, primarily due to evaporation. The fire flows needed will be

accommodated by the one million gallon storage system currently servicing the Bow Hill Reservation parcel.

The Action Alternative would be expected to generate approximately 30,000 gallons of wastewater per day and use existing wastewater transmission lines and treatment facilities of local jurisdictions. The Tribe would connect the hospitality facility discharge to the Samish Water District's 8-inch transmission line at the project site. The Tribe has agreements for services with the local transmitter of sewage waste, Samish Water District, and the operator of the local treatment facility, the City of Burlington, with regard to access to these services. (As a result of prior agreements and available capacity, private utilities will not be affected by the project.) (Letter from Samish Water District dated April 9, 2010 and Letter from City of Burlington Sewer Department dated March 5, 2010).

Because of the size and intended construction materials and design, and the availability of required fire flows; the recreation and hospitality facility would not add measurably to the demands for local fire protection (personal communication with D. Cain, Skagit County Fire Marshal, Mount Vernon, Washington, January 13, 2010). It is anticipated that security personnel will supplement Tribal police to provide security and police functions for the proposed recreation and hospitality facility.

#### 4.13 RECREATION

Since the primary emphasis of the recreation and hospitality facility is on the indoor waterpark, its development would have minimal impacts on existing recreational facilities and activities. This facility will be unique to the Skagit County and adjacent areas. The insignificant impacts that would occur would primarily be limited to the construction period.

Based on the nature of the Action Alternative and its geographic relationship to existing

recreation resources, the Thousand Trails commercial campground is the only resource that would be affected. Other recreation facilities in the general project area operated by Skagit County or the private sector would not be affected by the project. Impacts at the Thousand Trails campground would primarily consist of minor disturbance and inconvenience during construction of the project. Construction traffic, noise, and dust would be noticed by campers driving past the construction site to the Thousand Trails campground, and brief travel delays might be encountered during selected types of construction activities. Campers at the Thousand Trails campground will also likely benefit from the Action Alternative due to the anticipated day-use policy that will allow those campers staying at the campground to access the waterpark Evolutions even though they are not guests at the hotel.

#### 4.14 **AESTHETICS**

The 125,000 square foot hospitality facility would be sited in the eastern part of the project area east of Darrk Lane. The building would be designed to provide an aesthetically pleasing complement to the existing structures built on the Bow Hill Reservation. The hospitality facility would be surrounded on the west and south sides by parking areas and landscaping. The design of the recreation and hospitality facility will substantially lower its visual profile. Two out of the proposed five stories included in the tallest portion of the structure will be constructed below the current grade of the site. Thus, when viewed from the east, the structure will appear no taller than a three-story building.

The recreation and hospitality facility would be visible from Darrk Lane, but not visible from Bow Hill Road or I-5. The development on the Reservation adjacent to the recreation and hospitality facility has already created a commercial visual image and the recreation and hospitality facility would not change the visual character of the parcel. The recreation and hospitality facility would not be visible from the former retail seafood outlet and two nearby residences located west of Interstate 5 (all of which are owned by the Tribe), nor to motorists driving on the Bow Hill Road overpass.

The primary viewers of the Land are guests at the Tribe's Hotel and Casino on the Bow Hill Reservation, campers driving past the site on Darrk Lane to the Thousand Trails campground, and residents of the adjacent subdivision located to the east of the Land. The campground is adjacent to the Land on the north. Views of the Land from within the campground are screened by vegetation. The bulk of the Land cannot be seen from the campground.

At night the recreation and hospitality facility would be more visible due to lights from the building and parking lot. The visual impact of increased nighttime light levels, however, would be expected to be minor given the existence of the Casino and Hotel in the immediate vicinity to the west. Therefore, the addition of lighting from the recreation and hospitality facility would not be a significant change to the nighttime visual character. With respect to the subdivision to the east, although the light level may still be minimal for that area, the Tribe intends to create a vegetation buffer / landscaping screen to minimize or eliminate that impact as well. As described above, the proposed design of the facility includes constructing two of the five stories of the tallest structure below existing grade. This design feature plus the fact that the surrounding topography slopes downhill to the east will substantially limit and improve views of the Project from the east.

The following design features and best management practices would help soften views of the recreation and hospitality facility and visually tie the facility to the surrounding landscape:

• Construct lowest two stories below existing grade.

- Use native plants when possible when landscaping.
- Heavily plant landscaped areas along Darrk Lane to screen parking areas.
- Maintain as much of the natural vegetation as possible between Darrk Lane and the hospitality facility to frame and soften the view of the facility.
- Use low-intensity exterior lighting and down-lighting to reduce light production from the facility;
- Construct a 5-10 foot high berm along the eastern boundary of the Land adjacent to the residential subdivision;
- Establish a 25-foot wide vegetated buffer along the eastern property boundary of the Land adjacent to the residential subdivision using a double row of native conifer trees. See figure 4-4.

Based on the degree of landscape modification created by the recreation and hospitality facility and the benefits of the design measures listed above, the aesthetic impacts of the project would be minimal.

## 4.15 NOISE

Construction and operation of the proposed recreation and hospitality facility would produce additional noise at the site and in the immediate vicinity. Construction vehicles and machinery operation would create short-term, intermittent noise effects that would be audible at nearby properties.

Vehicular traffic would be the project's primary noise source during the operation phase. Hospitality facility-generated traffic would represent another potential noise source in addition to existing traffic and other noise in the vicinity of the Bow Hill Reservation. Because Interstate 5 is immediately west of the project site, however, noise from freeway traffic would continue to
dominate local ambient conditions. As indicated in Section 3.15, the daytime noise level at the project site is likely to reach about 50 dBA, which is somewhat above the 40 dBA level that is typical for rural areas. The nighttime freeway noise level is still likely to be near 60 dBA compared to a typical ambient night level of 30 dBA. Speed and vehicle mix are very important factors in determining the noise level produced by traffic; freeway traffic near the site averages a speed of about 70 mph, while speeds on Bow Hill Road and Darrk Lane would be 35 mph or less.

Trucks also generate more noise than cars; one heavy truck is generally the noise equivalent of 18 passenger cars (personal communication, E. Combs, Washington State Department of Transportation, Olympia, Washington, December 15, 1994). Interstate 5 has a significant proportion of truck traffic, particularly at night; approximately half of the weekly average of 23,600 trucks, 11,800 passing through the Bow Hill Weigh Station southbound and 11,800 passing by northbound, on Interstate 5 travel at night (personal communication, Ms. Gayle Lapp, Supervisor, Commercial Vehicle Division, Washington State Patrol, Bow, Washington, January 10, 2006). In comparison, virtually all of the recreation and hospitality facility traffic would be passenger cars or other light vehicles.

Given the current noise level at the proposed project site, the increased noise levels generated by the proposed project would be negligible. Though not necessary for noise abatement, a light and sound barrier, as described in Section 4.20 Mitigation will be constructed to minimize any project effect and to reduce existing, pre-project noise levels.

Consequently, potential noise receptors near the recreation and hospitality facility would be affected (day or night) by one high-volume, high-speed noise source that includes many trucks (and passenger buses), and one low-volume, low-speed noise source with few trucks. Under these conditions, potential noise receptors near both sources would continue to experience ambient noise levels that are determined by Interstate 5 and that would not be measurably increased by the second, minor noise source (personal communication, E. Combs, Washington State Department of Transportation, Olympia, Washington, December 15, 1994). In addition, the Project design includes mitigation for potential impacts due to increased noise on the residential subdivision located to the east of the Land. Proposed mitigation includes construction of a 5-10 foot high berm and 25-foot wide vegetated buffer along the eastern boundary of the Land. See figure 4-4. Therefore, vehicle traffic noise associated with the recreation and hospitality facility would not have a significant impact.

### 4.16 CULTURAL RESOURCES

No sites listed on or eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places will be affected by the Action Alternative project. (See letter from Dr. Robert G. Whitlam, State Archaeologist, Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, State of Washington, 2006).

The following management recommendations were provided by Equinox Research and Consulting International (ERCI), March 8, 2005 and were based on the archival research and fieldwork carried out during the cultural resource investigation:

(1) The land status change proceed as planned.

(2) In the event that any ground-disturbing activities on any of the properties associated with future development uncover protected cultural material (such as bone, stone, or shell artifacts or features), the developer or contractor will cease excavation, secure the area, and contact the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, and a qualified and professional archaeologist.

(3) In the event that any ground-disturbing activities on any of the properties associated with this project uncover human remains, the landowner or representative will cease excavation, secure the area, and follow the unanticipated discoveries protocol. (ERCI, 2005)

The Tribe, based on the review of cultural resources records, reports, and information compiled regarding the Bow Hill area on file at the Tribal Offices, concluded that no sacred or religious sites will be affected by the proposed project (letter from J. Washington, Chairman, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, 2010).

# 4.17 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The No Action Alternative includes the Secretary's or Regional Director's decision not to approve the Tribe's fee-to-trust application to convert the Land for non-gaming economic development purposes and the Tribe's not developing the recreation and hospitality facility on a portion of the Land. In summary, the No Action Alternative would have the following effects:

- No impact to earth, including no potential for erosion during construction. No need to implement best management practices (BMPS) during construction.
- No potential to impact surface waters, such as Bob Smith Creek and Samish River.
- No need to construct stormwater management facilities or to provide water quality monitoring post-construction.
- No clearing of vegetation.
- No need to fill wetlands and no wetland mitigation provided, including no enhancement or permanent preservation.
- No impacts to local wildlife.
- No construction of hospitality facilities; therefore, no benefits to the Tribe and tribal members in terms of a diversified source of income to meet increasing governmental

service requirements; and no benefit to Tribal members in terms of increased services, increased employment opportunities and reduced rates of poverty.

- No benefit to the surrounding local community in terms of increased employment opportunities and increased income and increased tax revenues based on multiplier effects.
- Continued high rates of unemployment and poverty among Tribal members.
- No increase in average daily trips on local roadways; traffic delays would not be increased by 3.2 seconds at the southbound ramp from I-5.
- No potential increase in greenhouse gas emissions potentially contributing to cumulative impacts on global climate change.
- No benefits to campers at adjacent Thousand Trails campground resulting from day use opportunities at recreation facility.
- No need to connect to existing public and private utility infrastructure.
- No impacts in terms of views from adjacent residence, campers travelling to Thousand Trails campground and the subdivision to the east.
- No potential light and noise impacts to the same areas. No need to provide screening, landscape buffer, and vegetated berm.

# 4.18 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

The Action Alternative, which includes converting the Land from fee to trust status and developing the proposed recreation and hospitality facility at the project site, would provide a diversified and sustainable non-gaming revenue source for Tribal governmental services, create a number of new employment opportunities for Tribal and surrounding community members, ininimize the risk associated with reliance on the primary source gaming revenues, and provide a

revenue source for future diversified economic development endeavors. While the Action Alternative would result in minimal short-term construction impacts, the long-term impacts to the environment would not be significant.

With respect to the proposed project area, the No Action Alternative, while having none of the environmental effects of the Action Alternative, would not result in a furtherance of the Tribe's goals and programmatic needs. No action would ensure that the existing contraction of federal and state programs and resources would continue to adversely affect the Tribe and its membership and would likely persist until such time as another viable diversified economic opportunity could be identified and developed. Regional industries such as timber, agriculture, would commercial fishing, in which Tribal members have traditionally been employed, continue to decline. Recovery of these natural resource based industries, on which the Tribe has relied, is not expected in the future.

Finally, there are no alternative locations either on the existing Bow Hill Reservation or at other non-trust properties where the Tribe could have a reasonable expectation of being able to develop the proposed recreation and hospitality facility. Thus, no other location under Tribal or County jurisdiction would be suitable for the Project, and no such alternative location would allow the Tribe to meet its stated goals and objectives. The Action Alternative constitutes the best use of the Tribe's limited land base from both an economic and environmental perspective.

## 4.19 UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS

Unavoidable adverse impacts are those environmental consequences of an action that cannot be avoided, either by changing the nature of the action or through mitigation, if the action is to be undertaken. In general, the adverse impacts identified previously in Chapter 4 would be unavoidable consequences of developing a hospitality facility (or any commercial operation of comparable scale) adjacent and contiguous to the Bow Hill Reservation. Physical impacts such as erosion and sedimentation are inevitable if the ground is disturbed, although these impacts can be minimized with appropriate erosion control measures. Similarly, clearing of vegetation and construction of impervious surfaces are unavoidable requirements for developments such as the proposed hospitality facility. Developing the Land also requires filling 4.93 acres of wetland that cannot be avoided, although the Action Alternative plans would leave other wetland areas on the more sensitive northeastern portion of the site undisturbed. Other unavoidable consequences of the project include converting undeveloped land to commercial use, modifying the visual environment, and introducing additional traffic noise and light to the immediate vicinity. The conject also has the potential to contribute to climate change through cumulative increases in greenhouse gas emissions.

#### 4.20 MITIGATION

The Action Alternative includes mitigation measures that have been previously implemented by the Tribe, including:

(1) An active warning sign 500 feet east of the Bow Hill Road / Darrk Lane intersection. This sign provides advanced intersection and signal warning to westbound traffic on Bow Hill Road. This measure corrects existing intersection sight distance limitations.

(2) Installation of traffic signals at the Bow Hill Road / Darrk Lane intersection, an eastbound left turn lane on Bow Hill Road for the intersection, and a right turn lane exiting Darrk Lane to safely regulate entry and exit to Darrk Lane and to provide safe entry and exit to the commercial facilities near the intersection (see Figure 2-2) and to correct existing unsafe conditions at this intersection.

(3) Illumination of Bow Hill Road and the Bow Hill Road / Darrk Lane intersection as an

additional safety measure for this area.

As a result of the implementation previously of these mitigation measures, the Action Alternative will have no significant adverse effect on intersection safety. These measures substantially reduced unsafe conditions at the Bow Hill Road / Darrk Lane intersection and at the commercial and residential properties near this intersection.

Regarding the light and sound barrier for the subdivision to the east, the installation of a vegetation / landscape buffer will buffer and screen these residences from light and noise effects from the Action Alternative and reduce current light and noise levels caused by existing freeway and roadway traffic volumes.

Other mitigation measures discussed previously, such as creating new wetlands, creating new natural sound barriers and using best management practices during the construction phase to avoid any runoff are also included in the Action Alternative. The Project will also mitigate some of the potential increases in greenhouse gas emissions. Such mitigation would result from: 1) the net reduction in trip length experienced by some customers who would be able to travel shorter distances to reach the proposed recreation and hospitality facility than would otherwise be necessary to travel to other similar facilities; 2) net reduction in number of trips based on co-location of recreational, commercial, conference, hospitality and other uses; 3) vegetation retention; 4) planting of native vegetation associated with wetland mitigation and establishment of vegetated buffers; and 5) permanent protection of approximately 61 acres of undisturbed wetland / upland complex.

## 4.21 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Cumulative impacts are defined as the incremental impact of a proposed action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, regardless of what other agency or person undertakes the other actions (40 CFR 1506.7). Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor, but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

Assessment of the potential for cumulative impacts from the proposed recreation and hospitality facility primarily involves considering the identified project-specific impacts within the context of other known or expected actions that have affected or will likely affect project area resources. The appropriate focus for this assessment is the overall pace and pattern of development in the Bow Hill area and within the larger Skagit County community. The environmental studies conducted for the project have not identified any unique or regionally significant resources that would be adversely affected by the project. Therefore, the potential for comulative impacts from the proposed recreation and hospitality facility relates to the incremental contribution of the project in conjunction with other historic and ongoing development events.

The Bow Hill area and western Skagit County remain predominantly rural with limited areas of commercial development. There is relatively little development in the immediate vicinity of the Land, but development on the Bow Hill Reservation, a major freeway, a commercial retail outlet, a commercial campground, and several rural residences are present. Within a radius of 1 or 2 miles of the Reservation are another hotel and gas station (both owned by the Tribe), commercial campground, a motor speedway, a golf course, and additional rural residences (some of which are owned by the Tribe). These developments have all created effects on the local environment that are similar to those expected from the proposed project, such as runoff from impervious surfaces, removal of existing vegetation, increased local traffic, and mödification of the local aesthetic environment. Additional limited development in the Bow Hill area may be likely in the future, particularly within the 10-acre parcel of land located at the

southwest corner of the intersection of Bow Hill Road and Interstate 5 that has been designated for commercial use by the County. However, as previously stated, near-future commercial development in this area is constrained by the availability of wastewater treatment service, the Growth Management Act, County utility extension policies and the County's "Rural" and "Resource" designations and zoning of lands adjacent to the Land and west of Interstate 5. The impacts of the proposed recreation and hospitality facility would add to the effects of these past and expected future actions, but would represent a small incremental increase in local development-related consequences. The scope and scale of the Action Alternative are such that the Bow Hill area will likely remain predominantly rural with limited commercial development or the foreseeable future, with physical, biological, and human environmental conditions, that are generally similar to existing conditions.

Within this context, the cumulative effects of the Action Alternative on the physical and biological environments would be inconsequential and barely measurable. Because the recreation and hospitality facility would generate a relatively large number of jobs and volume of business, it would represent a small but noticeable cumulative addition to the expanding local economy.

As currently designated on the *Official Skagit County Zoning Map*, 2007, as updated by the County's current Comprehensive Plan, the area lying between the Town of Alger and City of Burlington will remain largely rural. This rural land use designation signifies that little imminent or potential commercial growth would occur in and around those areas other than the proposed Land hospitality project. In addition, sewage utility capacity is not projected to be available for future commercial development in the Bow Hill area with the exception of the hospitality project and other future tribal projects.

As described in Section 3.8, western Skagit County has been experiencing relatively

rapid population and economic growth, with associated urban development. The annual conversion of undeveloped agricultural and forest land to residential, commercial, industrial, and other urban land uses is extensive. Development in both urban and rural areas will likely contribute to increased emissions of greenhouse gases. Overall, the impacts of the proposed recreation and hospitality facility would be individually minor when viewed independently, and would remain insignificant over the lifespan of the Project when viewed collectively within the context of other relevant countywide actions or events.

#### 4.22 PERMITS REQUIRED

The Tribe anticipates obtaining a US Army Corps of Engineers Individual Permit and a Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the filling of wetlands associated with the project. A National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit from the EPA for storm water runoff will also be necessary, based upon the design of the Project.

#### 4.23 CONCLUSION

This Environmental Assessment has determined that the Action Alternative as proposed, including all proposed mitigation, is not likely to have significant adverse impact on the human environment. Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not deemed necessary. Based on this determination, issuance of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is recommended.

# 5.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

The following federal, state, and local agencies were consulted during the planning process for the recreation and hospitality facility development and the preparation of the Environmental Assessment. Coordination activities generally involved discussions regarding potential project impacts, permits and approvals needed for the proposed project or the provision of services to the hospitality facility. A listing of key contacts, correspondence and other documentation related to the coordination process is included in Appendix A. The agencies contacted during the process include the following:

<u>Federal Agencies</u> Bureau of Indian Affairs Army Corps of Engineers NOAA Fisheries Fish and Wildlife Service Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 Bureau of Land Management

<u>State Agencies</u> Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation Department of Ecology Department of Fish and Wildlife Department of Transportation Northwest Clean Air Agency Skagit County and Other Local Agencies Skagit County Board of Commissioners Skagit County Planning and Development Services Department Skagit County Public Works Department. Skagit County Fire Marshall Skagit County P.U.D. No.1 Samish Water District City of Burlington Alger Fire District, No. 14 Upper Skagit Tribal Law Enforcement

On June 25 and June 29, 2010 the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe sent letters to all of the above agencies requesting comments related to the proposed fee-to-trust conversion and

recreation and hospitality facility. Seven letters were received from agencies in response to the June letters as of September 7, 2010. These included a letter from the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, a letter from Skagit County Public Works Department, an electronic message from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, a letter from the Washington State Department of Transportation, a letter from the Skagit County Administrator, a letter from the City of Burlington, and a letter from the Skagit County Board of Commissioners. The comments provided in these letters and the corresponding responses addressing these comments are discussed below.

A letter dated June 28, 2010 was received from the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). The DAHP letter stated that they concur with the determination that no historic properties will be affected. Based on this concurrence, no additional consultation is necessary.

A letter dated July 14, 2010 was received from the Skagit County Public Works Department. This letter raised two issues: 1) traffic impacts; and 2) stormwater runoff. Following receipt of the comment letter, the Tribe provided a copy of the 2007 Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Transportation Solutions, Inc. (TSI) to the County Public Works Department and met with their representative, Shane Whitney. Mr. Whitney requested that the traffic counts utilized in the 2007 Traffic Analysis be updated. Based on this request, the Tribe directed TSI to obtain updated traffic counts at identified intersections in the project vicinity and provide an updated traffic report. TSI was able to respond to this request and provided the updated Traffic Impact Analysis (2010) referenced in this assessment. A copy of the updated Traffic Impact Analysis was provided to Skagit County on August 18, 2010. Skagit County Public Works also indicated in their letter that the Project would need to be compliant with County Code [Drainage Ordinance] and be consistent with the stormwater manual for western Washington. At the meeting with Mr. Whitney, the Tribe clarified that once the Land is placed into trust with the federal government, County development regulations would no longer be applicable. However, it was also noted that the Project has been designed consistent with the Washington Department of Ecology 2005 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington as indicated in the Drainage Report prepared by Pacific Surveying and Engineering, lnc.

An electronic message sent on July 27, 2010 was received from the United States Fish

and Wildlife Service (FWS). The FWS message confirmed that the Land is not sited at a location that has ESA-listed species. The message noted that, due to staffing limitations, FWS was not able to determine if the project could have indirect or long-term effects on water quality or quantity. FWS also clarified that if a No Effect determination is made, there would be no need for formal concurrence from U. S. Fish and Wildlife. The Tribe concurs with the no listed species determination. Based on the analysis provided in the preliminary drainage report, all short-term and long-term, direct and indirect impacts to water quality and quantity will be avoided or mitigated through project design and use of best management practices during both construction and operation. The Biological Assessment prepared by Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. (2010) recommends a No Effect determination on listed species and critical habitat; therefore, it is anticipated that formal concurrence from the FWS will not be required.

A letter dated July 23, 2010 was received from the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT). The comment letter from WSDOT recognized the state's interest in preserving the driving surface of Interstate-5, given its current poor condition, but also indicated that there were no plans at this time for making improvements to the Exit 236 Interchange. The letter emphasized WSDOT's desire to work in partnership on this and other projects and suggested that smooth operation of the Exit 236 Interchange was likely a key to the success of the proposed resort expansion. In response, the Tribe has noted that the Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Transportation Solutions, Inc. (TSI) found that the proposed resort expansion would not significantly impact the operation of the Exit 236 Interchange or any of the other roadways serving the Project.

A letter dated July 23, 2010 was received from Skagit County Administrator. This letter identified a number of elements of the environment that the County recommended be addressed in the Environmental Assessment. In response, the Tribe met with representatives from the County on August 18, 2010 to provide additional information regarding the fee-to-trust application and the proposed resort expansion. This meeting provided the opportunity for the County representatives to ask specific questions related to the Project and for the Tribe to answer these questions.

A letter dated July 20, 2010 was received from the City of Burlington. The City's letter expressed support for the Project. The letter also reaffirmed that the City's sewage treatment plant has additional capacity available to accommodate the proposed expansion should the Tribe choose to contract with the City for additional sewage treatment services. Based on the statement of support and reaffirmation of available capacity, no response from the Tribe was deemed necessary.

A letter dated September 1, 2010 was received from the Skagit County Board of Commissioners. The letter from the Commissioners expressed their support for the Tribe's proposed fee-to-trust conversion and resort expansion. Based on this statement of support, no additional response from the Tribe was deemed necessary.

In addition to the above letters from federal, state and local agencies, the Tribe also received a letter dated August 31, 2010 from the River Valley View Estates Road Association. In its letter, the Association expressed its appreciation for the past actions taken by the Tribe to improve the safety of their neighborhood (which is located immediately east of the proposed Project area) and to keep the neighbors informed about the proposed resort expansion. The letter confirmed that the Association members "have no objection to the trust application" being prepared by the Tribe.

# 6.0 **REFERENCES**

# Reports

- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. July 2010. Biological Assessment: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Skagit Resort Expansion. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. (ATSI) 1993. Bow Hill Site Wetlands Investigation. Bellingham, Washington. Referenced in Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (USIT), 1995.
- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 2006. Skagit Casino Parking Lot Expansion and Road Realignment Wetland Mitigation Plan, Attachments B, C, D and E [Wetland Reconnaissance Reports]. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 2008. Wetland Mitigation Plan for Skagit Resort. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- Economic Development Association of Skagit County (EDASC). 2005. Skagit County Demographics. Mt. Vernon, Washington.
- EDASC. 2010. Skagit County Demographics. Mt. Vernon, Washington.
- Element Solutions. July 12, 2010. Analysis of Potential Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Bellingham, Washington.
- Entranco. 1994. Traffic Analysis, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Casino-Bingo-Restaurant Development, Bow Hill Road, Skagit County, Washington. Bellevue, Washington. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Equinox Research and Consulting International. 2005. Archaeological Investigation Report. Concrete, Washington.
- GeoEngineers, Inc. 2007. Geotechnical Engineering Services Report. Bellingham, Washington.
- GeoEngineers, Inc. October 26, 2007. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. Bellingham, Washington.
- GeoEngineers, Inc. July 1, 2010. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. Bellingham, Washington.
- Hessler Associates, Inc. 1993. Environmental Noise Abatement for the Hermiston Cogeneration Facility, Report No. 1187B. Washington, D.C. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Jackson, P.L. and A. J. Kimerling, ed. 1993. Altas of the Pacific Northwest. Oregon State University Press. Corvalis, Oregon. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

Pacific Survey and Engineering. 2009. Stormwater Management Report. Bellingham,

Washington.

- Pillar to Post Professional Home Inspection. June 16, 2010. Lead Based Paint/Asbestos Review. Bellingham, Washington.
- Pinner, John A. and Associates. 1993. Geotechnical Engineering Investigation, Bow Hill Land Project. Bellingham, Washington. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Shannon and Wilaon, Inc. 1994. Bow Hill Aquifer Evaluation. Seattle, Washington. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Skagit System Cooperative. 1994. Water Quality Monitoring Plan for Bob Smith Creek. LaConner, Washington. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Transportation Solutions, Inc. 2010. Transportation Impact Analysis. Redmond, Washington.
- Upper Skagit Housing Department. 2005. Demographic Statistics Report. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- Upper Skagit Housing Department. 2009. Demographic Statistics Report. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- Upper Skagit Indian Tribe. 1981. Petition to Proclaim an Upper Skagit Indian Reservation. Burlington, Washington.
- Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (USIT). 1995. Final Draft Environmental Assessment, Bow Hill Gaming Activity. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (USIT). 2010. Labor Force Reports. Sedro-Woolley, Washington.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service. 1989. Soil Survey of Skagit County, Washington Area.
- U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs. 2003. American Indian Population and Labor Force Report.
- Washington Department of Ecology. 1993. 1993 Air Quality Program Annual Report. Olympia, Washington. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Washington Department of Ecology. 2010. State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Guidance on Addressing Greenhouse Gas Emissions.
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. February 24, 2005. Habitats and Species Report. Olympia, Washington.
- Washington State, Department of Transportation. 2007. WSDOT Annual Traffic Report. Olympia, Washington.
- Washington State, Employment Security Department. 2005. Unemployment Rates by County.

Olympia, WA.

- Washington State, Employment Security Department. 2009. Unemployment Rates by County. Olympia, WA.
- Washington State, Office of Financial Management. 2009a. April 1 Population of Cities, Towns, and Counties. Olympia, Washington.
- Washington State, Office of Financial Management. 2009b. April 1 Population Density and Land Area by County. Olympia, Washington.

### **Books and Articles**

Duerden, C. 1971. Noise Abatement. Philosophical Library. New York, New York.

## Letters and E-mail

ATSI. Letter dated September 7, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

ATSI. Letter dated December 11, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

Bernatowicz J. and Stendall, A. G., WDFW. Letters dated July 25, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

Letter from City of Burlington Sewer Department dated March 5, 2010

Doolittle, Randy. E-mail to Bob Hayden. December 30, 2009.

Fredrick, D., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Letter dated March 22, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

Letter from Samish Water District dated April 9, 2010

Letter from Skagit County Administrator dated July 23, 2010

Letter from Skagit County Board of Commissioners dated September 1, 2010

Letter from Skagit Public Utility District No. 1 of Skagit County. April 14, 2010.

LaRock, R.G., Skagit System Cooperative. Letter dated July 20, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

Schuyler, Scott. Email to Bob Hayden. January 4, 2010.

Sheridan, D., Engineering Division Manager, Skagit County Public Works Department. Letter

USIT BOW HILL EA SEPTEMBER 9, 2010 dated December 23, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. Letter dated January 27, 2007.

Washington, J., Chairman, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe. Letter dated August 2, 2010.

Washington State Department of Transportation. Letter dated July 23, 2010.

Whitlam, R., State Archaeologist, Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, State of Washington. Letter dated January 24, 2006.

## Personal Communications

Budrow, P., Chief, Upper Skagit Tribal Police. Personal communication. January 6, 2010.

- Cain, D., Skagit County Fire Marshal. Personal communication. January 13, 2010.
- Coats, T., Washington State Department of Transportation. Personal communication. July 22, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.
- Cochrane, Sharon, Skagit Resort Human Resources Director. Personal communication. January 6, 2010.

Combs, E., Washington State Department of Transportation. Personal communication. December 15, 1994. Referenced in USIT, 1995.

- Combs, E., Washington State Department of Transportation. Personal communication. January 12, 2006.
- Costanti, D., Fire Chief, Skagit County Fire District # 14. Personal communication. January 12, 2010.

Douglas Couvelier, TFW Biologist, USIT. Personal communication. January 10, 2006.

Honhongva, Mary, Housing Director, Upper Skagit Housing Department. Personal communication January 15, 2010.

Lapp, G., Supervisor, Commercial Vehicle Division, Washington State Patrol. Personal communication. January 10, 2006.

Maloney, Doreen, USIT Natural Resources Department. Personal communication. January 6, 2010.

Franzmann, A. Northwest Clean Air Agency. Personal communication. January 12, 2010.

Washington, Jennifer, Tribal Administration. Personal communication. January 6, 2010.

# 7.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

## Principal Preparer

Sehome Planning and Development Services Rollin H. Harper, Principal 1323 Lincoln Street, #202 Bellingham, WA 98229 (360) 733-6033

Pacific Surveying and Engineering, Inc. Adam Morrow, PLS, Principal 1812 Cornwall Avenue Bellingham, WA 98225 (360) 671-7387

With the assistance of:

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Doreen Maloney, Tribal General Manager 25944 Community Plaza Way Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284 (360) 854-7000

## **Resource Specialist Consultants**

Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 21993 Grip Road Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284

Element Solutions 1812 Cornwall Avenue Bellingham, WA 98225

Equinox Research and Consulting International 41507 South Skagit Highway Concrete, WA 98237

GeoEngineers, Inc. 600 Dupont Street Bellingham, WA 98225 Pacific Surveying and Engineering, Inc. 1812 Cornwall Avenue Bellingham, WA 98225

Pillar To Post Professional Home Inspection P.O. Box 29658 Bellingham, WA 98228

Transportation Solutions, Inc. 8250 165<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 100 Redmond, WA 98052 FIGURES

1

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_

.

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_



















UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE 25944 COMMUNITY PLAZA WAY, SEDRO WOOLLEY, WA 98284, 360-854-7000, FAX: 360-854-7004



PACIFIC SURVEY & ENGINEERING, INC.

PACIFIC SURVEY & ENGINEERING, INC. 1812 COMMANL BELINCHAM, WA 98225 PROMEGET 1.3887 FAX 671 4585 WINK PESURVEY.COM UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE ~ ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT BOW HILL RESORT EXPANSION FIGURE 3-4 PREPARED: FEBRUARY 2, 2010

P. Pas Project 2006175 (2005) DL Lehibite (2006175-D-Entrikite-C30.dvg













# APPENDIX A

1

# CONSULTATION, COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

## Agency Consultation and Coordination

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

- Coordination regarding wetland impacts and mitigation, 2006 through 2008
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

- Letter to Puget Sound Agency Tribes dated February 5, 2004
- Letter to Superintendents, Northwest Region, dated January 28, 2004
- Letter to Tribal Leaders dated January 27, 2004
- Letter to Regional Directors dated January 5, 2004
- Letter to USIT dated July 19, 2006
- Agency Correspondence with USIT dated March 29, 2007
- Coordination regarding preparation of EA, 2006-2010
- U.S. Bureau of Land Management
  - Coordination on filing of Record of Survey and Associated Title Documentation, 2007-2010
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
  - USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
  - USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS)
  - Agency Communication dated January 26, 2006
  - USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
  - Letter from FWS dated June 29, 2010
  - USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
  - Electronic message from FWS sent on July 27, 2010

U.S. NMFS / NOAA Fisheries

- Contacts regarding threatened and endangered species, 2005-2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation

- Letter from USIT dated January 18, 2006
- Agency Letter dated January 24, 2006
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Letter from Agency dated June 28, 2010

Washington Department of Ecology

• USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
• USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

- Letter from USIT dated February 16, 2005
- Letter to USIT dated February 28, 2005
- Letter to USIT dated January 26, 2006
- Letter to Agency dated January 26, 2006
- Letter to USIT dated January 27, 2007 (stamped received on January 30, 2006
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

Washington Department of Transportation

- Agency Communication dated January 12, 2006
- Telephone and e-mail correspondence 2009-2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Agency letter dated July 23, 2010

Northwest Clean Air Agency

- Agency communication January 12, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

Washington State Patrol

- Agency Communication dated January 10, 2006
- Agency Communication, January 2010

Skagit County Board of Commissioners

- Coordination and consultation, 2006-2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Letter to USIT dated July 23, 2010
- Letter to USIT dated September 1, 2010

Skagit County Planning and Development Services Department

- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Meeting with Agency representatives, August 2010

Skagit County Public Works Department

- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Letter from Agency dated July 14, 2010
- Meetings with Agency representatives, July and August 2010

Skagit County Fire Marshall

- Agency Communication, January 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010

- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Meeting with Agency representative, August 2010

Skagit County P.U.D. No.1

- Letter from P.U.D. dated April 14, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

Samish Water District

- Letter from Samish Water District dated April 9, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

City of Burlington

- Letter from City of Burlington dated March 5, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010
- Letter from City of Burlington dated July 20, 2010

Alger Fire District, No. 14

- Agency communication, January 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 25, 2010
- USIT Letter to Agency dated June 29, 2010

Skagit Resort Human Resources

• Agency Communication dated January 6, 2010

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Administration

• Letter dated January 10, 2010

Upper Skagit Tribal Housing Department

- Consultation and coordination, 2007 and 2010
- Agency Communication dated January 15, 2010

Upper Skagit Tribal Law Enforcement

Agency Communication dated January 6, 2010

Upper Skagit Tribe Natural Resources Department

• Agency Communication dated January 6, 2010

## Public Involvement

Upper Skagit Tribal Council

• Council General Meetings, 2002-2010

River Valley View Estates Road Association

- Meetings with Neighboring Homeowners' Association, 2008-2010
- Letter to USIT dated August 31, 2010

# APPENDIX B

# PHASE 1 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

## Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, P50414, P50500, and P119078 Bow, Washington

for Upper Skagit Indian Tribe

July 1, 2010

GEOENGINEERS

600 Dupont Street Bellingham, Washington 98225 360.647.1510

# Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, P50414, P50500, and P119078 Bow, Washington

File No. 00829-021-01

July 1, 2010

Prepared for:

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe 5984 North Darrk Lane Bow, Washington 98232

Attention: Bob Hayden

Prepared by:

GeoEngineers, Inc. 600 Dupont Street Bellingham, Washington 98225 360.647.1510

Ronald M. Bek, LG Project Manager

RMB:JRG:ims 0829-021-01 SharePoint

Copyright@ 2010 by GeoEngineers, inc. All rights reserved.

Disclaimer: Any electronic form, facsimile or hard copy of the original document (email, text, table, and/or figure), if provided, and any attachments are only a copy of the original document. The original document is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official document of record.

#### DECLARATIONS

- "I declare that, to the best of my professional knowledge and belief, I meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in Sec. 312.10 of 40 CFR Part 312."\*
- "I have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. I performed and/or developed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312."

\*A person who does not qualify as an Environmental Professional may assist in the conduct of all appropriate inquiries in accordance with ASTM E 1527-05, if such person is under the supervision or responsible charge of a person meeting the definition of an environmental professional when conducting such activities





J. Robert Gordon, PE Principal



# **Table of Contents**

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	v
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Phase   ESA Scope of Services	1
1.2 Special Considerations	
1.3 Qualifications of Environmental Professional	3
2.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	3
2.1 Involved Parties	
2.2 Location, Legal Description and Setting	3
2.3 Site Reconnaissance	5
2.3.1 Summary of Observations	5
2.3.2 Findings	7
2.3.3 Data Gaps	8
2.4 Adjacent Property and Vicinity Observations	8
2.4.1 Summary of Observations	8
2.4.2 Findings	9
2.4.3 Data Gaps	
2.5 Previous Reports	
2.5.2 Endings	
2.5.2 Tridings	10 10
	10
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS REVIEW	10
3.1 Database Search	
3.1.1 Findings	
3.2 Review of Regulatory Files	11
3.3 Review of Area-wide Contamination Reports	
3.4 Findings	
3.5 Data Gaps	
4.0 PROPERTY HISTORY	
4.1 Historical Resources	
4.2 Historical Property Ownership and Use Summary	14
4.3 Adjacent Properties	
4.4 Information Provided by Key Site Manager	
4.5 Environmental Liens or Property Use Restrictions	
4.6 Information Provided by User/User Obligations	
4.7 Findings	15
4.8 Data Gaps	15
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	15
6.0 LIMITATIONS	16
7.0 REFERENCES	16

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map Figure 2. Site Plan and Surrounding Property Uses Figure 3. Site Photographs – Pole Building Interior

## APPENDICES

Appendix A. EDR Report and Legal Descriptions Appendix B. Selected Historical Research Documents Appendix C. Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

- AAI All Appropriate Inquiries
- AST aboveground storage tank
- ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

\_\_\_\_\_

- CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
- Ecology Washington State Department of Ecology
- EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- ESA Environmental Site Assessment
- LG licensed geologist
- PCBs polychlorinated biphenyls
- REC recognized environmental condition
- USGS United States Geological Survey
- UST underground storage tank

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report summarizes results of GeoEngineers' All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, P50414, P50500, and P119078 located in Bow, Washington. The Phase I ESA was conducted in January 2010 for Upper Skagit Indian Tribe. Results of our Phase I ESA indicate the historical land use of the subject property has been restricted to residential and logging. This Phase I ESA has revealed no evidence of recognized environmental conditions (RECs) in connection with the subject property with the exception of concrete sealant, motor oil, kerosene, diesel fuel, and power steering fluid storage within the pole building. No soil staining or other visual evidence of releases was observed from the containers.

Two potential off-site RECs were identified during our research:

- The Thousand Trails site located northwest of the subject property was formerly listed in Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology) leaking underground storage tank database. Thousand Trails was reported cleaned in 1995. Ecology did not issue a No Further Action opinion letter for Thousand Trails, meaning Ecology did not perform a formal review of the cleanup report for the site.
- A 10,000 gallon unleaded fuel UST is located west of the northwest corner of the subject property. The UST is in good working condition and no leaks have occurred based on the information obtained during our study.

Impacts to the subject property, should a major release occur (or have occurred) would be a manifestation of groundwater contamination migrating from Thousand Trails and the above referenced UST. The subject property is underlain by relatively impermeable glacial soils that would inhibit contamination migrating to the subject property. Evidence of petroleum contamination was not encountered in monitoring wells installed by others between Thousand Trails and the 10,000 gallon UST and the subject property or on the subject property. State law exempts property owners from fiscal liability for cleanup from off-site sources.

This Executive Summary should be used only in the context of the full report for which it is intended.



## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report summarizes the results of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, P50414, P50500, and P119078 ("subject property") in the Bow area of Skagit County. The subject property is approximately 132 acres in size and is undeveloped with the exception of a pole building. The subject property is shown relative to surrounding physical features in Vicinity Map, Figure 1. The layout of the subject property and surrounding properties is shown on the Site Plan and Surrounding Uses, Figure 2.

The subject property is currently owned by the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (USIT). We understand results of this Phase I ESA will be used by USIT as part of their evaluation of potential environmental liabilities associated with placing the subject property in tribal trust lands.

GeoEngineers provided geotechnical engineering services for the proposed Skagit Resort Water Park which is proposed to be constructed on the subject property. The results of our previous study were presented in our report titled "Geotechnical Engineering Services Report, Proposed Skagit Resort Water Park, Bow, Washington", dated February 26, 2007. GeoEngineers also completed a Phase I ESA for the subject property in 2007. The results of our previous Phase I ESA were presented in our report titled "Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, and P50414, Bow, Washington", dated October 26, 2007. Tax Parcels P50500 and P119078 are two parcels currently owned by USIT that have been added to the subject property for this Phase I ESA. Pertinent information from our previous geotechnical engineering study and Phase I ESA is included in this Phase I ESA report where appropriate. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of USIT. Because this environmental report is not intended for use by others, no one except the USIT should rely on this report without first conferring with GeoEngineers.

## 1.1 Phase I ESA Scope of Services

The purpose of this Phase I ESA is to identify recognized environmental conditions1 (RECs) in connection with the subject property. Our scope of services is in general accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E 1527-05 for Phase I ESAs and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Federal Standard 40 CFR Part 312 "Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI)," which are intended to permit a user to satisfy one of the requirements to qualify for the innocent landowner, contiguous property owner or bona fide prospective purchaser limitations on liability under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The standard outlines the practice that constitutes "all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Recognized environmental conditions are defined in ASTM E 1527-05 as "the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on the property or into the ground, groundwater or surface water of the property. The term includes hazardous substances or petroleum products even under conditions in compliance with laws. The term is not intended to include *de minimis* conditions that generally do not present a material risk of harm to public health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies."



July 1, 2010 Proje 1 References (C. C. appropriate inquiry into the previous ownership and uses of the property consistent with good commercial or customary practice" as defined by 42 U.S.C. §9601. Our services, described below, were completed in general accordance with our proposal dated January 11, 2010. These services were completed by, or under the direction of, an environmental professional as described in 40 CFR Part 312.

Our specific scope of services for this Phase I ESA was as follows:

*Review* readily available environmental reports and/or other relevant documents pertaining to environmental conditions at the subject property.

Review the results of a federal, state, local and tribal environmental database search provided by an outside environmental data service for listings of properties with known or suspected environmental concerns on or near the subject property within the search distances specified by ASTM. Our database and file review search also included a review of Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) websites for readily available information (publications and reports) concerning area-wide soil and groundwater contamination on or adjacent to the subject property.

Identify a key site manager with specific knowledge of past and present property use and interview using email and phone communication.

Interview a representative of the local health department to gather information or fill data gaps regarding the history of the subject property and surrounding properties relative to the likely presence of hazardous substances.

Interview representatives from Wilson Engineering, LLC and Associated Earth Sciences, Inc. regarding groundwater monitoring wells installed at the subject property and other wells installed near the subject property regarding subsurface conditions encountered at the well sites.

Review historical aerial photographs, historical atlases and topographic maps, and land use and tax assessor records, as available and appropriate, to identify past development history on and adjacent to the subject property relative to the possible use, generation, storage, release or disposal of hazardous substances. We attempted to identify uses of the subject property from the present back to the time that records show no apparent structures on the subject property, back to the time that the property was first used for residential, agricultural, commercial, industrial or governmental purposes, or back to 1940, whichever is earliest.

Review current United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps to identify the physiographic setting of the subject property and provide a statement on the local geologic, soil and groundwater conditions based on our general experience and sources such as geologic maps and soil surveys and our previous experience at the subject property.

Conduct a visual reconnaissance of the subject property and adjacent properties to identify visible evidence of RECs.

Fore 2 Hoy 1, 2010 Generations, Inc. Here assisted in Identify the source(s) of potable water for the subject property and current heating and sewage disposal system(s) used at the subject property, if any, and their age if readily ascertainable.

Identify data gaps relative to the Phase I ESA study findings.

Provide a written summary of the Phase I ESA results and identified RECs along with our opinion and recommendations regarding the potential for contamination by hazardous substances at the subject property and the significance of any data gaps identified.

## **1.2 Special Considerations**

Our scope of services did not include an environmental compliance audit or an evaluation for the presence of lead-based paint, toxic mold, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in light ballasts, radon, lead in drinking water, asbestos-containing building materials, urea-formaldehyde insulation in on-site structures or debris or other potentially hazardous building materials. Soil, groundwater or surface water sampling was not part of our Phase I ESA services. Our scope of services does not include an assessment of vapor intrusion into structures on the property per ASTM Standard E 2600-08.

## 1.3 Qualifications of Environmental Professional

J. Robert Gordon is a registered Professional Engineer (PE) in Washington (#22151) and has at least 30 years of consulting experience and has been project manager and/or principal-in-charge on over 250 site assessment/contamination remediation projects in Washington. Mr. Gordon is an Environmental Professional per 40 CFR Part 312. Ronald M. Bek is a licensed geologist (LG) in Washington (#2625) and has at least 10 years of experience completing site assessment/contamination remediation projects. Mr. Bek is an Environmental Professional per 40 CFR Part 312.

## 2.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

2.1 Involved Parties

The subject property currently is owned by USIT. USIT purchased parcels P50414 and P50416 from the Nielson Brothers Logging Company in approximately 2002 according to our key site manager interview. Parcel P35839 was purchased by USIT from Paul Brendle in 1995, according to the Assessor website. There was no sales history listed for parcel P123324 in the Assessor website. Parcel P50500 was purchased by USIT from Pacific State MTG Corporation in 2004, according to the assessor website. Parcel P119078 was purchased by USIT from Richmond JPJ. Enterprises Inc. in 2003, according to the Skagit County Assessor website.

## 2.2 Location, Legal Description and Setting

General information, property use(s) and environmental setting of the subject property area are summarized in Table I below. The location is shown relative to surrounding physical features in Figure 1. The current layout of the subject property and immediate surrounding property uses are shown in Figure 2. Photographs of the pole building interior on the subject property are shown in Figure 3.



GEOENGINEERS

July 1, 2010 Page 3 Includies to 4,454

## TABLE I. SUBJECT PROPERTY INFORMATION

UKIM. T.B. parata dapat Wennangtan kapapraphis maatarigia maa dabad i ananan kina 1064 a character a la fact de la facta de la ne fonte a suffer la serie de serie de serie de la Transformente de la fonce a la serie de serie de la 1.117.121 The active presence when the second states and the second s ngintel in survey it to excelle the state. hermitine balle bie, seine grau Lagel Constitute tigetopostions are insided in Apporta A al Para Balanca - Tana an Isaa I ktik institut ha Ay providini ta ta ta Approximately 112 acres lassed on Usuagi County Advancer without The street property of street per service as extended particular Extra Cristelle The active requires a located or raise and locat local scale like and tenkyn Sitter: alle adam LL LERI ysa manga City case is reput spinorary 200 hat can of the arted Arega tur accountly 201 has enou unit the own De requery of the adjust sequery down to approximately 120 bys allows ka produkte in stire i poster. where we had a the suddem and content (Critics of the fadaut - 11 - 11 - 14 - 14 The grange of the set recently in the national comparisons for second in the second send the great content, and placed 12 which optimize or single of 11 an analytic reason that in store in the life, point, show of the send REALESS, BUT DESTURBED TO THE DEC. SHITTED IN THE PUBLIC. ala de la company de la com and clothe company of taxand peoplety sets and graphic harmed all all decennes officers deserved to first press per are well see any association the departments of the first set. executives to sea structure why Your and Caleford Annual C and the second states of the second states and the figte topology wat passive providence depictibility with at the elder greek ant dien meter an grunderer monterig wit. are relative the mathematic carries of the activest property for a conservation offest ever personal part mean. Sol proferry dependently ACS is the next being were writer to the constitute direction is fire. as person performat expressing state. Made and affirth entering of surgranging we are approved while difficulty routed and en zu nenszereget zert. 

Page 4 July 1, 2010 GenEngineers, inc.

Transgington Minu
Transgington Minu
Transgington Minu
This sector of the research light, Westerngton has granted by the sector of th

Our knowledge of the general physiographic setting, geology and groundwater occurrence near the subject property is based on our review of the maps and references listed above, our previous experience at the subject property, and our general experience in the area.

## 2.3 Site Reconnaissance

## 2.3.1 Summary of Observations

A representative of GeoEngineers performed an unaccompanied visual reconnaissance of the subject property on January 14, 2010. The subject property was accessed from the gravel road in the southern portion of the subject property and from Old Highway 99 and Kim Place near the eastern side of the subject property. The subject property is covered primarily with tall grass and treed areas. Our visual reconnaissance focused on the areas where mobile homes were formally located, the pole building, along the trails, and along access roads on the subject property.

Table II below summarizes conditions observed during our site reconnaissance. Section 2.3.2 contains additional details regarding conditions of potential environmental significance observed during our site reconnaissance and a summary list of known or suspected environmental concerns identified by this portion of our study.



#### TABLE II. SUMMARY OF SITE RECONNAISSANCE OBSERVATIONS

GEOENGINEERS

July 1 2010 Page 5 So to receive of

# Observed

Feature Yes No Comment

Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs).or evidence of ASTs		∫ x	
Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) or Evidence of USTs		X	
			Two empty drums, 5 gallon container of concrete sealant, oil changing pan filled with motor, oil,
Drums or Other Containers	<b>.</b> 		approximate 5 gallon capacity empty kerosene container and 'glesel fuel container, 1 gallon container of power stearing fluid, and several 1 gallon containers of motor oit stored in pole building. No, visual evidence of releases observed.
Chemicals or Hazardous Materials (other than de minimis quantities of cleaning products)	X		See above.
Evidence of Leaks, Spills or Releases. Surrounding ASTs, USTs and/or Chemical Storage Areas		X	
Stained or Corroded Floors, Walls or Drains (other than apparent water stains or minor oil stains on pavement from parked vehicles)		X	
Pipes of Unknown Ongin or Use		X	
On site Septic System	X		Septic drainage fields for the formet mobile homes are likely present according to the 2007 key site manager interview. A septic drainage field is located near the eastern portion of parcel P119078 in the southerm portion of subject property.
Sewage Disposal System	i Eusia	X	
Potable Water Supply	*		A domestic water well (DW-1 in Figure 2) is located on the subject property north of the pole building. Water meters are located along Kim Place.
Solid Waste Refuse Dumpsters	X		One large green dompster is located northeast of the pole building.
Hydraulic Holsts		X	
Qil/Water Separators		X	
Discolored or Stained Soil or Vegetation Potentially from Hazardous Substances	· .	X	
Hazardous Waste Disposal Areas		X	

Uncontained Debris, Refuse or Unidentified X Waste Materials

Debris observed around the pole building consisting of light poles, fence posts, tarps, crushed electrical panel housings, construction supplies, and lumber.

Noge Colored & 2010 GenEngenous, Ecc.



#### 2.3.2 Findings

Known or suspected environmental concerns identified by this portion of the study are listed below

Two empty drums, a 5 gallon container of concrete sealant, oil changing pan filled with motor oil, an approximate 5 gallon capacity empty kerosene container and diesel fuel container, a 1 gallon container of power steering fluid, and several 1 gallon containers of motor oil stored in pole building. No visual evidence of releases from the containers and no soil staining was observed.

GEOENGINEERS

July 1, 2010 Page 7 Here and Local

- Fill soil was observed at the subject property. No visual evidence of contamination was observed in the fill soil. The fill soil does not represent a REC to the subject property based on our visual observations and key site manager interview.
- Uncontained debris was observed at the subject property. No visual evidence of contamination was observed in these areas. The observed uncontained debris does not represent a REC to the subject property in our opinion.
- A pole-mounted and a pad-mounted transformer are located on the subject property. Evidence of leaks from the transformers were not observed on the ground surface or on the exteriors of the transformers. The potential for a release from the transformers having impacts to soil or shallow perched groundwater at the subject property exists if the transformers should leak. The local power company has an emergency spill response program that includes excavation and removal of mineral oil impacted soil when transformers leak. The transformers do not pose a significant REC to the subject property in our opinion while they are in proper working condition.

## 2.3.3 Data Gaps

Data gaps were not identified by this portion of the study.

## 2.4 Adjacent Property and Vicinity Observations

#### 2.4.1 Summary of Observations

We viewed properties located adjacent to and surrounding the subject property on January 14, 2010 from accessible public rights-of-way and the subject property. The subject property is situated in an area that is used primarily for residential or commercial uses. Section 2.4.2 contains additional details regarding conditions of potential environmental significance observed during our site reconnaissance and a list of known or suspected environmental concerns identified by this portion of our study. Table III below outlines adjacent land uses and pertinent observations with respect to conditions that could pose a REC on the subject property. Figure 2 shows adjacent property uses and locations in relation to the subject property.



#### TABLE III. ADJOINING STREETS AND ADJACENT PROPERTIES OBSERVATIONS



#### 2.4.2 Findings

Known or suspect environmental conditions identified by this portion of the study are summarized below:

- Bow Hill Gas and Food Mart is located south of the subject property. This facility is listed in the EDR report. See Section 3.2 for details.
- A pad-mounted transformer is located just off the northwestern corner of the subject property in the overflow parking lot for the casino. Another pad-mounted transformer is located along the Kim Place cul de sac east of the subject property. Four pole-mounted transformers were observed south of Darrk Lane near the northern boundary of the southwestern portion of the subject property. Three of the transformers had a blue placard indicating no PCBs. Evidence of leaks from the transformers was not observed on the ground surface or on the exteriors of the transformers. The potential for mineral oil releases from the transformers having impacts to soil or shallow perched groundwater at the subject property exists if the transformers should leak. The local power company has an emergency spill response program that includes excavation and removal of mineral oil impacted soil when transformers leak. The transformers do not pose a significant REC to the subject property in our opinion while they are in proper working condition.
- The four wells located near the northwest corner of the subject property were installed for an injection well study and do not represent a REC in our opinion.
- An Upper Skagit Indian Tribe unleaded gasoline fuel dispenser and associate UST are located in the paved parking area approximately 300 feet west of the northwest corner of the subject property. See Section 4.4 for details.

#### 2.4.3 Data Gaps

Data gaps were not identified by this portion of the study.



New 1, 2010 Page 9

## 2.5 Previous Reports

## 2.5.1 Summary of Previous Reports

We reviewed our reports titled "Geotechnical Engineering Services Report, Proposed Skagit Resort Water Park, Bow, Washington", dated February 26, 2007 and "Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, and P50414, Bow, Washington", dated October 26, 2007.

Visual evidence of soil contamination was not encountered in subsurface explorations competed during our previous geotechnical engineering study. The Thousand Trails site located approximately 600 feet northwest of the subject property was formerly listed in Ecology's leaking underground storage tank (LUST) database during our 2007 Phase I ESA. The 2007 EDR report indicated that a final cleanup report was submitted to Ecology for Thousand Trails.

## 2.5.2 Findings

Known or suspected environmental concerns were not identified by this portion of the study with the exception that the Thousand Trails facility was formerly listed as LUST site. See Section 3.2 for additional details regarding Thousand Trails.

#### 2.5.3 Data Gaps

Data gaps were not identified by this portion of the study.

## 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS REVIEW

#### 3.1 Database Search

GeoEngineers reviewed the results of a search of pertinent environmental regulatory lists and databases for current or previous facilities listed at addresses located within ASTM-specified distances from the subject property. The search was performed on January 7, 2010. The information reviewed was provided by a subcontracted regulatory list search service, Environmental Data Resources (EDR). The EDR report is presented in Appendix A. The report includes details regarding the listed facilities identified and maps showing the approximate locations of the listed facilities relative to the subject property.

GeoEngineers reviewed the search results for listings pertaining to the subject property. GeoEngineers also reviewed EDR listing of database entries that could not be mapped by EDR because of insufficient addresses (orphans). Off-site facilities found within the specified distances from the subject property were evaluated for potential impact to the subject property.

The subject property is not listed in the EDR report. The listed facilities identified in Appendix A are summarized in Table IV. Regulatory database acronyms are defined in the EDR report.

## TABLE IV. SUMMARY OF REGULATORY DATABASE SEARCH LISTINGS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN



## 3.1.1 Findings

- The Thousand Trails site is listed in the UST database as having one unleaded gasoline UST removed in 1991. A fuel release occurred from the UST that impacted soil. The site was reported cleaned up in 1995 based on our review of the Ecology LUST List accessed on January 18, 2010.
- Bow Hill Gas & Food Mart is listed in the UST database to have one, 20,000 to 29,999 gallon UST in operation. The UST is listed as having 3 compartments containing gasoline and diesel fuel. Bow Hill Gas & Food Mart is located in a downgradient position relative to the subject property and does not represent a REC to the subject property in our opinion.

## 3.2 Review of Regulatory Files

We did not obtain or review files from Ecology regarding the Thousand Trails site because the site does not represent a significant REC in our opinion.

## 3.3 Review of Area-wide Contamination Reports

We conducted a search of Ecology and EPA websites for readily available information (publications and reports) that may concern area-wide soil and groundwater contamination on or adjacent to the subject property. Area-wide contamination reports pertaining to the subject property vicinity were not identified.

## **3.4 Findings**

Known or suspected environmental concerns were not identified by this portion of the study with the exception of the Thousand Trails UST site to the northwest.

## 3.5 Data Gaps

A search of databases for engineering controls could not be conducted because such databases do not exist for state of Washington. In our opinion, the significance of this data gap is low based on the comprehensive nature of the databases searched and the results of other portions of our study that did not identify RECs.

The off-site Upper Skagit Indian Tribe UST located west of the subject property as described in Section 2.4.2 was not listed in the EDR report. This condition does not represent a significant data gap in our opinion. See Section 4.4 for details.



July 1.2010 Page 11 Received Security

## 4.0 PROPERTY HISTORY

## 4.1 Historical Resources

Our understanding of the history of the subject property is based on a review of the information from the historical resources listed in Table IV, interviews with the individuals listed in Table V, and our previous Phase I ESA. Selected historical research documents are included in Appendix B.

## TABLE V. HISTORICAL RESOURCES REVIEWED

Chartifydan	Physiciae ce Jatienspessie	Content of Connersign in Content of Marcinetic age of the	Dep Revieweut we Continent	Canylind Flui Cauton 4.3 tar Endrigh
				i de seine ( contractor la factor a ser da ser ) la facesta d'alta da ser da ser da ser da ser da ser da ser da la facesta d'alta da ser d
				ne ters supported. Environmentes ant Carp supported films a support supported and support
Hursen al				angestein besteht and tet for separation engene: The Mat Septemental memory of the subject parameter internation and the
<b>anter</b>		÷.		pender för Exact senere at för 2002. Sena grade statiste före bener för Effer Stansarta Tangtar sigerte Attacken för Rige
				Constant of the second seco
Historic H Fatr				Andrea a fin surface print at the second sec
iraturati Mirat Marty Jopet	Techen crime.	digazber.	sever the second	n Line formering of the fo
				Shagit till begönning finnlich in forund in the 1999 och starte i Thomas i Thomas in babel of Select month (south same of the 30000 and 2006) answigsion, it selected in the start of 1000.
				teren duen Leren berte 2009 diretter Hendre den der bestick Bibliff and der bf Reventiernet im Der 2001 Gemeinen
Torice highly Torice highly Maps	<ul> <li>Ling of the second secon</li></ul>		un (P,D)	The condition dependent for the part of the second se

Page 12 July 1. 2010 GeoEngineers, Inc. 10.190.256.080.002307



GEOENGINEERS

r uns differies. Este of Notes:

<sup>1</sup> The scale of the photographs reviewed allowed for an interpretation of general property development/configuration, such as identifying most structures, roadways and clearings. However, the scale of the photographs did not allow for identification of specific property features, such as fuel pumps, wells or chemical storage areas on the subject property, if any.

## 4.2 Historical Property Ownership and Use Summary

USIT purchased the northern portion of the subject property from Nielsen Brothers approximately six to seven years ago and the southern portion from Burkland approximately five years ago according to our key person interview. Additional property transaction details for the subject property are presented in Table V above (historical Metsker Atlases). The subject property has never been developed with the exception of a pole building and two former mobile home sites based on the resources reviewed.

According to Skagit County Health Department, no records of environmental contamination, complaints, or other information were on file for the subject property.

## 4.3 Adjacent Properties

Adjacent properties were developed primarily for residential and agricultural uses since 1952 based on our review of the historical topographic maps.

## 4.4 Information Provided by Key Site Manager

Bob Hayden was identified as a 'key site manager' for the Phase I ESA study. A summary of the key person interview is provided below:

- Mr. Hayden has been familiar with the subject property for approximately six to seven years. He indicated that the northern two parcels were bought from Nielsen Brothers six to seven years ago and the southern parcel was purchased from Burkland approximately five years ago. Mr. Hayden said that logging had occurred on the subject property while the Nielson Brothers owned it.
- To the best of his knowledge, Mr. Hayden indicated that the subject property has never been developed with the exception of one pole building used for storage and two former mobile homes. He stated that fill material was brought to the subject property to construct fill pads for the former mobile home sites. The fill pads were never paved.
- The fill soil stockpiled northwest of the pole building was derived from on site clearing and grubbing activities. The fill soil stockpiled approximately 350 feet east of the pole building is topsoil that came from an offsite parking lot construction project that occurred on USIT trust land off North Darrk Lane.
- The former mobile homes had electric heating systems. Mr. Hayden was not aware of current or past heating oil tanks or any other USTs/ASTs being present at the subject property.
- The monitoring wells located on the subject property were installed for the purposes of monitoring background groundwater quality related to a proposed sewage treatment plant project to be located north of the subject property.
- The 10,000 gallon unleaded gasoline UST at the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe fuel dispenser located west of the northwest corner of the subject property was installed in November 2008.

The UST is a double walled tank with an intermediary leak alarm, is in good working condition, and has had no leaks.

Mr. Hayden was not aware of potential environmental impacts to the subject property from offsite sources.

## 4.5 Environmental Liens or Property Use Restrictions

During the course of our research, we did not find that environmental liens had been filed against the subject property.

## 4.6 Information Provided by User/User Obligations

We did not receive responses to the user questionnaire that we provided to Upper Skagit Indian Tribe.

## 4.7 Findings

No known or suspect environmental conditions were identified by this portion of the study.

#### 4.8 Data Gaps

Data gaps were not identified by this portion of the study with the exception that responses to the user questionnaire were not provided to us. This condition does not represent a significant data gap in our opinion based on the results of this Phase I ESA and our previous experience at the subject property.

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

GeoEngineers has performed a Phase I ESA in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527 of the subject property identified in this report in Bow, Washington. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 1.2 of this report.

We identified no RECS in connection with the subject with the exception of containers of concrete sealant, motor oil, kerosene, diesel fuel, and power steering fluid stored in the pole building. No soil staining or other visual evidence of releases was observed from the containers.

Two potential off-site RECs were identified during our research:

- The Thousand Trails property is located approximately 600 feet northwest of the subject property in an upgradient position relative to the subject property. The property had a leaking underground storage tank. The site was reported cleaned up to Ecology in 1995.
- A 10,000 gallon unleaded gasoline UST at the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe is located approximately 300 feet west of the northwest corner of the subject property in an upgradient position relative to the subject property. This UST was installed in 2008 and is reportedly in good working condition.

Impacts to the subject property would be a manifestation of groundwater contamination migrating from Thousand Trails and the above referenced UST. The subject property is underlain by relatively impermeable glacial soils that would inhibit contamination migrating to the subject property. The

GEOENGINEERS

hily 1, 2010 - Folyo 25 Sana mark 2010 Thousand Trails property and UST at the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe do not represent a significant REC to the subject property based on the information reviewed.

Regardless of these potential off-site sources, the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act exempts the subject property owner/operator from classification as an "owner/operator" should contamination migrate to this property from off-site sources (RCW 70.105D.020(12)(iii)). The property owner should not have any fiscal or cleanup responsibility for any potential impacts from these potential off-site sources.

## 6.0 LIMITATIONS

This Phase I ESA has been prepared for use by Upper Skagit Indian Tribe. GeoEngineers has performed this Phase I ESA of the subject property identified in this report in general accordance with the scope and limitations of our proposal dated January 10, 2010 and ASTM E 1527-05, Standard Practice for Phase I ESAs and EPA's Federal Standard 40 CFR Part 312 "Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI)."

Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with the generally accepted environmental science practices for Phase I ESAs in this area at the time this report was prepared. No warranty or other conditions, express or implied, should be understood.

Any electronic form, facsimile or hard copy of the original document (email, text, table, and/or figure), if provided, and any attachments are only a copy of the original document. The original document is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official document of record.

Please refer to Appendix C titled "Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use" for additional information pertaining to use of this report.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to Upper Skagit Indian Tribe. Please call if you require more information or have questions regarding this report.

## 7.0 REFERENCES

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E 1527-05 for Phase I ESAs.

Area-Wide Soil Contamination Task Force Report dated June 30, 2003, Washington State Departments of Agriculture, Ecology, Health, and Community, Trade and Economic Development.

Geologic Map of the Bellingham 1:100,000 Quadrangle, Washington, Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Open File Report 2005-5, December 2000 by Thomas J. Lapen.

"Geotechnical Engineering Services Report, Proposed Skagit Resort Water Park, Bow, Washington", dated February 26, 2007 and "Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Tax Parcels P35839, P123324, P50416, and P50414, Bow, Washington", dated October 26, 2007.

Metsker Atlas maps provided by Bellingham Public Library, dated 1935, 1950, 1972, 1983, 1996.

EDR, 2010. EDR Radius Map Report dated January 7, 2010 (comprehensive environmental database report, including Ecology and EPA databases).

Aerial photographs provided by EDR dated 1971, 1981, and 1990.

United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps for Alger, Washington quadrangle provided by EDR, dated 1952, 1954, 1968, 1994.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Federal Standard 40 CFR Part 312 "Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI)

Skagit County Tax Assessor records from Skagit County website http://www.skagitcounty.net/Common/Asp/Default.asp?d=assessor&c=search&a=ParcelSearch& p=Search.asp&st=address



My 1.2014 Papels Boot material



- - - ----





Site Photographs - Pole Building Interior

Figure 3

# APPENDIX A EDR Report and Legal Descriptions

# The EDR Environmental LienSearch<sup>™</sup> Report





UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE SKAGIT COUNTY BOW, WA 98232

Project Number 02022828.7

September 13, 2007

# The Standard in Environmental Risk Information

440 Wheelers Farm Road Milford, Connecticut 06461

## Nationwide Customer Service

Telephone:	1-800-352-0050
Fax:	1-800-231-6802
Internet:	www.edrnet.com

## EDR Environmental LienSearch™ Report

The EDR Environmental LienSearch Report includes results from a search of available current land title records for environmental cleanup liens and other activity and use limitations, such as engineering controls and institutional controls.

A network of professional, trained researchers follows established procedures to:

- search for parcel information, legal description, and ownership based on client supplied address information;
- research indexes and title repositories;
- obtain a copy of the deed;
- search for environmental encumbering instrument(s) associated with the deed;
- provide a copy of any environmental encumbrance(s) based upon a review of key words in the instrument (title, parties involved, and description); and
- provide a copy of the deed.

Thank you for your business. Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

#### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This report was prepared for the use of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., and NCO Financial Services, Inc. exclusively. This report is neither a guarantee of title, a commitment to insure, nor a policy of title insurance. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WTH THIS REPORT. Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) and NCO Financial Services, Inc. specifically disclaim the making of any such warranties, including without limitation, merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. The information contained in this report is retrieved as it is recorded from the various agencies that make it available. The total liability is limited to the fee paid for this report.

Copyright 2006 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

EDR Environmental Lier	nSearch™ Report
------------------------	-----------------

## TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION

## ADDRESS

UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE 5984 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232

## RESEARCH SOURCE

Sources: Skagit County

## DEED INFORMATION

Type of Deed:	WD 🛛	QCD	Othe	
Title is vested in:	Upper Skagit 3r	ndian Tribe, a	Federally recog	inized Indian Tribe
Title received from:	Pacific States I	Mortgage Corj	o., a Washingto	n Corporation
Deed Dated: Deed Recorded: Document No.	December 17, December 27, 200412270128	2004 2004 3		
LEGAL DESCRIPT	ION			
Description: Legal a	ittached as Exhi	bit "A"		
Assessor's Parcel N	Number: P50414	L .		
ENVIRONMENTAL	LIEN			
Environmental Lien	: Four	nd	Not Found 🔀	3
If yes:				
1 <sup>st</sup> Party:				
2 <sup>nd</sup> Party:				
Dated: Recorded: Book: Page: Comments:				
OTHER ACTIVITY	AND USE LIMIT	TATIONS (AU	<u>Ls)</u>	
Other AUL's:	Four	nd 🖂	Not Found	

Use Limitations recorded in Warranty Deed vesting title, found on page 2 of the Warranty Deed.

-

.

<b>EDR Environmental</b>	I LienSearch™	Report
--------------------------	---------------	--------

## **TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION**

## ADDRESS

UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE 5984 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232

## RESEARCH SOURCE

Sources: Skagit County

## DEED INFORMATION

Type of Deed:	WD 🛛		Oth	er 🚺	DEED
Title is vested in:	Upper Skagit in	dian Tribe			
Title received from:	Richmond JPJ E	Enterprises, Ir	ic., a Washing	aton Corporal	lion
Deed Dated: Deed Recorded: Document No.	July 2, 2003 July 14, 2003 200307140227				
LEGAL DESCRIPT	<u>10N</u>				
Description: Legal a	ntached as Exhib	oit "B"			
Assessor's Parcel N	√umber: P50416				
ENVIRONMENTAL	LIEN				
Environmental Lien	: Found	± []	Not Found	$\boxtimes$	
If yes:					
1 <sup>st</sup> Party:					
2 <sup>nd</sup> Party:					
Dated: Recorded: Book: Page: Comments:					
OTHER ACTIVITY	AND USE LIMIT	ATIONS (AU	Ls)		

Other AUL's:

-

Found

Not Found 🛛

# EDR Environmental LienSearch™ Report

## TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION

## ADDRESS

UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE 5984 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232

## RESEARCH SOURCE

Sources: Skagit County

## DEED INFORMATION

Type of Deed:	WD 🔀	аср 🗌	Other	DEED
Title is vested in:	Upper Skagit In	dian Tribe		
Tille received from:	Paul W. Brendle	e and Wanda M	. Brendle, husband and	t wife
Deed Dated: Deed Recorded: Book: Page.	April 24, 1995 April 25, 1995 1433 527			
LEGAL DESCRIPT	ION			
Description: Legal a	ittached as Exhit	oit "C"		

Assessor's Parcel Number: P35839

NOTE: Deed conveys an undivided 80% interest in the subject property.

## ENVIRONMENTAL LIEN

Environmental Lien:	Found		Not Found	$\boxtimes$
If yes:				
1 <sup>st</sup> Party:				
2 <sup>nd</sup> Party:				
Dated: Recorded: Book: Page: Comments:				
OTHER ACTIVITY AND US	SE LIMITAT	IONS (A	ULs)	
Other AUL's:	Found		Not Found	$\boxtimes$

# EDR Environmental LienSearch™ Report

٢

## TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION

## ADDRESS

UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE 5984 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232

## RESEARCH SOURCE

Sources: Skagit County

## DEED INFORMATION

Type of Deed:	WD 🔀	QCD	Other	DEED					
Title is vested in:	Upper Skagit Ind	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe							
Title received from:	Paul W. Brendlé	and Wanda M. Bren	dle, husband and	wife					
Deed Dated: Deed Recorded: Book: Page:	uted: April 24, 1995 ecorded: April 25, 1995 1433 529								
LEGAL DESCRIPTION									
Description: Legal attached as Exhibit "D"									
Assessor's Parcel Number: P35839									
NOTE: Deed conveys 20% interest in the subject property.									
ENVIRONMENTAL LIEN									

Environmental Lien:	Found		Not Found 🕻	K]
If yes:				
1 <sup>st</sup> Party:				
2 <sup>rd</sup> Party:				
Dated: Recorded: Book: Page: Comments:				
OTHER ACTIVITY AND USE		IONS (AUI	<u>_s)</u>	
Other AUL's:	Found		Not Found	$\boxtimes$
# EDR Environmental LienSearch™ Report **TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION** ADDRESS UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE 5984 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232 RESEARCH SOURCE Sources: Skagit County DEED INFORMATION Other 🔀 Type of Deed: woll DEED An extensive search of Skagit County public records was conducted and no deed vesting title could be found. Subject property has been owned by Upper Skagit Indian Tribe for an extended period of time and it is unknown when they took title. LEGAL DESCRIPTION Description: Legal attached as Exhibit "E" Assessor's Parcel Number: P123324 ENVIRONMENTAL LIEN Not Found Environmental Lien: Found If yes: 1<sup>st</sup> Party:

Not Found

-- --

2<sup>nd</sup> Party: Dated: Recorded: Book: Page: Comments:

Other AUL's:

OTHER ACTIVITY AND USE LIMITATIONS (AULs)

Found

# EDR Environmental LienSearch™ Report

EXHIBIT A

AFTER RECORDING MAIL TO: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe 25948 Community Plaza Way Scurp-Woolley, WA 98284



SKAGIT COUNTY WASHINGTON

REAL ESTATE EXCISE TAX

DEC 2 7 2004

ÌS,

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington

y appointment expires: 12/31/2007

12/27/2004 Page 1 of

211:18AM

Filed for Record at Request of Lund Title Company of Skagit Escrow Number: 114414-PE1

LAND TITLE OF SKAGIT COUNTY

### **Statutory Warranty Deed**

Grantor(I): Pachic States Mortgage Corp. Grantee(1): Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Abbreviated Legal:ptn SE 34, 31-36-4 E W.M. & pm SW %, 52-36-4 E W.M. Assessor's Tax Parcel Number (s): 360431-4-002-0007, P50414, 360432-3-004-0006, P50500

THE GRANTOR Pacific Élétes Meridige Corp., a Washington Corporation for and in consideration of TEN DOLLARS AND OTHER GOOD AND YALUABLE CONSIDERATION in hand paid conveys and warrans to Upper Skegit Indian Tribe, a federally recognized indian tribe the following described real estate, situated in the County of Skagit, State of Washington.

The North % of the Southcast % of Section 31, and that partion of the Northwest % of the Southwest % of Section 32 lying West of the State Highway, all in Township 36 North, Range 4 East, W.M., EXCEPT that portion, if any, conveyed to the State of Washington, Department of Pisheries, including that conveyed by deed dated February 2, 1940, filed February 15, 1940 as File No. 321913 and recorded in Volume 180 of Deeds at page 30, AND EXCEPT that portion described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the West line of Highway 99 and the South line of the Northwest % of the Southwest 14 of said Section 32;

thence West along said South line and the South line of the Northeast 14 of the Southeast 14 of said Section 31 to the Southwest corner of said Northeast % of the Southeast %;

thence North along the West line of said Northeast 14 of the Southeast 14 660 feet, thence East parallel to the South line of said Northeast '4 of the Southeast '4 and said Northwest '4 of the Southwest 1/2 to the West line of said Highway 99. thence Southerly along said highway to the point of beginning,

Situate in the County of Skagit, State of Washington,

1705-

Subject to; Schedule "B-I" attached hereto and made a part thereof.

Dated December 17, 2004 Pacific States Moltgage Corp. By, Robert Ølsson, CEO

STATE OF Washington ) SS: County of Skagit I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence. Robert Olsson

CAP- E HUFFER

STATE OF A SHINGTON

who appeared before тре безгал me, and said person signed this instrument, on oath stated acknowledged that he عه عدار authorized to execute the instrument and is CEO of Pacific States Mortgage Corp.

amie Huffer

Residing at Builington

to be the free and voluntary act of such party for the uses and purposes mentioned in this instrument. Dated: December 17, 2004

Schéonle 'B-1" 114414-PE ... EXCEPTIONS: NOTICE OF MORATORIUM ON NON-FORESTRY USE OF LAND, AND THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREOF Executed Byor Goodyear Nelson Hardwood Lumber Co. Recordede' Recorded: Anditor's File No.2 October 17, 1997 9710170041 Approval Date: October 16, 1997 Providing, ... the land subject to this forest practices application/notification will not be converted to an active use incompatible with timber growing within six years after the approval date of the forest practices permitted in the forest practices application/notification. B. NOTICE OF MORATORILIM ON NON-FORESTRY USE OF LAND, AND THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREOF Executed By: Goodytar Nelson Hardwood Lumber Co. Recorded: December 16 1997 Auditor's File No .: 9712160034 Approval Date: October 1, 1997 Providing: ... the land subject to this forest practices application/ndtification will not be converted to an active nac incompatible with timber growing within six years after the approval date of the forest practices permitted in the forest practices application/notification. C. NOTICE OF MORATORIUM ON NON-FORESTRY USE OF LAND, AND THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREOF Executed By: Goodycar Nelson Hardwood Lamber Co Recorded: December 20, 1999 Auditor's File No.: 199912200003 Approval Date: .. Providing: ... the land subject to this forest practices application/notification will not be converted to an active use incompatible with timber growing within six years after the approval date of the forest practices permitted in the forest practices application/notification. 128 20041227 O Skagit County Auditor 211:18AN 2 of 12/27/2004 Page

EXHIBIT B

-

AFTER RECORDING MAIL TO: Úpper Skagit Indian Tribe 25948 Community Plaza Way Skagit County Auditor Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284 7/14/2003 Page 1 of 2 3:41PM Filed for Record at Request of Land Title Company Of Skagit County Lacrow Number: 107564-PE LAND TOTLE COMPANY OF SKAGIT COUNTY Statutory Warranty Deed Granior(s): Richmond. IPJ Enterprises, Inc. Grantee(s): Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Abbrev. Legal: Pin SVk1/4-SE % S31-136-R4E Additional legal(s) on page: 2 Anumonal legal(s) on page: 2 Assessor's Tax Parcel Number(s): \360431-4-004-0013/P5041(, P50416 THE GRANTOR RICHMOND JPJENTERPRISES, INC., a Washington Corporation for and in consideration of TEN BOLLARS AND OTHER GOOD AND VALUABLE CONSIDERATION in hand paid, conveys and warrants to UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE, a federally recognized Indian Tribe the following described real calue, situated in the County of Skagit, State of Washington. SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" HERETO FOR LEGAL DESCRIPTION  $\sqrt{V}$ TOGETHER WITH THAT CERTAIN MOBILE HOME THLE ELIMINATIONS RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 199907300085 AND 199997300086, LOCATED AND CONSIDERED A PART THEREOF 5/4/6 ALSO TOGETHER WITH THE RIGHT OF DIGRESS AND BORESS THROUGH THE PLAT OF RIVER VALLEY VIEW BITATES TO ACCESS THE SUBJECT PROPERTY UNTIL SEPTEMBER 30, 2003, AT WHICH TIME THIS BASEMENT SHALL BE TERMINATED # 3440 Dated \_ July 2, 2003 SEADIT COUNTY WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE EXCISE TAX Richard JPJ Enterprises, Inc. HAL 1 & 2003 NET Part & 07799 75 By: Rob Niclson, President STATE OF Washington - WMATCOM County of Shargit-) SS: I cartify that I know or have satisfactory ovidence Rob Nielson the person " ~ who appeared before acknowledged that he me, and said person signed this institutent, on oath stated. authorized to execute the instrument and is 18 Prestdont -+---of Richmond JPJ F to be the free and voluntary act of such party for the uses and purposes mentioned in this instrument. Dated: 7/14 NAH7 Notaly Publis in and for the State of Washington UTAR) Reading at Boilingh My appointment expires: 12 11510: **₽UB** C. Page 1 of 2 LPB-10

adule "A-l" DÉSCRIPTION:

ARCEL"'A":

The Southwest % of the Southeast % of Section 31, Township 36 North, Range 4 Bast, W.M.

Situate in the Country of Skapit, State of Washington.

PARCEL "B"

A non-exclusive easement for road and utilities as contained in instrument from Nielsen Brothers Inc. to Richmondy JPR Enterprises, Inc., recorded January 4, 2002, under Auditor's File No. 200201040067, records of Skagir County, Washington.

Situate in the County of Skagir, State of Washington.

PARCEL "C":

All that portion of Lot 1 as shown on the Plat of River Valley View Estates, recorded as Anditor's Pile No. 200105070102, records of Showi County, Washington, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Southwest comprof and Lot 1; thence North 01°35'01" East, along the West line of said Lot 1, a distance of 448.00 feet; thence South 57°45'27" East, a distance of 36.70 feet; thence South 20°34'51" East, a distance of 36.70 feet; thence South 02°00'00" East, a distance of 345.00 feet; thence South 29°58'52" East, a distance of 345.00 feet; thence North 29°58'52" East, a distance of 63.00 feet to the South line of said Lot 1; thence North 86°51'44" West, along said South line, a distance of 100.00 feet to the point of beginning.

TOGETHER WITH AND SUBJECT TO a 12.00 foot wide casement for ingress, egress over a portion of Lot 1, River Valley View Estarcs, as shown on Riar recorded as Auditor's File No. 200105070102, records of Skagit County, Washington, and escencet being 6.00 feet on each side, measured at right angles, from the following described competities:

Beginning at the Southwast corner of said Lot 1; thence North 01°35'01" East, along the West line of said Lot 1, a distance of 448,00 feet to the true point of beginning; thence South 57°45'27" Bast, a distance of 36.70 feet;

thence South 20°34'51" East, a distance of 36.70 feet; thence South 02°00'00" East, a distance of 345.00 feet to the terminute.

The sidelines of the above described easement shall be lengthened and foreshorteness to terminate at the West line of said Lot 1.

Pres 3 of 2

**Skagil Gounty Auditor** 

2 of

2 3-41PM

1913-10

7/14/2003 Page

Situate in the County of Skagit, State of Washington,

# EXHIBIT C

Land Title Company of Skagit County his Spec Ν. Filed for Record at Request of Land Title Company of Skagit County 70,002 AL OVES 9504250042 3 AFTER RECORDING MAIL TO: = З The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Name 2284 Community Place Address City, State, Zip Sedrot Woolley, WA 98284 Escrow Number: 1-74359-8 Statutory Warranty Deed THE GRANTOR FAUL W. BRENDLE and WANDA M. BRENDLE, husband and wife for and in consideration of TEN DOLLARS AND, OTHER GOOD AND VALUABLE CONSIDERATION in hand prid conveys and warrants to THE UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE, a federally recognized Indian Tribe 1.10 the following described real estate, simulation the Countrie Skagit ..., State of Washington: An undivided BOZ interest in the following described property as shown in Exhibit A attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof. SUBJECT TO Easement recorded August 11, 1980 under Audicor's File No. 8008110023 and modified August 13, 1980 under Auditor's Filo No. 8008130012 A SKAGIT COUNTY WASHINGTON Real Estate Extine Tax Ó ateol thás 24 ch day oil Apr+1 1995 APR 2 5 1995 1.64 Bv SUNT Paid \$ 10 Paul W. Brendle Skugi  $\omega$ Handa B By 2 Вy Vanda M. Brendle STATE OF WEShington County of Skagit SS 7 I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that Paul V. Brendle and Wands M. Brendle are the person s', who appeared before me, and said person s signed this instrument and acknowledge it to be <u>cheir</u> free and acknowledged that they free and voluntary act for the uses and phrposes mentioned in this instrument. Dated: April 24th, 1995 Residing a Hount Vertion My appointment expires: 9-1-98 LPRIN BK1433PG0527

Exhibit A

That portion of Government Lots 2 and 3 in Section 6, Township 35, Marth, Range 4. East, W.H., lying Southerly of the Old Bow Hill County road, (as located and established prior to January 18, 1963). Northerly of the county road as conveyed to Skagit County by deed dated January 18, 1963, recorded January 18, 1963, as Auditor's File-No. 631052 and Easterly of P.S.R. \$1, EXCEPT that portion. if any, lying within the boundaries of the following described tract.

Lying within the boundaries of the following describes tract: Beginning at the Korthwest corner of said Government Lot 2; thence South 2725'28", West along the West line thereof a distance of 1056.36 feet; thence South 87°24'32" East a distance of 542.13 feet to a 3/4 inch iron pipe thence North 35°31'45" West a distance of 123.81 feet to a 3/4 inch iron pipe; thence North 11°01'45" Hast a distance of 68 feet, more or less, to the South line of the County Hoad (New Hill Road); thence Ratterly along the South line of said county Road a distance of 220 feet, nore or less, to a point bearing North 48°04'10" East from the true point of beginning; thence South 48°04'10" West a'distance of 213 feet, more or less, to the true point of beginning;

3000528

З

Situate in the County of Skafit, State of Washington.

۰. ج

2 1. 2. EXHIBIT D

Land Title Company of Skagit County ECORDICL. Filed for Record at Request of REDUEST OF Land Title Company of Skagit County 营 R AFTER RECORDING MAIL TO: 9504250043 il Et Name The Upper Skagir Indian Tribe Address 2284 Community Plaza City, State, Zip Sectro Voolley, VA 98284 á UND TITLE COMPANY OF SUBERT COUNTY Escrow Number: T-74359-E Statutory Warranty Deed THE GRANTOR FAUL W. BRENDLE and WANDA N. BRENDLE, husband and wife for and in consideration of No Consideration ; Gift to Grantee is band paid, conveys and warrants to THE OPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE, a federally recognized Indian Tribe the following described real estate, simulatin the Countr of Skagit ..., State of Washington: An undivided 201 interest in the following described property as shown in Exhibit A attached hereto and by this reference mide, a part hereof. SUBJECT TO Easement recorded August 11: 1980 under Auditor's File No., 8008110023 and modified August 13, 1980 under Auditor's File No. 8008130012. SKAGIT COUNTY WASHINGTON Real Entern Cacino Dated this 24th day of April, 1995 вχ.√, APR 25 1995 Bγ Paul W. Brendle ЯÈ 1.7. ... Ð, ₿y . 1 B١ Wanda H. Brendle STATE OF Washington County of Skagit SC. I cartify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that Paul W. Brendle and Wanda M. Brendle the person s acknowledged that they are V who appeared before me, and said person 3 signed this instrument and acknowledge it to be their free and voluntary act for the uses and purposes mentioned in this instrument. Dated: April 24th. 1995 . Les Cleave .-Малсу • : Notary Public in and for the State of Washington Residing at Hount Vernon My appointment expires: 9,1-98 9504250043 BK1433FG0529

Exhibit A

That portion of Government Lote 2 and 3 in Section 6, Township 35, North, Ra Sast, M.M., lying Southerly of the Old Bow Mill County road, (as located satablished prior to January 18, 1963), Northerly of the county road as oppray Skapit County. by dead dated January 19, 1963, recorded January 18, 3963 Auditor's File No. 631052 and Basterly of P.S.B. \$1, SKOEPT that portion, 11 lying within the boundaries of the following described tract: (as located to -1963 B

Beginning at "he Northwest corper of said Government Lot 2; thence South 2\*35\*28) West along the West line thereof a distance of 1066.36 feet; thence South 2\*35\*28 a distance of 542.13 feet to a 3/4 inch iron pipe and the true point of Beginning; thence Borth 35\*32\*45\* West a distance of 123.81 feet to a 3/4 inch iron pipe; thence North 1\*01(45\* Rest a distance of 68 feet, more or less, to the South line of the county Road (How Hill Road); thence Easterly along the South line of said County Road a distance of 220 feet, sore or less, to a goint (bearing North 48\*04\*10" East from the true point of Beginning;

beginning; thence South 45°04'10" Word a distance of 213 fast, more or less, to the true point of beginning; EXCEPT County Road and right of way therefore, if any.

3

З

30

Situate in the County of Skagit, State of Washington.

**EXHIBIT E** 

### Legal Description

٩,

ACREAGE ACCOUNT, ACRES 20.74, THAT PORTION OF GOVERNMENT LOTS 1 AND 2, IN SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., LYING NORTHERLY OF THE RIGHT OF WAY FOR THE BOW HILL COUNTY ROAD, AS SAID ROAD EXISTED ON APRIL 16, 1968, EXCEPT THAT PORTION THEREOF LYING SOUTHERLY OF THE OLD BOW HILL COUNTY ROAD, AS SAID ROAD EXISTED ON JANUARY 18, 1963, SURVEY RECORDED AF#200508020064. Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site

6019 NORTH DARRK LANE Bow, WA 98232

Inquiry Number: 2673432.1s January 07, 2010



440 Wheelers Farms Road Millord, CT 06461 Tol: Frae, 800 352 With www.ean.et.com

FORM-NULL-ARB

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION

## PAGE

Executive Summary	ES1
Overview Map	2
Detail Map	3
Map Findings Summary	4
Map Findings	7
Orphan Summary	10
Government Records Searched/Data Currency Tracking	GR-1

## GEOCHECK ADDENDUM

Physical Setting Source Addendum	A-1
Physical Setting Source Summary	A-2
Physical Setting SSURGO Soil Map	A-5
Physical Setting Source Map	A-9
Physical Setting Source Map Findings	A-10
Physical Setting Source Records Searched	A-22

Thank you for your business. Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any guestions or comments.

### Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2010 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

A search of available environmental records was conducted by Environmental Data Resources, Inc (EDR). The report was designed to assist parties seeking to meet the search requirements of EPA's Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (40 CFR Part 312), the ASTM Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments (E 1527-05) or custom requirements developed for the evaluation of environmental risk associated with a parcel of real estate.

### TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION

### ADDRESS

6019 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232

### COORDINATES

Latitude (North):	48.561500 - 48° 33' 41.4"
Longitude (West):	122.343100 - 122* 20' 35.2'
Universal Tranverse Mercator:	Zone 10
UTM X (Meters):	548469.1
UTM Y (Meters):	5378701.0
Elevation:	261 ft, above sea level

### USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP ASSOCIATED WITH TARGET PROPERTY

Target Property Map:48122-E3 ALGER, WAMost Recent Revision:1994

### TARGET PROPERTY SEARCH RESULTS

The target property was not listed in any of the databases searched by EDR.

### DATABASES WITH NO MAPPED SITES

No mapped sites were found in EDR's search of available ("reasonably ascertainable ") government records either on the target property or within the search radius around the target property for the following databases:

### STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Federal NPL site list

 NPL\_\_\_\_\_\_National Priority List

 Proposed NPL\_\_\_\_\_\_Proposed National Priority List Sites

 NPL LIENS\_\_\_\_\_\_Federal Superfund Liens

### Federal Delisted NPL site list

Delisted NPL ...... National Priority List Deletions



### Federal CERCLIS list

### Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List

CERC-NFRAP..... CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned

### Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list

CORRACTS..... Corrective Action Report

### Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list

RCRA-TSDF..... RCRA - Transporters, Storage and Disposal

### Federal RCRA generators list

### Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries

US ENG CONTROLS...... Engineering Controls Sites List US INST CONTROL....... Sites with Institutional Controls

### Federal ERNS list

ERNS\_\_\_\_\_ Emergency Response Notification System

### State- and tribal - equivalent NPL

HSL...... Hazardous Sites List

### State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS

CSCSL...... Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List

### State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists

SWF/LF...... Solid Waste Facility Database

### State and tribal leaking storage tank lists

LUST..... Leaking Underground Storage Tanks Site List INDIAN LUST..... Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

### State and tribal registered storage tank lists

AST	Aboveground Storage Tank Locations
INDIAN UST	
FEMA UST	Underground Storage Tank Listing

TC2673432.1s EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2

## State and tribal institutional control / engineering control registries

INST CONTROL

### State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites

VCP	Voluntary Cleanup Program Sites
INDIAN VCP	Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing
ICR	Independent Cleanup Reports

### State and tribal Brownfields sites

BROWNFIELDS Brownfields Sites Listing

### ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

### Local Brownfield lists

US BROWNFIELDS...... A Listing of Brownfields Sites

### Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites

ODI	Open Dump Inventory
DEBRIS REGION 9	Torres Martinez Reservation Illegal Dump Site Locations
SWTIRE	Solid Waste Tire Facilities
INDIAN ODI	Report on the Status of Open Dumps on Indian Lands

### Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites

US CDL	Clandestine Drug Labs
CSCSL NFA	Confirmed & Contaminated Sites - No Further Action
CDL	Clandestine Drug Lab Contaminated Site List
HIST CDL	List of Sites Contaminated by Clandestine Drug Labs
US HIST CDL	National Clandestine Laboratory Register

### Local Land Records

LIENS 2	CERCLA Lien Information
LUCIS	Land Use Control Information System

### Records of Emergency Release Reports

HMIRS...... Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System SPILLS...... Reported Spills

### Other Ascertainable Records

RCRA-NonGen	
DOT OPS	Incident and Accident Data
DOD.	Department of Defense Sites
FUDS	
CONSENT.	
ROD.	Records Of Decision

UMTRA	I Iranium Mill Tailings Sites
MINES	Mines Master Index File
TRIS	Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
FTTS	FIERA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIERA (Federal Insecticide, Fundicide, & Rodenticide
	Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
HIST FTTS	FIERA/TSCA Tracking System Administrative Case Listing
SSTS	Section 7 Tracking Systems
ICIS	Integrated Compliance Information System
PADS	PCB Activity Database System
MLTS.	Material Licensing Tracking System
RADINFO	Radiation Information Database
FINDS	Facility Index System/Facility Registry System
RAATS.	RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
UIC	Underground Injection Wells Listing
MANIFEST.	Hazardous Waste Manifest Data
DRYCLEANERS	Drycleaner List
NPDES.	Water Quality Permit System Data
AIRS	Washington Emissions Data System
Inactive Drycleaners	Inactive Drycleaners
INDIAN RESERV	Indian Reservations
SCRD DRYCLEANERS	State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing
COAL ASH EPA	Coal Combustion Residues Surface Impoundments List
PCB TRANSFORMER	PCB Transformer Registration Database
COAL ASH DOE	Sleam-Electric Plan Operation Data
COAL ASH	Coal Ash Disposal Site Listing

EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS

### EDR Proprietary Records

Manufactured Gas Plants..... EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants

### SURROUNDING SITES: SEARCH RESULTS

Surrounding sites were identified in the following databases.

Elevations have been determined from the USGS Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified. Sites with an elevation equal to or higher than the target property have been differentiated below from sites with an elevation lower than the target property.

Page numbers and map identification numbers refer to the EDR Radius Map report where detailed data on individual sites can be reviewed.

Sites listed in *bold italics* are in multiple databases.

Unmappable (orphan) sites are not considered in the foregoing analysis.

STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

State and tribal registered storage tank lists

UST: The Underground Storage Tank database contains registered USTs. USTs are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The data come from the Department of Ecology's Statewide UST Site/Tank Report.

A review of the UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 11/24/2009 has revealed that there is 1 UST site within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Direction / Distance	Map ID	Page
BOW HILL GAS & FOOD MART	5984 N DARRK LN	NNW 1/8 - 1/4 (0.233 mi.)	1	7

### ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

### Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites

ALLSITES: Information on facilities and sites of interest to the Department of Ecology.

A review of the ALLSITES list, as provided by EDR, and dated 11/07/2009 has revealed that there are 2 ALLSITES sites within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Direction / Distance	Map ID	Page
BOW HILL GAS & FOOD MART	5984 N DARRK LN	NNW 1/8 - 1/4 (0.233 mi.)	1	7
THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT VERN	5409 DARRK LN	S 1/4 - 1/2 (0.331 mi.)	2	8





Due to poor or inadequate address information, the following sites were not mapped:

### Site Name

TONY E GOODE PULLEY RIDGE WETLAND MITIGATION SI 5 SOUTHBOUND MP 238 BOUSLOG INDUSTRIAL SITE DEVELOPMEN 1495 RT 20 NORTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AUTHOR BUR STEEN RETAIL CENTER 1340 HWY 99 RALLYE AUTO SALES INC SITE PLAN FOR RE CARPET WASTE MGMT SKAGIT CO HAULING SKAGIT PREVENTIVE DENTAL CLINIC BEN RICHARDS FABRICATION MOTOR WORKS NW SKAGIT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS HYUNDAI DEALERSHIP FOR SKAGIT CROS SKAGIT CNTY PWD BUTLER PIT SKAGIT TRANSMISSION LILA LANE 5585 OLD HWY 99 N 3570 OLD HWY 99 N 200 OLD HWY 99 OLD HWY 99 MP 439 RONNIES STATION CONCRETE NORWEST BELLEVILLE PIT CAMPING WORLD INC PSE SKAGIT SERVICE CENTER SKAGIT FARMERS SUPPLY TUDOR SITE COMMERCIAL NORTH BURLINGTON BLVD IMPROVEMENT CLEMENTS CONDOMINIUMS ALGER VIDEO 680 HWY 20 W HWY 20 & ALDER RD PSE SKAGIT SERVICE CENTER UPPER BIGGER LAKE PSE SKAGIT SERVICE CENTER SKAGIT FARMERS SUPPLY II SKAGIT COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. -PORT OF SKAGIT COUNTY SKAGIT COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT 5

Database(s) ALLSITES, UST ALLSITES RCRA-CESQG, FINDS, ALLSITES ALLSITES FINDS, ALLSITES ALLSITES ALLSITES FINDS, ALLSITES FINDS, ALLSITES, UST FINDS, ALLSITES, UST FINDS, ALLSITES RCRA-NonGen, FINDS, ALLSITES ALLSITES ALLSITES ALLSITES ALLSITES, MANIFEST ALLSITES, UST ALLSITES ALLSITES RCRA-NonGen, FINDS, ALLSITES LUST RCRA-SQG, FINDS RCRA-NonGen, FINDS RCRA-NonGen ERNS FINDS FINDS ICR ICIS NPDES

TC2673432.1s EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 6



SITE NAME: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site	CLIENT: Geo Engineers, Inc.
ADDRESS: 6019 NORTH DARRK LANE	CONTACT: Ron Bek
Bow WA 98232	INQUIRY #: 2673432.1s
LAT/LONG: 48.5615 / 122.3431	DATE: January 07, 2010 6:36 pm

Copyright @ 2010 EDR, Inc. @ 2010 Tele Atlas Rel. 07/2007.



SITE NAME: ADDRESS: LAT/LONG:	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 6019 NORTH DARRK LANE Bow WA 98232 48.5615 / 122.3431	CLIENT: Geo Engineers, Inc. CONTACT: Ron Bek INQUIRY #: 2673432.1s DATE: January 07, 2010 6:37 pm
	······································	Copyright @ 2010 EDR, Inc. @ 2010 Tels Atlas Rel. 07/2007

# MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

Database	Target Property	Search Distance (Miles)	<u>&lt; 1/8</u>	1/8 - 1/4	1/4 - 1/2	1/2 - 1	> 1	Total Plotted
STANDARD ENVIRONMENT	AL RECORDS							
Federal NPL site list								
NPL Proposed NPL NPL LIENS		1.000 1.000 TP	0 0 NR	0 0 NR	0 0 NR	0 0 NR	NR NR NR	0 0 0
Federal Delisted NPL site	e list							
Delisted NPL		1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
Federal CERCLIS list								
CERCLIS FEDERAL FACILITY		0.500 1.000	0 0	0 0	0 0	NR 0	NR NR	0 0
Federal CERCLIS NFRAF	? site List							
CERC-NFRAP		0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
Federal RCRA CORRACI	S facilities l	ist						
CORRACTS		1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
Federal RCRA non-CORF	RACTS TSD	facilities list						
RCRA-TSDF		0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
Federal RCRA generators	s list							
RCRA-LQG RCRA-SQG RCRA-CESQG		0.250 0.250 0.250	0 0 0	0 0 0	NR NR NR	NR NR NR	NR NR NR	0 0 0
Federal institutional cont engineering controls reg	trols / istries							
US ENG CONTROLS US INST CONTROL		0.500 0.500	0 0	0 0	0 0	NR NR	NR NR	0 0
Federal ERNS list								
ERNS		ŤP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
State- and tribal - equival	lent NPL							
HSL		1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
State- and tribal - equival	ient CERCLi	s						
CSCSL		1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
State and tribal landfill ai solid waste disposal site	nd/or lists							
SWF/LF		0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
State and tribal leaking s	torage tank	lists						
LUST INDIAN LUST		0.500 0.500	0 0	0 0	0 0	NR NR	NR NR	0 0

TC2673432.1s Page 4

# MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

Database	Target Property	Search Distance (Miles)	< 1/8	1/8 - 1/4	1/4 - 1/2	1/2 - 1	> 1	Total Plotted
State and tribal registered	d storage tar	nk lists						
UST AST INDIAN UST FEMA UST		0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	NR NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR	1 0 0 0
State and tribal institution control / engineering con	nal trol registrie	s						
INST CONTROL		0,500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
State and tribal voluntary	cleanup site	es						
VCP INDIAN VCP ICR		0.500 0.500 0.500	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	NR NR NR	NR NR NR	0 0 0
State and tribal Brownfie	lds sites							
BROWNFIELDS		0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMEN	TAL RECORD	<u>5</u>						
Local Brownfield lists								
US BROWNFIELDS		0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
Local Lists of Landfill / Se Waste Disposal Sites	olid							
ODI DEBRIS REGION 9 SWTIRE INDIAN ODI		0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	NR NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR	0 0 0 0
Local Lists of Hazardous Contaminated Sites	waste /							
US CDL ALLSITES CSCSL NFA CDL HIST CDL US HIST CDL		TP 0.500 0.500 TP TP TP	NR 0 NR NR NR	NR 1 0 NR NR NR	NR 1 NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR NR	0 2 0 0 0
Local Land Records								
LIENS 2 LUCIS		TP 0.500	NR 0	NR 0	NR 0	NR NR	NR NR	0 0
Records of Emergency R	elease Repo	rts						
HMIRS SPILLS		TP TP	NR NR	NR NR	NR NR	NR NR	NR NR	0 0
Other Ascertainable Reco	ords							
RCRA-NonGen		0.250	0	0	NR	NR	NR	0





# MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

Database Property	Search Distance (Miles)	< 1/8	<u> 1/8 - 1/4</u>	1/4 - 1/2	1/2 - 1	> 1	Total Plotted
DOT OPS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
DOD	1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
FUDS	1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
CONSENT	1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
ROD	1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
UMTRA	0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
MINES	0.250	0	0	NR	NR	NR	0
TRIS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
TSCA	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
FTTS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
HIST FTTS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
SSTS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
ICIS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
PADS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
MLTS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
RADINFO	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
FINDS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
RAATS	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
UIC	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
MANIFEST	0.250	0	0	NR	NR	NR	0
DRYCLEANERS	0.250	0	0	NR	NR	NR	0
NPDES	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
AIRS	TP	NŔ	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
Inactive Drycleaners	0.250	0	0	NR	NR	NR	0
INDIAN RESERV	1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0
SCRD DRYCLEANERS	0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
COAL ASH EPA	0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
PCB TRANSFORMER	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
COAL ASH DOE	TP	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
COAL ASH	0.500	0	0	0	NR	NR	0
EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS							
EDR Proprietary Records							
Manufactured Gas Plants	1.000	0	0	0	0	NR	0

## NOTES:

TP = Target Property

NR = Not Requested at this Search Distance

Sites may be listed in more than one database

Map ID

MAP FINDINGS

Direction EDR ID Number Distance EPA ID Number Elevation Site Database(s) FINDS 1007122134 BOW HILL GAS & FOOD MART 1 NNW 5984 N DARRK LN ALLSITES N/A 1/8-1/4 BOW, WA 98232 UST 0.233 mi. 1228 ft. FINDS: Relative: Higher Registry ID: 110015930162 Actual: 268 ft. Environmental Interest/Information System Washington Facility / Site Identification System (WA-FSIS) provides a means to query and display data maintained by the Washington Department of Ecology. This system contains key information for each facility/site that is currently, or has been, of interest to the Air Quality, Dam Safety, Hazardous Waste, Toxics Cleanup, and Water Quality Programs. ALLSITES: Facility Id: 5177350 Latitude: 48.564389000942597 Longitude: -122.345333 Geographic location identifier (alias facid): 5177350 Facility Name: BOW HILL GAS & FOOD MART Latitude Decimal Degrees: 48.564388999999998 Longitude Decimal Degrees: -122.345333 Coordinate Point Areal Extent Code: 4 Horizontal Accuracy Code: 99 Coordinate Point Geographic Position Code: 8 Location Verified Code: N Geographic location identifier (alias facid): 5177350 Facility Name: BOW HILL GAS & FOOD MART Latitude Decimal Degrees: 48.564388999999998 Longitude Decimal Degrees: -122.345333 Coordinate Point Areal Extent Code: 4 Horizontal Accuracy Code: 99 Coordinate Point Geographic Position Code: 8 Location Verified Code: Ν UŞT: Facility ID: 5177350 Site ID: 618944 Lat Deg: 48 Lat Min: 33 Lat Sec: 51.8004033934784 Long Deg: -122 Long Min: 20 Long Sec: 43.1987999999365 UBI: 6026119620010002 Phone Number: 3607240223 Tank ID: 618313 Tank Name: TANK #1 Install Date: 6/17/2003 Capacity: 20,000 to 29,999 Gallons

TC2673432.1s Page 7

Direction Distance	ч				EDR ID Num
Elevation	Site			Database(s)	EPA ID Num
	BOW HILL GAS & FOOD MART	(Continued)			1007122134
	Tank Upgrade Date: TankSystem Status: TankSystem Status: TankSystem Status: Tank Permit Expiration Date: Tank Closure Date: Tank Closure Date: Tank Closure Date: Tank Spill Prevention: Tank Spill Prevention: Tank Overfill Prevention: Tank Material: Tank Construction: Tank Tightness Test: Tank Corrosion Protection: Pipe Material: Pipe Construction: Pipe Primary Release Detect Pipe Second Release Detect Pipe Corrosion Protection: Tank Primary Release Detect Dipe Corrosion Rotection: Tank Second Release Detect Pipe Tightness Test: Tank Actual Status Date:	6/17/2003 Operationa Operationa 5/31/2010 1/1/0001 Pressurize Spill Bucke Automatic Fiberglass Double Wa Not reporte Corrosion I Flexible Pii Double Wa tion: Automatic cion: Interstitial I Corrosion I tion: Not reporte No 6/17/2003	al al d System et/Spill Box Shutoff (fill pipe) Reinforced Plastic all Tank ed Resistant ping all Pipe Line Leak Detection Monitoring (sump sensor) Resistant Monitoring ed		
	·	····			
2 South 1/4-1/2 0.331 mi. 1745 ft.	THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT 5409 DARRK LN BOW, WA 98232	I VERNON		FINDS ALLSITES UST	1007075808 N/A
2 South 1/4-1/2 0.331 mi. 1745 ft. Relative: Higher	THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT 5409 DARRK LN BOW, WA 98232 FINDS: Begistry ID: 1100	FVERNON		FINDS ALLSITES UST	1007075801 N/A
2 South 1/4-1/2 0.331 mi. 1745 ft. Relative: Higher Actual: 265 ft.	THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT 5409 DARRK LN BOW, WA 98232 FINDS: Registry ID: 1100 Environmental Interest/Inform Washingto means to o Departmer facility/site Quality, Da Quality Pro	FVERNON 15524574 nation System on Facility / Site I query and displa nt of Ecology. Th that is currently am Safety, Haza ograms.	Identification System (WA-FSIS) provides a by data maintained by the Washington his system contains key information for each , or has been, of interest to the Air rdous Waste, Toxics Cleanup, and Water	FINDS ALLSITES UST	1007075808 N/A
2 South 1/4-1/2 0.331 mi. 1745 ft. Relative: Higher Actual: 265 ft.	THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT 5409 DARRK LN BOW, WA 98232 FINDS: Registry ID: 1100 Environmental Interest/Inform Washingto means to a Departmer facility/site Quality.Dta Quality.Dta Quality.Dta Quality.Pro- ALLSITES: Facility Id: Latitude: Longitude: Geographic location identifier Facility Name: Latitude Decimal Degrees: Coordinate Point Geographic Location Verified Code:	r VERNON 15524574 nation System on Facility / Site I query and displa t of Ecology. Tr that is currently am Safety, Haza ograms. 13182941 48.56718 -122.3455600 r (alias facid): tt Code: Position Code:	Identification System (WA-FSIS) provides a ny data maintained by the Washington his system contains key information for each , or has been, of interest to the Air rrdous Waste, Toxics Cleanup, and Water 00000001 13182941 THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT VERNOR 48.56718 -122.34556000000001 4 4 5 Y	FINDS ALLSITES UST	1007075808 N/A

•

# Map ID Direction Distance Elevation Site

MAP FINDINGS

Database(s)

EDR ID Number EPA ID Number

тно	USAND TRAILS INC MOUNT VE	RNON (Cont	tinued)	100707580
	Facility Name: Latitude Decimal Degrees: Longitude Decimal Degrees: Coordinate Point Areal Extent Code: Horizontal Accuracy Code: Coordinate Point Geographic Position Code: Location Verified Code:		THOUSAND TRAILS INC MOUNT VERNON 48.56718 -122.34556000000001 4 4 5 5	
E I	ST.			
0.	Eacility ID:	13182941		
	Site ID:	200592		
	Lat Deg:	48		
	Lat Min:	34		
	Lat Sec:	1 848000000	100166	
	Long Deg:	-122		
	Long Mia	20		
	Long Sec	44 01600000	000219	
	UBP	Not reported		
	Phone Number:	L00191		
	Tank ID:	563561		
	Tank Name:	1		
	Install Date:	1/1/1975		
	Capacity:	Notreported		
	Tank Upgrade Date:	1/1/0001		
	TankSystem Status:	Removed		
	TankSystem Status Change Date	:1/4/1991		
	Tank Status:	Removed		
	Tank Permit Expiration Date:	1/1/0001		
	Tank Closure Date:	1/1/0001		
	Tank Pumping System:	Not reported		
	Tank Spill Prevention:	Not reported		
	Tank Overfill Prevention:	Not reported		
	Tank Material:	Not reported		
	Tank Construction:	Not reported		
	Tank Tightness Test:	Not reported		
	Tank Corrosion Protection:	Not reported		
	Pipe Material:	Not reported		
	Pipe Construction:	Not reported		
	Pipe Primary Retease Detection:	Not reported		
	Pipe Second Release Detection:	Not reported		
	Pipe Corrosion Protection:	Not reported		
	Tank Primary Release Detection:	Not reported		
	Tank Second Release Detection:	Not reported		
	Pipe Tightness Test:	Not reported		
	Tank Actual Status Date:	3/30/2001		
	Tag Number:	Not reported		

ORPHAN SUMMARY



. . .

City	EDR ID	Site Name	Site Address	Zip	Database(s)
BOW	U004041020	TONY E GOODE	1264 HWY 237	98232	ALLSITES, UST
80W	S109823967	PULLEY RIDGE WETLAND MITIGATION SI	BOW HILL RD	98232	ALLSITES
BOW	1001491300		5 SOUTHBOUND MP 238	98232	RCRA-CESQG, FINDS, ALLSITE
BOW	S109140198	SKAGIT COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT 5	13501 SULLIVAN RD	98232	NPDES
BURLINGTON	S110040590	BOUSLOG INDUSTRIAL SITE DEVELOPMEN	12185TH & 12209 BAYRIDGE DR	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1007451864		1495 RT 20	98233	FINDS, ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1001082019		680 HWY 20 W	98233	RCRA-SQG, FINDS
BURLINGTON	1000411159		HWY 20 & ALDER RD	98233	RCRA-NonGen, FINDS
BURLINGTON	S109555361	NORTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AUTHOR BUR	HWY 20 & ALDER RD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110040904	STEEN RETAIL CENTER	740 HWY 20	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1007070319		1340 HWY 99	98233	FINDS, ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110040218	RALLYE AUTO SALES INC	1010 HWY 99	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110039474	SITE PLAN FOR RE CARPET	478 ANDIS RD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S104485670	SKAGIT COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPT	201 E AVON	98233	ICR
BURLINGTON	S110037641	WASTE MGMT SKAGIT CO HAULING	12122 BAY RIDGE DR	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110037855	SKAGIT PREVENTIVE DENTAL CLINIC	241 S BURLINGTON BLVD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110038266	BEN RICHARDS FABRICATION	702 CASCADE HWY	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110040273	MOTOR WORKS NW	701 CASCADE HWY	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S109824275	SKAGIT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS	690 COUNTY SHOP LN	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110035994	HYUNDAI DEALERSHIP FOR SKAGIT CROS	1359 GOLDENROD RD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1011492584	PORT OF SKAGIT COUNTY	1180 HIGGINS AIRPORT WAY	98233	ICIS
BURLINGTON	S110037652	SKAGIT ONTY PWD BUTLER PIT	1647 KELLEHER RD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S109824206	SKAGIT TRANSMISSION LILA LANE	303 LILA LN	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1007071732		5585 OLD HWY 99 N	98233	FINDS, ALLSITES, UST
BURLINGTON	1007077709		3570 OLD HWY 99 N	98233	FINDS, ALLSITES, UST
BURLINGTON	1007062132		200 OLD HWY 99	98233	FINDS, ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1001600555		OLD HWY 99 MP 439	98233	RCRA-NonGen, FINDS, ALLSITE
BURLINGTON	S102997431	ALGER VIDEO	3570 OLD HWY 99 N	98233	LUST
BURLINGTON	S109824804	RONNIES STATION	1714 OLD HWY 99 S	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110036871	CONCRETE NORWEST BELLEVILLE PIT	8198 OLD HWY 99 N RD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110039786	CAMPING WORLD INC	1240 OLD HWY 99	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	1011399842	PSE SKAGIT SERVICE CENTER	1660 PARK LN NE	98233	FINDS
BURLINGTON	1011266889	SKAGIT FARMERS SUPPLY II	1660 PARK LN	98233	FINDS
BURLINGTON	S109053276	PSE SKAGIT SERVICE CENTER	1660 PARK LN NE	98233	ALLSITES, MANIFEST
BURLINGTON	U004060319	SKAGIT FARMERS SUPPLY	1660 PARK LN	98233	ALLSITES, UST
BURLINGTON	1010788406	PSE SKAGIT SERVICE CENTER	1660 PARK LN NE	98233	RCRA-NonGen
BURLINGTON	S110036949	TUDOR SITE COMMERCIAL	200 PEASE RD	98233	ALLSITES
BURLINGTON	S110039919	NORTH BURLINGTON BLVD IMPROVEMENT	N SR20 HWY	98233	ALLSITES
CONCRETE	1001820232	CLEMENTS CONDOMINIUMS	4820 HWY 20	98233	RCRA-NonGen, FINDS, ALLSIT
SKAGIT COUNTY	2008903332	UPPER BIGGER LAKE	UPPER BIGGER LAKE		ERNS

To maintain currency of the following federal and state databases, EDR contacts the appropriate governmental agency on a monthly or quarterly basis, as required.

Number of Days to Update: Provides confirmation that EDR is reporting records that have been updated within 90 days from the date the government agency made the information available to the public.

### STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

### Federal NPL site list

NPL: National Priority List

National Priorities List (Superfund). The NPL is a subset of CERCLIS and identifies over 1,200 sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund Program. NPL sites may encompass relatively large areas. As such, EDR provides polygon coverage for over 1,000 NPL site boundaries produced by EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) and regional EPA offices.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/14/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 26 Source: EPA Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 11/13/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/25/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

NPL Site Boundaries

Sources:

EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) Telephone: 202-564-7333

EPA Region 1 Telephone 617-918-1143

EPA Region 3 Telephone 215-814-5418

EPA Region 4 Telephone 404-562-8033

EPA Region 5 Telephone 312-886-6686

EPA Region 10 Telephone 206-553-8665 EPA Region 6 Telephone: 214-655-6659

EPA Region 7 Telephone: 913-551-7247

EPA Region 8 Telephone: 303-312-6774

EPA Region 9 Telephone: 415-947-4246

Proposed NPL: Proposed National Priority List Sites

A site that has been proposed for listing on the National Priorities List through the issuance of a proposed rule in the Federal Register. EPA then accepts public comments on the site, responds to the comments, and places on the NPL those sites that continue to meet the requirements for listing.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/14/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 26 Source: EPA Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 11/13/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/25/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

NPL LIENS: Federal Superfund Liens

Federal Superfund Liens. Under the authority granted the USEPA by CERCLA of 1980, the USEPA has the authority to file liens against real property in order to recover remedial action expenditures or when the property owner received notification of potential liability. USEPA compiles a listing of filed notices of Superfund Liens.

Date of Government Version: 10/15/1991 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/02/1994 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/30/1994 Number of Days to Update: 56 Source: EPA Telephone: 202-564-4267 Last EDR Contact: 08/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2009 Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

TC2673432.1s Page GR-1

#### Federal Delisted NPL site list

DELISTED NPL: National Priority List Deletions

The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/14/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009
Number of Days to Update: 26

Source: EPA Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 11/13/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/25/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### Federal CERCLIS list

CERCLIS: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System CERCLIS contains data on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). CERCLIS contains sites which are either proposed to or on the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites which are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL

Date of Government Version: 06/30/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/11/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/21/2009 Number of Days to Update: 41

Source: EPA Telephone: 703-412-9810 Last EDR Contact: 12/28/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

### FEDERAL FACILITY: Federal Facility Site Information listing

A listing of NPL and Base Realighment & Closure sites found in the CERCLIS database where FERRO is involved in cleanup projects

Date of Government Version: 10/03/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/10/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/29/2009 Number of Days to Update: 81

Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 703-603-8704 Last EDR Contact: 10/16/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/25/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List

#### CERCLIS-NFRAP: CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned

Archived sites are sites that have been removed and archived from the inventory of CERCLIS sites. Archived status indicates that, to the best of EPA's knowledge, assessment at a site has been completed and that EPA has determined no further steps will be taken to list this site on the National Priorities List (NPL), unless information indicates this decision was not appropriate or other considerations require a recommendation for listing at a later time. This decision does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; it only means that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site.

Date of Government Version: 06/23/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/02/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/21/2009 Number of Days to Update: 19

Source: EPA Тејерћоле: 703-412-9810 Last EDR Contact: 11/24/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

### Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list

### CORRACTS: Corrective Action Report

CORRACTS identifies hazardous waste handlers with RCRA corrective action activity

Date of Government Version: 09/15/2009	Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/22/2009	Telephone: 800-424-9346
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009	Last EDR Contact: 11/16/2009
Number of Days to Update: 48	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/01/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

TC2673432.1s Page GR-2

### Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list

### RCRA-TSDF: RCRA - Transporters, Storage and Disposal

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Transporters are individuals or entities that move hazardous waste from the generator offsite to a facility that can recycle, treat, store, or dispose of the waste. TSDFs treat, store, or dispose of the waste.

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 118 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: (206) 553-1200 Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

### Federal RCRA generators list

### RCRA-LQG: RCRA - Large Quantity Generators

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Large quantity generators (LQGs) generate over 1,000 kilograms (kg) of hazardous waste, or over 1 kg of acutety hazardous waste per month.

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 118 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: (206) 553-1200 Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

### RCRA-SQG: RCRA - Small Quantity Generators

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Small quantity generators (SQGs) generate between 100 kg and 1,000 kg of hazardous waste per month.

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 118 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: (206) 553-1200 Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

### RCRA-CESQG: RCRA - Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Conditionally exempt small quantity generators (CESQGs) generate less than 100 kg of hazardous waste, or less than 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month.

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 118 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: (206) 553-1200 Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries

#### US ENG CONTROLS: Engineering Controls Sites List

A listing of sites with engineering controls in place. Engineering controls include various forms of caps, building foundations, liners, and treatment methods to create pathway elimination for regulated substances to enter environmental media or effect human health.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/09/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 31 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 703-603-0695 Last EDR Contact: 12/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### US INST CONTROL: Sites with Institutional Controls

A listing of sites with institutional controls in place. Institutional controls include administrative measures, such as groundwater use restrictions, construction restrictions, property use restrictions, and post remediation care requirements intended to prevent exposure to contaminants remaining on site. Deed restrictions are generally required as part of the institutional controls.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/09/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 31 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 703-603-0695 Last EDR Contact: 12/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### Federal ERNS list

ERNS: Emergency Response Notification System

Emergency Response Notification System. ERNS records and stores information on reported releases of oil and hazardous substances.

Date of Government Version: 08/31/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/17/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 53 Source: National Response Center, United States Coast Guard Telephone: 202-267-2180 Last EDR Contact: 10/06/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually

#### State- and tribal - equivalent NPL

HSL: Hazardous Sites List

The Hazardous Sites List is a subset of the CSCSL Report. It includes sites which have been assessed and ranked using the Washington Ranking Method (WARM).

Date of Government Version: 08/19/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/04/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/22/2009 Number of Days to Update: 18 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7200 Last EDR Contact: 11/13/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/01/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

### State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS

#### CSCSL: Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List

State Hazardous Waste Sites. State hazardous waste site records are the states' equivalent to CERCLIS. These sites may or may not already be listed on the federal CERCLIS list. Priority sites planned for cleanup using state funds (state equivalent of Superfund) are identified along with sites where cleanup will be paid for by potentially responsible parties. Available information varies by state.

Date of Government Version: 10/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/25/2009 Number of Days to Update: 27

Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7200 Last EDR Contact: 10/29/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annualty

TC2673432.1s Page GR-4
#### State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists

#### SWF/LF: Solid Waste Facility Database

Solid Waste Facilities/Landfill Sites. SWF/LF type records typically contain an inventory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills in a particular state. Depending on the state, these may be active or inactive facilities or open dumps that failed to meet RCRA Subtitle D Section 4004 criteria for solid waste landfills or disposal sites.

Date of Government Version: 09/29/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/29/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 10/14/2009 Number of Days to Update: 15 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-6132 Last EDR Contact: 12/28/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Refease Frequency: Annualty

#### State and tribal leaking storage tank lists

LUST: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks Site List Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports, LUST records contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. Not all states maintain these records, and the information stored varies by state.

 Date of Government Version: 11/23/2009
 Source: Department of Ecology

 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/23/2009
 Telephone: 360-407-7183

 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/03/2009
 Last EDR Contact: 11/23/2009

 Number of Days to Update: 10
 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/08/2010

 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R9: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land LUSTs on Indian land in Arizona, California, New Mexico and Nevada

Date of Government Version: 11/24/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/25/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 21 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 415-972-3372 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R4: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land LUSTs on Indian land in Florida, Mississippi and North Carolina.

Date of Government Version: 12/07/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/09/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 7 Source: EPA Region 4 Telephone: 404-562-8677 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

INDIAN LUST R10: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land LUSTs on Indian land in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon and Washington.

Date of Government Version: 11/10/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/12/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 34 Source: EPA Region 10 Telephone: 206-553-2857 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R1: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land A listing of leaking underground storage tank locations on Indian Land.

Date of Government Version: 02/19/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/19/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 25 Source: EPA Region 1 Telephone: 617-918-1313 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### INDIAN LUST R6: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land LUSTs on Indian land in New Mexico and Oklahoma.

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/12/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 34

Source: EPA Region 6 Telephone: 214-665-6597 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN LUST R7: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land LUSTs on Indian land in lowa, Kansas, and Nebraska

Date of Government Version: 03/24/2009	Source: EPA Region 7
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/20/2009	Telephone: 913-551-7003
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/17/2009	Last EDR Contact: 11/04/2009
Number of Days to Update: 28	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN LUST R8: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

LUSTs on Indian land in Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming.

Date of Government Version: 12/01/2009	Source: EPA Region 8
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/01/2009	Telephone: 303-312-6271
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009	Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009
Number of Days to Update: 15	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### State and tribal registered storage tank lists

UST: Underground Storage Tank Database

Registered Underground Storage Tanks. UST's are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and must be registered with the state department responsible for administering the UST program. Available information varies by state program.

Date of Government Version: 11/24/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/25/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/22/2009 Number of Days to Update: 27 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7183 Last EDR Contact: 11/25/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

AST: Aboveground Storage Tank Locations

A listing of aboveground storage tank locations regulated by the Department of Ecology's Spill Prevention, Preparedness and Response Program.

Date of Government Version: 05/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/28/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 06/19/2009 Number of Days to Update: 22 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7562 Last EDR Contact: 11/09/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/22/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN UST R4: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 4 (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Tribal Nations)

Date of Government Version: 12/07/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/09/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 7 Source: EPA Region 4 Telephone: 404-562-9424 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually



. . .

#### INDIAN UST R9: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 9 (Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, the Pacific Islands, and Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/20/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 26 Source: EPA Region 9 Telephone: 415-972-3368 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### INDIAN UST R8: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 8 (Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming and 27 Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 12/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/01/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 15 Source: EPA Region 8 Telephone: 303-312-6137 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

## INDIAN UST R10: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 10 (Alaska, idaho, Oregon, Washington, and Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 11/10/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/12/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 34 Source: EPA Region 10 Telephone: 206-553-2857 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### INDIAN UST R1: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 1 (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont and ten Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 02/19/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/19/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 25 Source: EPA, Region 1 Telephone: 617-918-1313 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### INDIAN UST R5: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 5 (Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin and Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 11/05/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/05/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 41 Source: EPA Region 5 Telephone: 312-886-6136 Last EDR Contact: 10/22/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2009 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### INDIAN UST R6: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 6 (Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahorna, New Mexico, Texas and 65 Tribes).

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/12/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 34 Source: EPA Region 6 Telephone: 214-665-7591 Last EDR Contact: 10/30/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

### INDIAN UST R7: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 7 (Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and 9 Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 04/01/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/30/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 76

Source: EPA Region 7 Telephone: 913-551-7003 Last EDR Contact: 11/04/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

FEMA UST: Underground Storage Tank Listing

A listing of all FEMA owned underground storage tanks.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009	Source: FEMA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2009	Telephone: 202-646-5797
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009	Last EDR Contact: 10/19/2009
Number of Days to Update: 48	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/01/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### State and tribal institutional control / engineering control registries

INST CONTROL: Institutional Control Site List Sites that have institutional controls.

> Date of Government Version: 11/17/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/25/2009 Number of Days to Update: 7

Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7170 Last EDR Contact: 11/18/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/01/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites

INDIAN VCP R1: Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing

A listing of voluntary cleanup priority sites located on Indian Land located in Region 1.

Source: EPA, Region 1
Telephone: 617-918-1102
Last EDR Contact: 01/05/2010
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/19/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

VCP: Voluntary Cleanup Program Sites

Sites that have entered either the Voluntary Cleanup Program or its predecessor Independent Remedial Action Program.

Date of Government Version: 10/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/25/2009 Number of Days to Update: 27

Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7200 Last EDR Contact: 10/27/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN VCP R7: Voluntary Cleanup Priority Lisiting

A listing of voluntary cleanup priority sites located on Indian Land located in Region 7.

Date of Government Version: 03/20/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/22/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 05/19/2008 Number of Days to Update: 27

Source: EPA, Region 7 Telephone: 913-551-7365 Last EDR Contact: 04/20/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/20/2009 Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### ICR: Independent Cleanup Reports

These are remedial action reports Ecology has received from either the owner or operator of the sites. These actions have been conducted without department oversight or approval and are not under an order or decree. This database is no longer updated by the Department of Ecology.

Date of Government Version: 12/01/2002 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/03/2003 Date Made Active in Reports: 01/22/2003 Number of Days to Update: 19 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7200 Last EDR Contact: 08/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2009 Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

#### State and tribal Brownfields sites

### BROWNFIELDS: Brownfields Sites Listing

A listing of brownfields sites included in the Confirmed & Suspected Sites Listing. Brownfields are abandoned, idle or underused commercial or industrial properties, where the expansion or redevelopment is hindered by real or perceived contamination. Brownfields vary in size, location, age, and past use -- they can be anything from a five-hundred acre automobile assembly plant to a small, abandoned corner gas station.

Date of Government Version: 10/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/25/2009 Number of Days to Update: 27 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-725-4030 Last EDR Contact: 10/29/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

#### Local Brownfield lists

### US BROWNFIELDS: A Listing of Brownfields Sites

Included in the listing are brownfields properties addresses by Cooperative Agreement Recipients and brownfields properties addressed by Targeted Brownfields Assessments. Targeted Brownfields Assessments-EPA's Targeted Brownfields Assessments (TBA) program is designed to help states, tribes, and municipalities--especially those without EPA Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilots--minimize the uncertainties of contamination often associated with brownfields. Under the TBA program, EPA provides funding and/or technical assistance for environmental assessments at brownfields sites throughout the country. Targeted Brownfields Assessments supplement and work with other efforts under EPA's Brownfields Initiative to promote cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields. Cooperative Agreement Recipients-States, political subdivisions, territories, and Indian tribes become Brownfields Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund (BCRLF) cooperative agreement recipients when they enter into BCRLF cooperative agreements with the U.S. EPA. EPA selects BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients based on a proposal and application process. BCRLF cooperative agreement for specified brownfields-related cleanup activities.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/04/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 42 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 202-566-2777 Last EDR Contact: 01/07/2010 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

#### Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites

ODI: Open Dump Inventory

An open dump is defined as a disposal facility that does not comply with one or more of the Part 257 or Part 258 Subtitle D Criteria.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/1985 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/09/2004 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/17/2004 Number of Days to Update: 39 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 800-424-9346 Last EDR Contact: 06/09/2004 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

DEBRIS REGION 9: Torres Martinez Reservation Illegal Dump Site Locations

A listing of illegal dump sites location on the Torres Martinez Indian Reservation located in eastern Riverside County and northern Imperial County, California.

Date of Government Version: 01/12/2009	Source: EPA, Region 9
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/07/2009	Telephone: 415-972-3336
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/21/2009	Last EDR Contact: 01/07/2010
Number of Days to Update: 137	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/22/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Varies

SWTIRE: Solid Waste Tire Facilities

This study identified sites statewide with unauthorized accumulations of scrap tires.

Date of Government Version: 11/01/2005 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/16/2006 Date Made Active in Reports: 04/13/2006 Number of Days to Update: 28 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 12/14/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN ODI: Report on the Status of Open Dumps on Indian Lands Location of open dumps on Indian land.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/1998	Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/03/2007	Telephone: 703-308-8245
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/24/2008	Last EDR Contact: 11/09/2009
Number of Days to Update: 52	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/22/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites

#### US CDL: Clandestine Drug Labs

A listing of clandestine drug lab locations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("the Department") provides this web site as a public service. It contains addresses of some locations where law enforcement agencies reported they found chemicals or other items that indicated the presence of either clandestine drug laboratories or dumpsites. In most cases, the source of the entries is not the Department, and the Department has not verified the entry and does not guarantee its accuracy. Members of the public must verify the accuracy of all entries by, for example, contacting local law enforcement and local health departments.

Date of Government Version: 03/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/22/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/21/2009 Number of Days to Update: 91 Source: Drug Enforcement Administration Telephone: 202-307-1000 Last EDR Contact: 12/14/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/22/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

## ALLSITES: Facility/Site Identification System Listing

Information on facilities and sites of interest to the Department of Ecology.

Date of Government Version: 11/07/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/10/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/25/2009 Number of Days to Update: 15 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-6423 Last EDR Contact: 11/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/22/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### CSCSL NFA: Confirmed and Contaminated Sites - No Further Action

The data set contains information about sites previously on the Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites list that have received a No Further Action (NFA) determination. Because it is necessary to maintain historical records of sites that have been investigated and cleaned up, sites are not deleted from the database when cleanup activities are completed. Instead, a No Further Action code is entered based upon the type of NFA determination the site received.



Date of Government Version: 10/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/03/2009 Number of Days to Update: 35 Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-7170 Last EDR Contact: 10/29/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

CDL: Clandestine Drug Lab Contaminated Site List

Illegal methamphetamine labs use hazardous chemicals that create public health hazards. Chemicals and residues can cause burns, respiratory and neurological damage, and death. Biological hazards associated with intravenous needles, feces, and blood also pose health risks.

Date of Government Version: 02/09/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/18/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/24/2009 Number of Days to Update: 6 Source: Department of Health Telephone: 360-236-3380 Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/01/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

HIST CDL: List of Sites Contaminated by Clandestine Drug Labs

This listing of contaminated sites by Clanoestine Drug Labs includes non-remediated properties. The current CDL listing does not. This listing is no longer updated by the state agency.

Date of Government Version: 02/08/2007
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/26/2007
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/19/2007
Number of Days to Update: 23

Source: Department of Health Telephone: 360-236-3381 Last EDR Contact: 06/02/2008 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/01/2008 Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

### US HIST CDL: National Clandestine Laboratory Register

A listing of clandestine drug lab locations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("the Department") provides this web site as a public service. It contains addresses of some locations where law enforcement agencies reported they found chemicals or other items that indicated the presence of either clandestine drug laboratories or dumpsites. In most cases, the source of the entries is not the Department, and the Department has not verified the entry and does not guarantee its accuracy. Members of the public must verify the accuracy of all entries by, for example, contacting local law enforcement and local health departments.

Date of Government Version; 09/01/2007 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/19/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/30/2009 Number of Days to Update: 131 Source: Drug Enforcement Administration Telephone: 202-307-1000° Last EDR Contact: 03/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/22/2009 Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

#### Local Land Records

LIENS 2: CERCLA Lien Information

A Federal CERCLA ('Superfund') lien can exist by operation of law at any site or property at which EPA has spent Superfund monies. These monies are spent to investigate and address releases and threatened releases of contamination. CERCLIS provides information as to the identity of these sites and properties.

Date of Government Version: 11/03/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/05/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 41 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 202-564-6023 Last EDR Contact: 11/02/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### LUCIS: Land Use Control Information System

LUCIS contains records of land use control information pertaining to the former Navy Base Realignment and Closure properties.

Date of Government Version: 12/09/2005 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/11/2006 Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007 Number of Days to Update: 31 Source: Department of the Navy Tetephone: 843-820-7326 Last EDR Contact: 11/20/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### Records of Emergency Release Reports

#### HMIRS: Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System

Hazardous Materials Incident Report System. HMIRS contains hazardous material spill incidents reported to DOT.

Date of Government Version: 10/05/2009	Source: U.S. Department of Transportation
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/05/2009	Telephone: 202-366-4555
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009	Last EDR Contact: 01/06/2010
Number of Days to Update: 35	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Annually

### SPILLS: Reported Spills

Spills reported to the Spill Prevention, Preparedness and Response Division.

Date of Government Version: 09/24/2009	Source: Department of Ecology
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/24/2009	Telephone: 360-407-6950
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/14/2009	Last EDR Contact: 12/28/2009
Number of Days to Update: 20	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010
•	Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

#### Other Ascertainable Records

### RCRA-NonGen: RCRA - Non Generators

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste.

Date of Government Version: 11/12/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2008 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 118 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: (206) 553-1200 Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

DOT OPS: Incident and Accident Data

Department of Transporation, Office of Pipeline Safety Incident and Accident data.

Date of Government Version: 10/13/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/10/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009 Number of Days to Update: 36 Source: Department of Transporation, Office of Pipeline Safety Telephone: 202-366-4595 Last EDR Contact: 11/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/22/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### DOD: Department of Defense Sites

This data set consists of federally owned or administered lands, administered by the Department of Defense, that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres of the United States, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/10/2006 Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007 Number of Days to Update: 62 Source: USGS Telephone: 703-692-8801 Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/01/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

#### FUDS: Formerly Used Defense Sites

The listing includes locations of Formerly Used Defense Sites properties where the US Army Corps of Engineers is actively working or will take necessary cleanup actions.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/30/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/01/2009 Number of Days to Update: 62 Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Telephone: 202-528-4285 Last EDR Contact: 12/18/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

CONSENT: Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decre Major legal settlements that establish respon periodically by United States District Courts a	es isibility and standards for cleanup at NPL (Superfund) sites. Released after settlement by parties to litigation matters.
Date of Government Version: 08/03/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/27/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 13	Source: Department of Justice, Consent Decree Library Telephone: Varies Last EDR Contact: 01/05/2010 Next Scheduted EDR Contact: 04/19/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies
ROD: Records Of Decision Record of Decision. ROD documents manda and health information to aid in the cleanup.	te a permanent remedy at an NPL (Superfund) site containing technical
Date of Government Version: 09/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/22/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 10/22/2009 Number of Days to Update: 30	Source: EPA Telephone: 703-416-0223 Last EDR Contact: 12/15/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually
UMTRA: Uranium Milt Tailings Sites Uranium ore was mined by private companie shut down, large piles of the sand-like materi the ore. Levels of human exposure to radioa were used as construction materials before th	es for federal government use in national defense programs. When the mills ial (mill tailings) remain after uranium has been extracted from active materials from the piles are low; however, in some cases tailings he potential health hazards of the tailings were recognized.
Date of Government Version: 01/05/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/07/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 05/08/2009 Number of Days to Update: 1	Source: Department of Energy Telephone: 505-845-0011 Last EDR Contact: 12/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies
MINES: Mines Master Index File Contains all mine identification numbers issu violation information.	ed for mines active or opened since 1971. The data also includes
Date of Government Version: 08/07/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/18/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 52	Source: Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration Telephone: 303-231-5959 Last EDR Contact: 12/08/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/22/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
TRIS: Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System Toxic Release Inventory System. TRIS identi land in reportable quantities under SARA Titl	ifies facilities which release toxic chemicals to the air, water and le III Section 313.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2007 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/09/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 06/17/2009 Number of Days to Update: 69	Source: EPA Telephone: 202-566-0250 Last EDR Contact: 12/01/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/15/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually
TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act Toxic Substances Control Act. TSCA identifie TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory list. It is site.	es manufacturers and importers of chemical substances included on the includes data on the production volume of these substances by plant
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2002 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/14/2006 Date Made Active in Reports: 05/30/2006 Number of Days to Update: 46	Source: EPA Telephone: 202-260-5521 Last EDR Contact: 12/29/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010

Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010 Data Release Frequency: Every 4 Years



#### ICIS: Integrated Compliance Information System

The Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS) supports the information needs of the national enforcement and compliance program as well as the unique needs of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.

Date of Government Version: 08/21/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/27/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 10/22/2009 Number of Days to Update: 56 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: 202-564-5088 Last EDR Contact: 12/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### PADS: PCB Activity Database System

PCB Activity Database. PADS Identifies generators, transporters, commercial storers and/or brokers and disposers of PCB's who are required to notify the EPA of such activities.

Date of Government Version: 09/01/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/21/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/01/2009 Number of Days to Update: 41 Source: EPA Telephone: 202-566-0500 Last EDR Contact: 10/21/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/01/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually

### MLTS: Material Licensing Tracking System

MLTS is maintained by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and contains a list of approximately 8,100 sites which possess or use radioactive materials and which are subject to NRC licensing requirements. To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.

Date of Government Version: 09/25/2009SoDate Data Arrived at EDR: 10/23/2009TeDate Made Active in Reports: 12/16/2009LaNumber of Days to Update: 54No

Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission Telephone: 301-415-7169 Last EDR Contact: 12/14/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### RADINFO: Radiation Information Database

The Radiation Information Database (RADINFO) contains information about facilities that are regulated by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations for radiation and radioactivity.

Date of Government Version: 10/15/2009	<ul> <li>Source: Environmental Protection Agency</li> </ul>
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/16/2009	Telephone: 202-343-9775
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/01/2009	Last EDR Contact: 10/16/2009
Number of Days to Update: 46	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/25/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

### FINDS: Facility Index System/Facility Registry System

Facility Index System. FINDS contains both facility information and 'pointers' to other sources that contain more detail. EDR includes the following FINDS databases in this report: PCS (Permit Compliance System), AIRS (Aerometric Information Retrieval System), DOCKET (Enforcement Docket used to manage and track information on civil judicial enforcement cases for all environmental statutes), FURS (Federal Underground Injection Control), C-DOCKET (Criminal Docket System used to track criminal enforcement actions for all environmental statutes), FFIS (Federal Facilities Information System), STATE (State Environmental Laws and Statutes), and PADS (PCB Activity Data System).

Date of Government Version: 10/19/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/22/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/01/2009 Number of Days to Update: 40 Source: EPA Telephone: (206) 553-1200 Last EDR Contact: 12/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

#### RAATS: RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System

RCRA Administration Action Tracking System. RAATS contains records based on enforcement actions issued under RCRA pertaining to major violators and includes administrative and civil actions brought by the EPA. For administration actions after September 30, 1995, data entry in the RAATS database was discontinued. EPA will retain a copy of the database for historical records. It was necessary to terminate RAATS because a decrease in agency resources made it impossible to continue to update the information contained in the database.

------

Date of Government Version: 04/17/1995 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/03/1995 Date Made Active in Reports: 08/07/1995 Number of Days to Update: 35	Source: EPA Telephone: 202-564-4104 Last EDR Contact: 06/02/2008 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/01/2008 Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned
BRS: Biennial Reporting System The Biennial Reporting System is a national sy and management of hazardous waste. BRS ca and Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilitie	ystem administered by the EPA that collects data on the generation aptures detailed data from two groups: Large Quantity Generators (LQG) as.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2007 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/19/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 05/22/2009 Number of Days to Update: 92	Source: EPA/NTIS Telephone: 800-424-9346 Last EDR Contact: 11/20/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/05/2010 Data Release Frequency: Biennially
UtC: Underground Injection Wells Listing A listing of underground injection wells.	
Date of Government Version: 11/23/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/23/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/03/2009 Number of Days to Update: 10	Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-6143 Last EDR Contact: 11/23/2009 Nøxt Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/08/2010 Data Release Frequency; Varies
WA MANIFEST: Hazardous Waste Manifest Data Hazardous waste manifest information.	
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/05/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 06/19/2009 Number of Days to Update: 14	Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annualiy
DRYCLEANERS: Drycleaner List A listing of registered drycleaners who register and 7216) as hazardous waste generators.	red with the Department of Ecology (using the SIC code of 7215
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/05/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 06/19/2009 Number of Days to Update: 14	Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-6732 Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies
NPDES: Water Quality Permit System Data A listing of permitted wastewater facilities.	
Date of Government Version: 10/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/25/2009 Number of Days to Update: 27	Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-6073 Last EDR Contact: 10/29/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Quarterly
AIRS (EMI): Washington Emissions Data System Emissions inventory data.	
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2007 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/15/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/24/2009 Number of Days to Update: 68	Source: Department of Ecology Telephone: 360-407-6040 Last EDR Contact: 12/28/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually

#### INACTIVE DRYCLEANERS: Inactive Drycleaners A listing of inactive drycleaner facility locations. Date of Government Version: 12/31/2008 Source: Department of Ecology Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/09/2009 Telephone: 360-407-6732 Date Made Active in Reports: 06/19/2009 Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Number of Days to Update: 10 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually INDIAN RESERV: Indian Reservations This map layer portrays Indian administered lands of the United States that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres. Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005 Source: USGS Telephone: 202-208-3710 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/08/2006 Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007 Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/01/2010 Number of Days to Update: 34 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually SCRD DRYCLEANERS: State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing The State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners was established in 1998, with support from the U.S. EPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. It is comprised of representatives of states with established drycleaner remediation programs. Currently the member states are Alabama, Connecticut, Florida, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin. Date of Government Version: 09/09/2009 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/09/2009 Telephone: 615-532-8599 Date Made Active in Reports: 10/22/2009 Last EDR Contact: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 43 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies FEDLAND: Federal and Indian Lands Federally and Indian administrated lands of the United States, Lands included are administrated by; Army Corps of Engineers, Bureau of Reclamation, National Wild and Scenic River, National Wildlife Refuge, Public Domain Land, Wilderness, Wilderness Study Area, Wildlife Management Area, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Department of Justice, Forest Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service. Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005 Source: U.S. Geological Survey Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/06/2006 Telephone: 888-275-8747 Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007 Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/01/2010 Number of Days to Update: 339 Data Release Frequency: N/A COAL ASH: Coal Ash Disposal Site Listing A listing of coal ash disposal site locations. Date of Government Version: 06/29/2009 Source: Department of Ecology Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/02/2009 Telephone: 360-407-6933 Date Made Active in Reports: 07/08/2009 Last EDR Contact: 12/28/2009 Number of Days to Update: 6 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies COAL ASH DOE: Sleam-Electric Plan Operation Data A listing of power plants that store ash in surface ponds. Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005 Source: Department of Energy Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/07/2009 Telephone: 202-586-8719 Last EDR Contact: 10/23/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 10/22/2009 Number of Days to Update: 76 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/01/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### COAL ASH EPA: Coal Combustion Residues Surface Impoundments List

A listing of coal combustion residues surface impoundments with high hazard potential ratings

Date of Government Version: 09/21/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/25/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 11/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 45 Source: Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 12/15/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/29/2010 Data Release Frequency: Varies

### PCB TRANSFORMER: PCB Transformer Registration Database

The database of PCB transformer registrations that includes all PCB registration submittals.

Date of Government Version: 01/01/2008	<ul> <li>Source: Environmental Protection Agency</li> </ul>
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/18/2009	Telephone: 202-566-0517
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/29/2009	Last EDR Contact: 11/13/2009
Number of Days to Update: 100	Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/15/2010
	Data Release Frequency: Varies

#### EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS

#### EDR Proprietary Records

#### Manufactured Gas Plants: EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants

The EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plant Database includes records of coal gas plants (manufactured gas plants) compiled by EDR's researchers. Manufactured gas sites were used in the United States from the 1800's to 1950's to produce a gas that could be distributed and used as fuel. These plants used whale oil, rosin, coal, or a mixture of coal, oil, and water that also produced a significant amount of waste. Many of the byproducts of the gas production, such as coal tar (oily waste containing volatile and non-volatile chemicals), sludges, oils and other compounds are potentially hazardous to human health and the environment. The byproduct from this process was frequently disposed of directly at the plant site and can remain or spread slowly, serving as a continuous source of soil and groundwater contamination.

Date of Government Version: N/A Date Data Arrived at EDR: N/A Date Made Active in Reports: N/A Number of Days to Update: N/A Source: EDR, Inc. Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: N/A Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

#### COUNTY RECORDS

#### KING COUNTY:

#### Abandoned Landfill Study in King County

The King County Abandoned Landfill Survey was conducted from October through December 1984 by the Health Department's Environmental Health Division at the request of the King County Council. The primary objective of the survey was to determine if any public health problems existed at the predetermined 24 sites.

Date of Government Version: 04/30/1985 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/07/1994 Date Made Active in Reports; N/A Number of Days to Update: 0 Source: Seattle-King County Department of Public Health Telephone: 206-296-4785 Last EDR Contact: 10/21/1994 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A Data Release Frequency; No Update Planned

#### SEATTLE COUNTY:

Abandoned Landfill Study in the City of Seattle

The Seattle Abandoned Landfill Survey was conducted in June and July of 1984 by the Health Department's Environmental Health Division at the request of the Mayor's Office. The primary objective of the survey was to determine if any public health problems existed at the predetermined 12 sites.

Date of Government Version: 07/30/1984 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/07/1994 Date Made Active in Reports: N/A Number of Days to Update: 0 Source: Seattle - King County Department of Public Health Telephone: 206-296-4785 Last EDR Contact: 10/21/1994 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

### SEATTLE/KING COUNTY:

Seattle - King County Abandoned Landfill Toxicity / Hazard Assessment Project This report presents the Seattle-King County Health Department's follow-up investigation of two city owned and four county owned abandoned landfills which was conducted from February to December 1986.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/1986 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/18/1995 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/20/1995 Number of Days to Update: 33 Source: Department of Public Health Telephone: 206-296-4785 Last EDR Contact: 08/14/1995 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

#### SNOHOMISH COUNTY:

Solid Waste Sites of Record at Snohomish Health District Solid waste disposal and/or utilization sites in Snohomish County.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/30/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 03/24/2009 Number of Days to Update: 53 Source: Snohomish Health District Telephone: 206-339-5250 Last EDR Contact: 01/06/2010 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/12/2010 Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

#### TACOMA/PIERCE COUNTY:

Closed Landfill Survey

Following numerous requests for information about closed dumpsites and landfills in Pierce County, the Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department decided to conduct a study on the matter. The aim of the study was to evaluate public health risks associated with the closed dumpsites and landfills, and to determine the need, if any, for further investigations of a more detailed nature. The sites represent all of the known dumpsites and landfills closed after 1950.

Date of Government Version: 09/01/2002 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/24/2003 Date Made Active in Reports: 05/14/2003 Number of Days to Update: 51 Source: Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department Telephone: 206-591-6500 Last EDR Contact: 03/19/2003 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

### OTHER DATABASE(S)

Depending on the geographic area covered by this report, the data provided in these specialty databases may or may not be complete. For example, the existence of wetlands information data in a specific report does not mean that all wetlands in the area covered by the report are included. Moreover, the absence of any reported wetlands information does not necessarily mean that wetlands do not exist in the area covered by the report.

CT MANIFEST: Hazardous Waste Manifest Data

Facility and manifest data. Manifest is a document that lists and tracks hazardous waste from the generator through transporters to a tsd facility.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2007 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/26/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 09/11/2009 Number of Days to Update: 16	Source: Department of Environmental Protection Telephone: 860-424-3375 Last EDR Contact: 11/24/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually
NY MANIFEST: Facility and Manifest Data Manifest is a document that lists and tracks h facility.	azardous waste from the generator through transporters to a TSD
Date of Government Version: 10/27/2009 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/10/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/09/2009 Number of Days to Update: 29	Source: Department of Environmental Conservation Telephone: 518-402-8651 Last EDR Contact: 11/10/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/22/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually
PA MANIFEST: Manifest Information Hazardous waste manifest information.	
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/01/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 12/14/2009 Number of Days to Update: 13	Source: Department of Environmental Protection Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact: 11/23/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/08/2010 Data Release Frequency: Annually
WI MANIFEST: Manifest Information Hazardous waste manifest information.	
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2008 Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/17/2009 Date Made Active in Reports: 08/10/2009 Number of Days to Update: 24	Source: Department of Natural Resources Telephone: N/A Last EDR Contact; 12/21/2009 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/05/2010

Oil/Gas Pipelines: This data was obtained by EDR from the USGS in 1994. It is referred to by USGS as GeoData Digital Line Graphs from 1:100,000-Scale Maps. It was extracted from the transportation category including some oil, but primarily gas pipelines.

Data Release Frequency: Annually

Electric Power Transmission Line Data

Source: PennWell Corporation

Telephone: (800) 823-6277

This map includes information copyrighted by PennWelt Corporation. This information is provided

on a best effort basis and PennWell Corporation does not guarantee its accuracy nor warrant its

fitness for any particular purpose. Such information has been reprinted with the permission of PennWell.

Sensitive Receptors: There are individuals deemed sensitive receptors due to their fragile immune systems and special sensitivity to environmental discharges. These sensitive receptors typically include the elderly, the sick, and children. While the location of all sensitive receptors cannot be determined, EDR indicates those buildings and facilities - schools, daycares, hospitals, medical centers, and nursing homes - where individuals who are sensitive receptors are likely to be located.

#### AHA Hospitals:

Source: American Hospital Association, Inc. Telephone: 312-280-5991 The database includes a listing of hospitals based on the American Hospital Association's annual survey of hospitals. Medical Centers: Provider of Services Listing Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Telephone: 410-786-3000 A listing of hospitals with Medicare provider number, produced by Centers of Medicare & Medicaid Services, a federal agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Nursing Homes Source: National Institutes of Health Telephone: 301-594-6248

Information on Medicare and Medicaid certified nursing homes in the United States.

Public Schools
Source: National Center for Education Statistics
Telephone: 202-502-7300
The National Center for Education Statistics' primary database on elementary
and secondary public education in the United States. It is a comprehensive, annual, national statistical
database of all public elementary and secondary schools and school districts, which contains data that are
comparable across all states.
Private Schools
Source: National Center for Education Statistics' primary database on private school locations in the United States.
Daycare Centers: Daycare Center Listing
Source: Department of Social & Health Services
Telephone: 253-383-1735

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2003 & 2009 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100-year and 500-year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002 and 2005 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

### STREET AND ADDRESS INFORMATION

© 2010 Tele Atlas North America, Inc. All rights reserved. This material is proprietary and the subject of copyright protection and other intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to Tele Atlas North America, Inc. The use of this material is subject to the terms of a license agreement. You will be held liable for any unauthorized copying or disclosure of this material.





### TARGET PROPERTY ADDRESS

UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SITE 6019 NORTH DARRK LANE BOW, WA 98232

### TARGET PROPERTY COORDINATES

Latitude (North):	48.56150 - 48° 33' 41.4''
Longitude (West):	122.3431 - 122* 20' 35.2"
Universal Tranverse Mercator:	Zone 10
UTM X (Meters):	548469.1
UTM Y (Meters):	5378701.0
Elevation:	261 ft. above sea level

#### USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

Target Property Map:	48122-E3 ALGER, WA
Most Recent Revision:	1994

EDR's GeoCheck Physical Setting Source Addendum is provided to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of potential contaminant migration.

Assessment of the impact of contaminant migration generally has two principle investigative components:

- 1. Groundwater flow direction, and
- 2. Groundwater flow velocity.

Groundwater flow direction may be impacted by surface topography, hydrology, hydrogeology, characteristics of the soil, and nearby wells. Groundwater flow velocity is generally impacted by the nature of the geologic strata.

#### **GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION INFORMATION**

Groundwater flow direction for a particular site is best determined by a qualified environmental professional using site-specific well data. If such data is not reasonably ascertainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of information, such as surface topographic information, hydrologic information, hydrogeologic data collected on nearby properties, and regional groundwater flow information (from deep aquifers).

#### TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Surface topography may be indicative of the direction of surficial groundwater flow. This information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

#### TARGET PROPERTY TOPOGRAPHY

General Topographic Gradient: General ESE

### SURROUNDING TOPOGRAPHY: ELEVATION PROFILES



Source: Topography has been determined from the USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified.

#### HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Surface water can act as a hydrologic barrier to groundwater flow. Such hydrologic information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

Refer to the Physical Setting Source Map following this summary for hydrologic information (major waterways and bodies of water).

----

#### FEMA FLOOD ZONE

Target Property County SKAGIT, WA	ELMA Flood Electronic Data YES - refer to the Overview Map and Detail Map
Flood Plain Panel at Target Property:	5301510045C
Additional Panels in search area:	Not Reported
NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY	NUM Electropic
NWI Quad at Target Property ALGER	Data Coverage YES - refer to the Overview Map and Detail Map

### HYDROGEOLOGIC INFORMATION

Hydrogeologic information obtained by installation of wells on a specific site can often be an indicator of groundwater flow direction in the immediate area. Such hydrogeologic information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

Site-Specific Hydrogeological Data\*: Search Radius: 1.25 miles Status: Not found

### **AQUIFLOW®**

Search Radius: 1.000 Mile.

EDR has developed the AQUIFLOW Information System to provide data on the general direction of groundwater flow at specific points. EDR has reviewed reports submitted by environmental professionals to regulatory authorities at select sites and has extracted the date of the report, groundwater flow direction as determined hydrogeologically, and the depth to water table.

MAP ID Not Reported LOCATION FROM TP GENERAL DIRECTION GROUNDWATER FLOW



\* 01996 Site-specific hydrogeological data gathered by CERCLIS Alerts, Inc., Bainbridge Island, WA, All rights reserved. All of the information and opinions presented are those of the olied EPA report(s), which were completed under a Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) investigation.

TC2673432.1s Page A-3

### GROUNDWATER FLOW VELOCITY INFORMATION

Groundwater flow velocity information for a particular site is best determined by a qualified environmental professional using site specific geologic and soil strata data. If such data are not reasonably ascertainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of information, including geologic age identification, rock stratigraphic unit and soil characteristics data collected on nearby properties and regional soil information. In general, contaminant plumes move more quickly through sandy-gravelly types of soils than silty-clayey types of soils.

### GEOLOGIC INFORMATION IN GENERAL AREA OF TARGET PROPERTY

Geologic information can be used by the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the relative speed at which contaminant migration may be occurring.

### ROCK STRATIGRAPHIC UNIT

### GEOLOGIC AGE IDENTIFICATION

Era:	Cenozoic Category:	Stratifed Sequence
System:	Quaternary	
Series:	Quaternary	
Code:	Q (decoded above as Era, System & Series)	

Geologic Age and Rock Stratigraphic Unit Source: P.G. Schruben, R.E. Arndt and W.J. Bawiec, Geology of the Conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 Scale - a digital representation of the 1974 P.B. King and H.M. Beikman Map, USGS Digital Data Series DDS - 11 (1994).





# SSURGO SOIL MAP - 2673432.1s



AT/LONG	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site	CLIENT:	Geo Engineers, Inc.
	6019 NORTH DARRK LANE	CONTACT:	Ron Bek
	Bow WA 98232	INQUIRY #:	2673432.1s
	48 5615 / 122 3431	DATE:	January 07, 2010, 6:37 pm
AT/LONG.	48.50157 122.3431	DATE:	January 07, 2010-6.37 pm

Copyright @ 2010 EDR. Inc. @ 2010 Tele Atlas Rel. 07/2007.

# **GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE SUMMARY**

### DOMINANT SOIL COMPOSITION IN GENERAL AREA OF TARGET PROPERTY

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) leads the National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS) and is responsible for collecting, storing, maintaining and distributing soil survey information for privately owned lands in the United States. A soil map in a soil survey is a representation of soil patterns in a landscape. The following information is based on Soil Conservation Service SSURGO data.

Soil Map ID: 1	
Soil Component Name:	Skipopa
Soil Surface Texture:	silt loam
Hydrologic Group:	Class D - Very slow infiltration rates. Soils are clayey, have a high water table, or are shallow to an impervious layer.
Soil Drainage Class:	Somewhat poorly drained
Hydric Status: Not hydric	
Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel:	Moderate
Depth to Bedrock Min:	> 0 inches
Depth to Watertable Min:	> 46 inches

	Soil Layer Information						
	Boundary			Classification		Saturated bydraulic	······································
Layer	Upper	Lower	Soil Texture Class	AASHTO Group	Unified Soil	conductivity micro m/sec	Soil Reaction (pH)
1	0 inches	7 inches	silt loam	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50% or more), Fat Clay.	Max: 0 Min: 0	Max: 6.5 Min: 5.6
2	7 inches	16 inches	silt loam	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50% or more), Fat Clay.	Max: 0 Min: 0	Max: 6,5 Min: 5.6
3	16 inches	59 inches	silty clay	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50% or more), Fat Clay.	Max: 0 Min: 0	Max: 6.5 Min: 5.6



## Soil Map ID: 2

Soil Component Name:	Sehome
Soil Surface Texture:	loam
Hydrologic Group:	Class C - Slow infiltration rates. Soils with layers impeding downward movement of water, or soils with moderately fine or fine textures.
Soil Drainage Class:	Moderately well drained
Hydric Status: Not hydric	
Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel:	High
Depth to Bedrock Min:	> 0 inches
Depth to Watertable Min:	> 76 inches

<u></u>			Soil Layer	Information			
	Bou	indary		Classi	fication	Saturated hydraulic	
Layer	Upper	Lower	Soil Texture Class	AASHTO Group	Unified Soil	conductivity micro m/sec	Soil Reaction (pH)
1	0 inches	14 inches	loam	Sill-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Sands with fines, Silty Sand.	Max: 0.42 Min: 0.01	Max: 6.5 Min: 5.1
2	14 inches	27 inches	gravelly loam	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Sands with fines, Silty Sand.	Max: 0.42 Min: 0.01	Max: 6.5 Min: 5.1
3	27 inches	59 inches	gravelly loam	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Sands with fines, Silty Sand.	Max: 0.42 Min: 0.01	Max: 6.5 Min: 5.1

Soil Map (D: 3	
Soil Component Name:	Hoogdal
Soil Surface Texture:	silt loam
Hydrologic Group:	Class C - Slow infiltration rates. Soils with layers impeding downward movement of water, or soils with moderately fine or fine textures.
Soil Drainage Class:	Moderately well drained



Hydric Status: Not hydric

Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel: Moderate

Depth to Bedrock Min: > 0 inches

Depth to Watertable Min: > 54 inches

Soil Layer Information							
	Boundary			Classification		Saturated bydraulic	
Layer	Upper	Lower	Soil Texture Class	AASHTO Group	Unified Soil	conductivity micro m/sec	Soil Reaction (pH)
1	0 inches	5 inches	silt loam	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Ctays (liquid limit 50% or more), Elastic silt.	Max: 1.4 Min: 0.42	Max: 6.5 Min: 6.1
2	5 inches	22 inches	siit loam	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Ctays (liquid limit 50% or more), Elastic silt.	Max: 1.4 Mín: 0.42	Max: 6.5 Mín: 6.1
3	22 inches	59 inches	silty clay	Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Silty Soils.	FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50% or more), Elastic silt.	Max: 1.4 Min: 0.42	Max: 6.5 Min: 6.1

### LOCAL / REGIONAL WATER AGENCY RECORDS

EDR Local/Regional Water Agency records provide water well information to assist the environmental professional in assessing sources that may impact ground water flow direction, and in forming an opinion about the impact of contaminant migration on nearby drinking water wells.

### WELL SEARCH DISTANCE INFORMATION

DATABASE	SEARCH DISTANCE (miles)
Federal USGS Federal FRDS PWS	1.000 Nearest PWS within 1 mile
State Database	1.000

### FEDERAL USGS WELL INFORMATION

MAP ID

WELL ID

LOCATION FROM TP

# **GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE SUMMARY**

### FEDERAL USGS WELL INFORMATION

MAP ID	WELL ID	LOCATION FROM TP
2	USGS3260766	1/4 - 1/2 Mile ESE
3	USGS3260749	1/4 - 1/2 Mile SSE
A4	USGS3260767	1/4 - 1/2 Mile WSW
A5	USGS3260768	1/2 - 1 Mile WSW
B7	USGS3260785	1/2 - 1 Mile ENE
8	USGS3260771	1/2 - 1 Mile ESE
9	USGS3260756	1/2 - 1 Mile SE
10	USGS3260772	1/2 - 1 Mile West
11	USGS3260739	1/2 - 1 Mile SE
13	U\$G\$3260773	1/2 - 1 Mile West
15	USGS3260793	1/2 - 1 Mile ENE
16	USGS3260748	1/2 - 1 Mile ESE

### FEDERAL FRDS PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM INFORMATION

MAP ID	WELL ID	LOCATION FROM TP
No PWS System Found	<u> </u>	

Note: PWS System location is not always the same as well location.

### STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION

MAPID	WELLID	LOCATION FROM TP
	WA500000019966	1/8 - 1/4 Mile SW
B6	WA500000019999	1/2 - 1 Mile ENE
C12	WA500000019900	1/2 - 1 Mile SE
C14	WA500000019906	1/2 - 1 Mile SE



. .



Cluster of Multiple Icons ۲

(HD) Closest Hydrogeological Data

SITE NAME:	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site
ADDRESS:	6019 NORTH DARRK LANE
	Bow WA 98232
LAT/LONG:	48.5615 / 122.3431

INQUIRY #: 2673432.1s DATE: January 07, 2010 6:37 pm Copyright © 2010 EDR. Inc. @ 2010 Tele Atlas Rel. 07/2007.

CLIENT: Geo Engineers, Inc. CONTACT: Ron Bek

Map ID				
Direction				
Elevation			Database	EDR ID Number
1 SW 1/8 - 1/4 Mile Lower			WA WELLS	WA500000019966
Objectid:	19118	Srcid:	122626	
Srcrootid:	19253	Wsorgid <sup>,</sup>	79714	
Wsleid:	133629	Wslerootid:	62736	
Pwsid:	50678	Srenum:	01	
Pwssrcid:	5067801	Systempame:	WSP - BOW HILL P	ORT OF ENTRY #33
Systemarp:	B	Systemtype	Group B	
Sourcename:	WELL	Sourcetype:	Well	
Sourcelbl:	S01/WELL	Region:	Northwest	
Wria:	03	County	SKAGIT	
Contadd1:	Not Reported	Contadd2	Po Box 42626	
Contphone:	(360) 596-6011	Contaily:	Olympia	
Contstate:	WA	Contzince	985042626	
Sma:	Not Reported	Smaname:	Not Reported	
Usecode:	Permanent			
Capacity	10			
Treated:	Not Reported	Suscent:	Not Rated	
Whatyne:	Not Reported	Doewellid:	Not Reported	
Latitude:	48 559797	Docwellig.	Hornopolico	
i ongitude;	-122 345701			
Limethod:	Man	Sile id:	WA500000019966	
2 ESE 1/4 - 1/2 Mile Lower			FED USGS	USGS3260766
Agency cd:	USGS	Site no:	483332122200401	
Site name:	36N/04E-31R01			
Latitude:	483332	EDR Site id:	USGS3260766	
Longitude:	1222004	Dec lat:	48.55871928	
Dec lon:	-122.33571696	Coor meth:	М	
Coor accr:	S	Latlong datum:	NAD27	
Dec lationg datum:	NAD83	District:	53	
State:	53	County:	057	
Country:	US	Land net:	SE SE S31 T36N R	04E W
Location map:	ALGER	Map scale:	24000	
Altitude:	95			
Altitude method:	Interpolated from topographic m	вр		
Altitude accuracy:	10			
Altitude datum:	National Geodetic Vertical Datur	n of 1929		
Hydrologic:	Strait of Georgia. Washington. A	rea = 955 sq.mi.		
Topographic:	Not Reported			
Site type:	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19730407	
Date inventoried;	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST	
Local standard time flag:	Y			
Type of ground water site:	Single well, other than collector of	or Ranney type		
Aquifer Type:	Not Reported			
Aquifer:	Not Reported			
Well depth:	52	Hole depth:	Not Reported	
Source of depth data:	driller			
Project number:	Not Reported			
Real time data flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	• ·
Daily flow data end date:	0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0	
Peak flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00	

Peak flow data count: 0 Water quality data end date:0000-00-00 Ground water data begin date: 1973-04-07 Ground water data count: 1

Water quality data begin date: 0000-00-00 Water quality data count: 0 Ground water data end date: 1973-04-07

Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1					
Feet below Feet to					
Date	Surface	Sealevel			
1973-04-07	30				

3 SSE 1/4 -Low

SE 4 - 1/2 Mile ower				FED USGS	USGS3260749
Agency cd:		USGS	Site no;	483317122202301	
Site name:		35N/04E-06G01			
Latitude:		483317	EDR Site id:	USGS3260749	
Longitude:		1222023	Dec lat:	48.55455249	
Dec Ion:		-122 34099489	Coor meth:	М	
Coor accr:		S	Lationg datum:	NAD27	
Dec lationg da	atum:	NAD83	District:	53	
State:		53	County:	057	
Country:		US	Land net:	SW NE S06 T35N H	R04E W
Location map	<u>.</u>	ALGER	Map scale:	24000	
Altitude:		60	,		
Altitude metho	od:	Interpolated from topographic ma	D		
Altitude accur:	acy:	10	•		
Altitude datum	,· 1:	National Geodetic Vertical Datum	n of 1929		
Hydrologic:		Strait of Georgia, Washington, Ar	ea = 955 so.mi.		
Topographic:		Not Reported	1		
Site type:		Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19731201	
Date inventori	ed:	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST	
Local standar	d time flag:	Y	0		
Type of groun	d water site:	Single well, other than collector of	r Ranney type		
Aquifer Type:		Not Reported	,		
Aquifer:		Not Reported			
Well depth:		38	Hole depth:	Not Reported	
Source of dep	oth data:	other			
Project number	er:	Not Reported			
Real time data	a flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	
Daily flow data	a end date:	0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0	
Peak flow data	a begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00	
Peak flow data	a count:	0	Water quality data begin date:	1981-07-21	
Water quality	data end date	e:1981-07-21	Water quality data count:	1	
Ground water	data begin di	ate: 1973-12-01	Ground water data end date:	1973-12-01	
Ground water	data count:	1			
Ground-water	levels, Numb	per of Measurements: 1			
	Feet below	Feet to			
Date	Surface	Sealevel			
1973-12-01	2				

A4 WSW 1/4 - 1/2 **M**ile Higher

FED USGS USGS3260767



Agency cd:		USGS	Site no:	483332122210601
Site name:		35N/04E-06D01		
Latitude:		483332	EDR Site id:	USGS3260767
Longitude:		1222106	Dec lat:	48.55871911
Dec lon:		-122.35293985	Coor meth:	M
Coor accr:		S	Lationg datum:	NAD27
Dec lationg d	latum:	NAD83	District:	53
State:		53	County:	057
Country:		US	Land net:	NW NW S06 T35N_R04E_W
Location map	D:	ALGER	Map scale:	24000
Altitude:		340	<b>F</b>	
Altitude meth	nod:	Interpolated from topographic ma	ap	
Altitude accu	racv:	10	~F	
Altitude datu	m:	National Geodetic Vertical Datur	n of 1929	
Hydrologic:		Strait of Georgia Washington A	rea = 955 so mi	
Topographic		Not Reported	100 000 bq	
Site type:	•	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19730701
Dale iovento	ried:	Not Reported	Mean arounwich time offset:	PST
Local standa	rd time flao:	Y	Mean greenwich time onset.	131
Type of grou	nd water site:	Single well, other than collector (		
Aquifer Type		Not Reported	or realities type	
Aquifer:		Not Reported		
Weil denth:		259	Holo depth:	Not Reported
Source of de	nih data:	drillor	Tible depth.	Not Reported
Broject pumb	piri uara.	Not Reported		
Project num.	te flea:		Daily flow data bagin data:	0000 00 00
Deily flow do	ta nag. ta ond doto:		Daily flow data begin date.	0000-00-00
Daily flow da	ta enu uate.		Daily flow data count.	
Peak now da	ta begin bale.	0000-00-00	Peak now uata end uate.	
Peak now da	ta count. I dete and dete	0	water quality data begin date:	0000-00-00
vvater quality	ruala enu dale	3:0000-00-00	water quality data count:	0
Ground wate	r data begin da	ale: 1973-10-10	Ground water data end date:	1973-10-10
Ground wate	r data count:	1		
Ground-wate	r levels, Numb	per of Measurements: 1		
	Feet below	Feet to		
Date	Surface	Sealevel		
1973-10-10	229			
A5 WSW 1/2 - 1 Mile				FED USGS USGS3260768
Higher				
Agency cd:		USGS	Site na:	483332122210801
Site name:		35N/04E-06D02		
Latitude:		483332	EDR Site id:	USGS3260768
Longitudo:		1000100	Dee lat:	40 5507101

Longitude: Dec lon: Coor accr: Dec lationg datum: State: Country: Location map: Attitude: Attitude method: Altitude accuracy: Altitude datum: Hydrologic: Topographic: Site type:

.'

1222108 Dec lat: 48.5587191 -122.35349542 Coor meth: М F Lationg datum: NAD27 NAD83 District: 53 53 County: 057 US Land net: NW NW S06 T35N R04E W ALGER 24000 Map scale: 335 Interpolated from topographic map 10 National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 Strait of Georgia. Washington. Area = 955 sq.mi.

Mean greenwich time offset:

Hilltop Ground-water other than Spring Date construction:

19890224

Date inventoried:

TC2673432.1s Page A-12

19730730

PST

Local standard time flag: Y Type of ground water site: Single well, other than collector or Ranney type Aquifer Type: Confined single aquifer Aquifer: Not Reported Well depth: 259 Source of depth data: driller Project number: WA00200 Real time data flag: 0 Daily flow data end date: 0000-00-00 Peak flow data begin date: 0000-00-00 Peak flow data count: Water quality data end date:1989-10-02 Ground water data begin date: 0000-00-00 Ground water data count: 0

259 Hole depth: Daily flow data begin date: 0000-00-00 Daily flow data count: 0 Peak flow data end date: 0000-00-00 Water quality data begin date: 1989-10-02 Water quality data count: Ground water data end date: 0000-00-00

Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 0

#### B6 ENE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower

Objectid: Srcrootid Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemarp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd1: Contphone: Contstate: Sma: Usecode: Capacity: Treated: Whpatype Latitude Longitude:

Limethod:

**B**7

ENE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower

23318 23464 135632 75677 7567701 B DOMESTIC WELL S01 / DOMESTIC WELL 03 Not Reported (360) 724-3131 WA Not Reported Permanent 27 Not Reported Not Reported 48.565067 -122.332775 Мар

Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contcity: Contzipcd: Smaname Suscept:

Srcid:

Wsorgid:

# Doewellid:

Site id:

#### WA500000019999 WA WELLS

125682 8148**4** 65762 01 SAMISH STATE SALMON HATCHERY Group B Well Northwest SKAGIT 5585 Old Hwy 99 North Rd Burlington 98233 Not Reported

Not Rated Not Reported

WA500000019999

FED USGS USGS3260785

#### 483354122195001

USGS3260785 48.56483053 М NAD27 53

057 NW SW \$32 T36N\_R04E\_W 24000

Agency cd: Site name: Latitude: Longitude: Dec lon: Coor accr: Dec lationg datum: State: Country:

Location map:

483354 1221950 -122.33182799 NAD83 53 US ALGER

36N/04E-32M01

USGS

S

# Site no:

EDR Site id: Dec lat: Coor meth: Lationg datum: District: County: Land net: Map scale:

Altitude:	100					
Altitude method:	Interpolated from topographic map					
Altitude accuracy:	10	10				
Altitude datum:	National Geodetic Vertical Datum	n of 1929				
Hydrologic:	Strait of Georgia. Washington. Ai	Strait of Georgia, Washington, Area = 955 sq.mi.				
Topographic:	Not Reported					
Site type:	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19620625			
Date inventoried:	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST			
Local standard time flag:	Y					
Type of ground water site:	Single well, other than collector of	r Ranney type				
Aquifer Type:	Not Reported	• • •				
Aquifer:	Not Reported					
Well depth:	40	Hole depth:	Not Reported			
Source of depth data:	drifler					
Project number:	Not Reported					
Real time data flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00			
Daily flow data end date:	0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0			
Peak flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00			
Peak flow data count:	0	Water quality data begin date:	0000-00-00			
Water quality data end date	:0000-00-00	Water quality data count:	0			
Ground water data begin da	ate: 1962-06-25	Ground water data end date:	1962-06-25			
Ground water data count:	1					

Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1

Feet below Feet to Date Surface Sealevel

1962-06-25 7

8 ESE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower			FED USGS	USGS3260771
Agency cd:	USGS	Site no:	483335122194701	
Site name:	36N/04E-32N01			
Latitude:	483335	EDR Site id:	USGS3260771	
Longitude:	1221947	Dec lat:	48.55955267	
Dec Ion:	-122.33099457	Coor meth:	М	
Coor accr:	S	Lationg datum:	NAD27	
Dec lationg datum:	NAD83	District:	53	
State:	53	County:	057	
Country:	US	Land net:	SW SW S32 T36N	R04E W
Location map:	ALGER	Map scale:	24000	
Altitude:	85			
Altitude method:	Interpolated from topographic ma	ар		
Altitude accuracy:	10			
Altitude datum:	National Geodetic Vertical Datum	n of 1929		
Hydrologic:	Strait of Georgia, Washington, A	rea = 955 sq.mi.		
Topographic:	Not Reported			
Site type:	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19731027	
Date inventoried:	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST	
Local standard time flag:	Y			
Type of ground water site:	Single well, other than collector of	or Ranney type		
Aquifer Type:	Not Reported		-	
Aquifer:	Not Reported			
Well depth:	82	Hole depth:	Not Reported	
Source of depth data:	driller			
Project number:	Not Reported			
Real time data flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	
Daily flow data end date:	0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0	
Peak flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	000-00-00	

TC2673432.1s Page A-14

 Peak flow data count:
 0

 Water quality data end date:0000-00-00
 0

 Ground water data begin date:
 1973-10-27

 Ground water data count:
 1

Water quality data begin date: 0000-00-00 Water quality data count: 0 Ground water data end date: 1973-10-27

Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1 Feet below Feet to Date Surface Sealevel

1973-10-27 40

9 SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower

### FED USGS USGS3260756

USGS 483322122195501 Agency cd: Site no: Site name: 35N/04E-05D01 USGS3260756 Latitude: 483322 EDR Site id: Longitude: 1221955 Dec lat; 48.55594148 Dec lon: -122.33321683 Coor meth: Μ Coor accr: Lationg datum: NAD27 F Dec lationg datum: NAD83 District: 53 057 State: 53 County: US NW NW S05 T35N R04E W Country: Land net: Location map: 24000 ALGER Map scale Altitude: 70 Altitude method: Interpolated from topographic map Altitude accuracy: 10 Altitude datum: National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 Hydrologic: Strait of Georgia. Washington. Area = 955 sq.mi. Topographic: Valley flat Site type: Ground-water other than Spring Date construction: 19661022 Date inventoried: Not Reported Mean greenwich time offset: PST Local standard time flag: Y Single well, other than collector or Ranney type Type of ground water site: Aquifer Type: Not Reported Aquifer: Not Reported Well depth: 44 Hole depth: 44 driller Source of depth data: Project number: Not Reported 0000-00-00 Real time data flag: 0 Daily flow data begin date: Daily flow data end date: 0000-00-00 Daily flow data count: ß Peak flow data begin date: 0000-00-00 0000-00-00 Peak flow data end date: Water quality data begin date: 0000-00-00 Peak flow data count: 0 Water quality data end date:0000-00-00 Water quality data count: Û Ground water data begin date: 1967-02-02 Ground water data end date: 1967-02-02 Ground water data count: 1 Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1 Feet below Feet to Date Surface Sealevel

1967-02-02 14

1907-02-02 1

10 West 1/2 - 1 Mile Higher

FED USGS USGS3260772

TC2673432.1s Page A-15

Site name:		36N/03E-36R02	CDO Site ide	115053260772			
Latitude:		400000	EDR Sile Id.	48 5595524			
Doctoo:		1222124	Coor moth-	48.5355724 M			
Coor acer:		=122,30194000	t attend datum:	NAD27			
Dec lationa d	latum:		District	53			
State:		53	County	057			
Country		115	Land net:	SE SE \$36 T36N_R03E_W			
Location mar	<b>.</b> .	ALGER	Man scale:	24000			
Aititude		345	wap scale.	24000			
Altitude meth	od:	Interpolated from topographic map					
Altitude accu	iou. Iracw	10	nierpolaieu irom lopugraphic map				
Altitude datu	macy.	National Geodetic Vertical Datur	iu National Coordatis Variaal Datum of 1020				
Hydrologic:		Strait of Georgia Washington A	$r_{00} = 955 \text{ sci} \text{ mi}$				
Topooraphic		Hillton	iea - 555 sq.mi.				
Site type:		Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction?	19830526			
Date invento	ried	19890224	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST			
Local standa	rd time flao:	Y	Mean greenwich and chock	101			
Type of grou	nd water site:	Single well, other than collector of	or Ranney type				
Anuifer Type		Confined single aquifer	strainey gpo				
Aquifer	-	Not Reported					
Well denth:		290	Hole denth:	291			
Source of de	oth data:	driller					
Project numb	her:	WA00200					
Real time data flag:		0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00			
Daily flow data end date:		0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0			
Peak flow data begin date:		0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00			
Peak flow data count:		0	Water quality data begin date:	1989-10-02			
Water quality data end date		2:1989-10-02	Water quality data count:	1			
Ground wate	er data begin di	e: 1989-02-24 Ground water data end date:		1989-02-24			
Ground wate	er data count:	1					
Ground-wate	er levels, Numt	er of Measurements: 1					
	Feet below	Feet to					
Date	Surface	Sealevel					

SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower

State:

483313122195401 USGS Agency cd: Site no: 35N/04E-05E01 Site name: Latitude: 483313 EDR Site id: USGS3260739 48.55344144 Longitude: 1221954 Dec lat: Dec lon: -122.33293902 Coor meth: M Coor accr: F Lattong datum: NAD27 District: Dec lationg datum: NAD83 53 53 County: 057 US SW NW S05 T35N R04E W Country: Land net: Location map: ALGER Map scale: 24000 Altitude: 72 Altitude method: Interpolated from topographic map Altitude accuracy: 10 National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 Altitude datum: Hydrologic: Strait of Georgia. Washington. Area = 955 sq.mi. Topographic: Valley flat Site type: 19760506 Ground-water other than Spring Date construction: Date inventoried: Not Reported Mean greenwich time offset: PST



\_\_\_\_

		Y								
Type of ground water site: Aquifer Type: Aquifer: Well depth: Source of depth data: Project number: Real time data flag: Daily flow data end date: Peak flow data begin date: Peak flow data count: Water quality data end date Ground water data begin data		Single well, other than collector or Ranney type Not Reported								
							Not Reported			
		37	Hole depth:	49 0000-00-00 0 0000-00-00 0000-00-00 0 1976-05-06						
		driller								
		Not Reported								
		0 0000-00-00 0000-00-00 0 e:0000-00-00 ate: 1976-05-06	Daily flow data begin date: Daily flow data count: Peak flow data end date:							
						Water quality data begin date:				
						Water quality data count:				
			Ground water data end date:							
			Ground wate			r data count:	1			
			Ground-water levels, Number o Feet below Fee			er of Measurements: 1 Feet to				
		Date	Surface	Sealevel						
1976-05-06	4									
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower				WA WELLS WA5000000019900						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid:		9573	Srcid:	WA WELLS WA500000019900						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Stcrootid:		9573 9633	Sreid: Wsorgid:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid:		9573 9633 14448	Sreid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460						
SE I/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid:		9573 9633 14448 09535	Sreid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srenum;	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid:		9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum; Systemname:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp:		9573 9633 144448 09535 0953502 A	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename:	:	9573 9633 144448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well						
SE I/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl:	:	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest						
SE I/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcenbl: Wria:	:	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03	Sreid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srenum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd1:	;	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported	Sreid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srenum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd 1: Contphone:	:	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contoity:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd 1: Contphone: Contstate:	:	9573 9633 144448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contaty: Contzipcd:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename; Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd1: Contphone: Contstate: Sma:		9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: Countcetype: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contaip: Contzipcd: Smaname:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwsrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename; Sourcename; Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd 1: Contadd 1: Contstate: Sma: Usecode:		9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Permanent	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contaiy: Contzipcd: Smaname:	WA WELLS WA5000000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd 1: Contstate: Sma: Usecode: Capacity:		9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Permanent 25	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum; Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contady: Contzipcd: Smaname:	WA WELLS WA5000000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwsrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd1: Contphone: Contstate: Sma: Usecode: Capacity: Treated;		9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Permanent 25 Not Reported	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contaity: Contaity: Smaname:	WA WELLS WA5000000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported Not Rated						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwsid: Pwsid: Pwsrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd 1: Contstate: Sma: Usecode: Capacity: Treated: Whpatype:	:	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Permanent 25 Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contaiy: Contaipcd: Smaname: Suscept: Doewellid:	WA WELLS WA5000000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported Not Rated Not Reported						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd 1: Contstate: Sma: Usecode: Capacity: Treated: Whpatype: Latitude:	:	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Permanent 25 Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported 48.552301	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contcity: Contzipcd: Smaname: Suscept: Doewellid:	WA WELLS WA5000000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported Not Rated Not Reported						
SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsleid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbl: Wria: Contadd1: Contstate: Sma: Usecode: Capacity: Treated: Whpatype: Latitude: Longitude:	:	9573 9633 14448 09535 0953502 A WELL #2 S02 / WELL #2 03 Not Reported (360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Permanent 25 Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported Not Reported 48.552301 -122.332664	Srcid: Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum: Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2: Contadd2: Contcity: Contzipcd: Smaname: Suscept: Doewellid:	WA WELLS WA500000019900 142810 89377 55460 02 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD BURLINGTON 98233 Not Reported Not Rated Not Reported						

13 West 1/2 - 1 Mile Higher

FED USGS USGS3260773

Agency cd:	USGS	Site no:	483335122213301			
Site name:	36N/03E-36R01					
Latitude:	483335	EDR Site id:	USGS3260773			
Longitude:	1222133	Dec lat:	48.55955238			
Dec lon:	-122.36044015	Coor meth:	м			
Coor accr:	S	Lationg datum:	NAD27			
Dec lationg datum:	NAD83	District:	53			
State:	53	County:	057			
Country:	US	Land net:	SE SE \$36 T36N_R03E			
Location map:	ALGER	Map scale:	24000			
Altitude:	340					
Altitude method:	Interpolated from topographic map					
Altitude accuracy:	10					
Altitude datum:	National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929					
Hydrologic:	Strait of Georgia. Washington. Area = 955 sq.mi.					
Topographic:	Not Reported					
Site type:	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19681107			
Date inventoried:	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST			
Local standard time flag:	Y	-				
Type of ground water site:	Single well, other than collector o	r Ranney type				
Aquifer Type:	Not Reported					
Aquifer:	Not Reported					
Well depth:	18	Hole depth:	Not Reported			
Source of depth data:	driller					
Project number:	Not Reported					
Real time data flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00			
Daily flow data end date:	0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0			
Peak flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00			
Peak flow data count:	0	Water quality data begin date:	0000-00-00			
Water quality data end date	:0000-00-00	Water quality data count:	0			
Ground water data begin da	ate: 1968-11-07	Ground water data end date:	1968-11-07			
Ground water data count:	1					
Ground-water levels, Numb	er of Measurements: 1					

Feet below Feet to Date Surface Sealevel

1968-11-07 7

#### C14 SE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower

Objectid: Srcrootid: Wsteid: Pwsid: Pwsid: Pwssrcid: Systemgrp: Sourcename: Sourcelbt: Wria: Contadd1:

14448 09535 0953501 A WELL# 1 S01 / WELL# 1 03 Not Reported

9572

9632

#### Wsorgid: Wslerootid: Srcnum; Systemname: Systemtype: Sourcetype: Region: County: Contadd2:

Srcid:

### WA WELLS WA500000019906

W

142809 89377 55460 01 BURLINGTON KOA Transient Non-Community Well Northwest SKAGIT 6397 N GREENE RD
## **GEOCHECK®- PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS**

Contphone:
Contstate:
Sma:
Usecode:
Capacity:
Treated:
Whpatype:
Latitude:
Longitude:
Limethod:

(360) 724-5511 WA Not Reported Emergency 25 Not Reported Not Reported 48.552484 -122.331378 GPS Contcity: Contzipcd: Smaname:

Suscept: Doewellid:

Site id:

Not Rated Not Reported

BURLINGTON

Not Reported

98233

WA500000019906

### 15 ENE 1/2 - 1 Mile Higher

### FED USGS USGS3260793

Agency cd:	USGS	Site no:	483400122192601		
Site name:	36N/04E-32F01				
Latitude;	483400	EDR Site id:	USGS3260793		
Longitude:	1221926	Dec lat:	48.5664973		
Dec lon:	-122.32516109	Coor meth:	М		
Coor accr;	S	Latlong datum:	NAD27		
Dec lationg datum:	NAD83	District:	53		
State:	53	County:	057		
Country:	US	Land net:	SE NW S32 T36N R04E W		
Location map:	ALGER	Map scale:	24000		
Altitude:	260				
Altitude method:	Interpolated from topographic ma	ab			
Altitude accuracy:	10				
Altitude datum:	National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929				
Hydrologic:	Strait of Georgia, Washington, Area = 955 sg.mi.				
Topographic:	Not Reported				
Site type:	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19740117		
Date inventoried:	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST		
Local standard time flag:	Y				
Type of ground water site:	Single well, other than collector of	or Ranney type			
Aquifer Type:	Not Reported				
Aquifer:	Not Reported				
Well depth:	184	Hole depth:	Not Reported		
Source of depth data:	driller				
Project number:	Not Reported				
Real time data flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	000-00-00		
Daily flow data end date:	0000-00- <b>00</b>	Daily flow data count:	0		
Peak flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00		
Peak flow data count:	0	Water quality data begin date:	000-00-00		
Water quality data end date	::00 <b>00-00-</b> 00	Water quality data count:	0		
Ground water data begin da	ate: 1974-01-17	Ground water data end date:	1974-01-17		
Ground water data count:	1				

Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1 Feet below Feet to

Date Surface Sealevel

1974-01-17 164

## **GEOCHECK®- PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS**

Map ID Direction Distance Elevation			Database	EDR ID Number
16 ESE 1/2 - 1 Mile Lower			FED USGS	USGS3260748
Agency cd:	USGS	Site no:	483317122192901	
Site name:	35N/04E-05F01			
Latitude:	483317	EDR Site id:	USGS3260748	
Longitude:	1221929	Dec lat:	48.55455264	
Dec lon:	-122.32599432	Coor meth:	M	
Coor accr:	S	Lationg datum:	NAD27	
Dec lationg datum:	NAD83	District:	53	
State:	53	County:	057	
Country:	US	Land net:	SE NW S05 T35N F	R04E W
Location map:	ALGER	Map scale:	24000	
Altitude:	70	•		
Altitude method:	Interpolated from topographic ma	ар		
Altitude accuracy:	10			
Attitude datum:	National Geodetic Vertical Datum	n of 1929		
Hydrologic:	Strait of Georgia, Washington, A	rea = 955 sq.mi.		
Topographic:	Not Reported	·		
Site type:	Ground-water other than Spring	Date construction:	19661022	
Date inventoried:	Not Reported	Mean greenwich time offset:	PST	
Local standard time flag.	¥			
Type of ground water site:	Single well, other than collector of	or Ranney type		
Aquifer Type:	Not Reported			
Aquifer:	Not Reported			
Well depth:	42	Hole depth:	Not Reported	
Source of depth data:	driller			
Project number:	Not Reported			
Real time data flag:	0	Daily flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	
Daily flow data end date:	0000-00-00	Daily flow data count:	0	
Peak flow data begin date:	0000-00-00	Peak flow data end date:	0000-00-00	
Peak flow data count:	0	Water quality data begin date:	00 <b>00-00-</b> 00	
Water quality data end date	:0000-00-00	Water quality data count:	0	
Ground water data begin da Ground water data count:	nte: 1966-10-22 1	Ground water data end date:	1966-10-22	

Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1

--

Feet below Feet to Date Surface Sealevel

1966-10-22 15

### AREA RADON INFORMATION

Federal EPA Radon Zone for SKAGIT County: 3

Note: Zone 1 indoor average level > 4 pCi/L.

: Zone 2 indoor average level >= 2 pCi/L and <= 4 pCi/L.

: Zone 3 indoor average level < 2 pCi/L.

Federal Area Radon Information for SKAGIT COUNTY, WA

Number of sites tested: 8

Area	Average Activity	% <4 pCi/L	% 4-20 pCi/L	% >20 pCi/L
Living Area - 1st Floor	0.350 pCi/L	100%	0%	0%
Living Area - 2nd Floor	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported
Basement	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported





# PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE RECORDS SEARCHED

### **TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

Source: United States Geologic Survey

EDR acquired the USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model in 2002 and updated it in 2006. The 7.5 minute DEM corresponds to the USGS 1:24,000- and 1:25,000-scale topographic quadrangle maps. The DEM provides elevation data with consistent elevation units and projection.

### HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select countries across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2003 & 2009 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100-year and 500-year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002 and 2005 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

### HYDROGEOLOGIC INFORMATION

AQUIFLOW<sup>R</sup> Information System

Source: EDR proprietary database of groundwater flow information

EDR has developed the AQUIFLOW Information System (AIS) to provide data on the general direction of groundwater flow at specific points. EDR has reviewed reports submitted to regulatory authorities at select sites and has extracted the date of the report, hydrogeologically determined groundwater flow direction and depth to water table information.

#### **GEOLOGIC INFORMATION**

#### Geologic Age and Rock Stratigraphic Unit

Source: P.G. Schruben, R.E. Arndt and W.J. Bawiec, Geology of the Conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 Scale - A digital representation of the 1974 P.B. King and H.M. Beikman Map, USGS Digital Data Series DDS - 11 (1994).

### STATSGO: State Soil Geographic Database

Source: Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) leads the national Conservation Soil Survey (NCSS) and is responsible for collecting, storing, maintaining and distributing soil survey information for privately owned lands in the United States. A soil map in a soil survey is a representation of soil patterns in a landscape. Soil maps for STATSGO are compiled by generalizing more detailed (SSURGO) soil survey maps.

#### SSURGO: Soil Survey Geographic Database

Source: Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS)

Telephone: 800-672-5559

SSURGO is the most detailed level of mapping done by the Natural Resources Conservation Services, mapping scales generally range from 1:12,000 to 1:63,360. Field mapping methods using national standards are used to construct the soil maps in the Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) database. SSURGO digitizing duplicates the original soil Survey maps. This level of mapping is designed for use by landowners, townships and county natural resource planning and management.

### LOCAL / REGIONAL WATER AGENCY RECORDS

#### FEDERAL WATER WELLS

PWS: Public Water Systems

Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water

Telephone: 202-564-3750

Public Water System data from the Federal Reporting Data System. A PWS is any water system which provides water to at least 25 people for at least 60 days annually. PWSs provide water from wells, rivers and other sources.



# PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE RECORDS SEARCHED

PWS ENF: Public Water Systems Violation and Enforcement Data Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water

Telephone: 202-564-3750

Violation and Enforcement data for Public Water Systems from the Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) after August 1995. Prior to August 1995, the data came from the Federal Reporting Data System (FRDS).

### USGS Water Wells: USGS National Water Inventory System (NWIS)

This database contains descriptive information on sites where the USGS collects or has collected data on surface water and/or groundwater. The groundwater data includes information on wells, springs, and other sources of groundwater.

### STATE RECORDS

Water Wells

Source: Department of Health Telephone: 360-236-3148 Group A and B well locations.

### OTHER STATE DATABASE INFORMATION

### RADÓN

Area Radon Information Source: USGS Telephone: 703-356-4020 The National Radon Database has been developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and is a compilation of the EPA/State Residential Radon Survey and the National Residential Radon Survey. The study covers the years 1986 - 1992. Where necessary data has been supplemented by information collected at private sources such as universities and research institutions.

EPA Radon Zones

Source: EPA Telephone: 703-356-4020 Sections 307 & 309 of IRAA directed EPA to list and identify areas of U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor radon levels.

### OTHER

Airport Landing Facilities: Private and public use landing facilities Source: Federal Aviation Administration, 800-457-6656

Epicenters: World earthquake epicenters, Richter 5 or greater Source: Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### STREET AND ADDRESS INFORMATION

© 2010 Tele Atlas North America, Inc. All rights reserved. This material is proprietary and the subject of copyright protection and other intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to Tele Atlas North America, Inc. The use of this material is subject to the terms of a license agreement. You will be held liable for any unauthorized copying or disclosure of this material.

# **Skagit County Assessor Parcel Details**

Parcel Number	Xrefl	D	Quarter	Section	Townshi	ip Range	
P119078	4777	-000-001-0100	04	31	36	04	
Owner Information		Site Address(es)	Location I	Map Barcol on	iMon		
25944 COMMUNITY	PLAZA WAY		Assessor's	Parcel Ma	p: PDF   D	WF	
SEDRO WOOLLEY, V	VA 98284	BOW, WA 98232					
2009 Values for 2010	) Taxes	Sale Information	2010 P	roperty Ta	x Summa	ry	
Building Market Value	e \$.00	Deed Type WARRANTY DEE	D 2010 T	axable Val	ue	\$1,800. <b>0</b> 0	
Land Market Value	+\$1,800.00	Sale Date 7/2/2003	Genera	al Taxes		\$17.71	
Total Market Value	\$1,800.00	Sale Price \$457,000.00	Specia	il Assessmi	ents/Fees	+\$.33	
Assessed Value	\$1,800.00	<u>View Sales History</u>	Total	Faxes		\$18.04	
Taxable Value	\$1,800.00		View T	ax Stateme	ent		

View Value History

### Legal Description Definitions

RIVER VALLEY VIEW ESTATES, ACRES 0.59, ALL THAT PORTION OF LOT 1 AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT OF RIVER VALLEY VIEW ESTATES, RECORDED AS AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200105070102, RECORDS OF SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 01-35-01 EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 448.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 57-45-27 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 20-34-51 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02-00-00 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 345.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 29-58-52 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 63.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 86-51-44 WEST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. SURVEY RECORDED UNDER AF#200308210041. SURVEY RECORDED AF#200508020064. ALL THAT PORTION OF LOT 1 AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT OF RIVER VALLEY VIEW ESTATES, RECORDED AS AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200105070102, RECORDS OF SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 01-35-01 EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 448.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 57-45-27 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 20-34-51 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02-00-00 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 345.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 29-58-52 EAST, A DISTANCE OF 63.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 86-51-44 WEST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. SURVEY RECORDED UNDER AF#200308210041. SURVEY RECORDED AF#200508020064.

Land Use	(110) HOUSEHOLD SFR OUTSIDE CITY		WAC 458-53-030
Neignbornood Utilities	(110) PLATTED LOTS; NO	DIMPROVEMENTS	Septic Information
Levy Code	1195	Foundation	
City District	Skagit County	Construction Style	
School District	SD100	Exterior Walls	
Fire District	F06	Roof Style	
Year Built		Roof Covering	
Acres	0.59	Floor Construction	
Living Area		Plumbing	
Bedrooms		Heat-AirCond	
Appliances		Fireplace	
Exemptions			

NO PHOTO AVAILABLE FOR THIS PARCEL



# **Skagit County Assessor Parcel Details**

Parcel Number	XrefID		Quarte	r Section	Township	Range
P50500	360432-3-00	04-0006	03	32	36	04
Owner Information		Site Address(es)	Location	n Мар		
UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRI	8E		Locate th	<u>nis Parcel on i</u>	<u>Map</u>	
25944 COMMUNITY PLAZA	WAY		Assesso	r's Parcel Maj	: PDF   DW	/F
SEDRO WOOLLEY, WA 982	84					
2009 Values for 2010 Taxes	Exemption	Sale Information		2010 Proper	ty Tax Sum	imary
Building Market Value	\$.00	Deed Type WARRANT	Y DEED	2010 Taxabl	e Value	\$.00
Land Market Value +\$1,10	00.00	Sale Date 12/17/2004		General Tax	es	\$.00
Total Market Value \$1,10	00.00	Sale Price \$1,110,000	.00	Special Asse	essments/Fe	ees +\$.20
Assessed Value \$1,10	00.00	View Sales History		Total Taxes		\$.20
Taxable Value	\$.00			<u>View Tax Sta</u>	tement	

View Value History

### Legal Description Definitions

THAT PORTION LOCATED IN SECTION 32, TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED PROPERTY: THE NORTH 1/2 OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 31, AND THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 32 LYING WEST OF THE STATE HIGHWAY, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., EXCEPT THAT PORTION, IF ANY, CONVEYED TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES, INCLUDING THAT CONVEYED BY DEED DATED FEBRUARY 2, 1940, FILED FEBRUARY 15, 1940 AS FILE NO. 321913 AND RECORDED IN VOLUME 180 OF DEEDS AT PAGE 30, AND EXCEPT THAT PORTION DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE WEST LINE OF HIGHWAY 99 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 32; THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 31 TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID NORTHEAST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4; THENCE NORTH ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 660 FEET; THENCE EAST PARALLEL TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 AND SAID NORTHWEST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID HIGHWAY 99; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID HIGHWAY TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, SURVEY RECORDED AF#200508020064.

Land Use	(920) TREES		WAC 458-53-030
Neighborhood	(360) 40-79.99 ACRES; NO IM	PROVEMENTS	
Utilities			Septic Information
Levy Code	1117	Foundation	
City District	Skagit County	Construction Style	
School District	SD100	Exterior Walls	
Fire District		Roof Style	
Year Built		Roof Covering	
Acres	0.36	Floor Construction	
Living Area		Plumbing	
Bedrooms		Heat-AirCond	
Appliances		Fireplace	
Exemptions	Bureau of Indian Affairs		

Bureau of Indian Affairs

NO PHOTO AVAILABLE FOR THIS PARCEL



## APPENDIX B Selected Historical Research Documents





Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232

Inquiry Number: 2022828.6

Tuesday, September 11, 2007

# The Standard in Environmental Risk Information

440 Wheelers Farms Road Milford, Connecticut 06461

## Nationwide Customer Service

 Telephone:
 1-800-352-0050

 Fax:
 1-800-231-6802

 Internet:
 www.edrnet.com

# **EDR City Directory Abstract**

Environmental Data Resources, Inc.'s (EDR) City Directory Abstract is a screening report designed to assist environmental professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDR's City Directory Abstract includes a search and abstract of available city directory data. For each address, the directory lists the name of the corresponding occupant at five year intervals.

> Thank you for your business. Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR; EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction offorecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2007 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

## SUMMARY



### City Directories:

Business directories including city, cross reference and telephone directories were reviewed, if available, at approximately five year intervals for the years spanning 2000 through 2006. (These years are not necessarily inclusive.) A summary of the information obtained is provided in the text of this report.

## Date EDR Searched Historical Sources: September 11, 2007

Target Property: 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232

<u>Year</u>	<u>Uses</u>	<u>Source</u>
2000	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	Polk's City Directory
2006	Skagit Valley Casino Resort	Polk's City Directory
Adjoin	ing Properties	
SURR	OUNDING	
Multiple	Addresses	
DOW, VV	A 30232	
<u>Year</u>	<u>Uses</u>	<u>Source</u>
2000	**N DARRK LN**	Polk's City Directory
	Thousand Trails Trailer Park/Campsite (5409)	Polk's City Directory
	Address not listed in research source (5765)	Polk's City Directory
	No other addresses listed on street	Polk's City Directory
	**BOW HILL RD**	Polk's City Directory
	Not Verified (18031)	Polk's City Directory
	Residence (18107)	Polk's City Directory
	Residence (18111)	Polk's City Directory
2006	**N DARRK LN**	Polk's City Directory
	Thousand Trails Mount Vernon (5409)	Polk's City Directory
	Residence (5765)	Polk's City Directory
	No other addresses listed on street	Polk's City Directory
	**BOW HILL RD**	Polk's City Directory
	No current listing (18031)	Polk's City Directory
	Residence (18111)	Polk's City Directory



2022828-6 2



# Certified Sanborn® Map Report



Sanborn® Library search results Certification # 40A7-4A66-AA60

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232

Inquiry Number 2022828.3s

September 07, 2007

# The Standard in Environmental Risk Information

440 Wheelers Farms Rd Milford, Connecticut 06461

Nationwide Customer Service

 Telephone:
 1-800-352-0050

 Fax:
 1-800-231-6802

 Internet:
 www.edrnet.com

## Certified Sanborn® Map Report

9/07/07

Site Name:	Client Name:	
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232	Geo Engineers, Inc. 600 DuPont Street Bellingham, WA 98225	EDR* Environmental Data Resources Inc
EDR Inquiry # 2022828.3s	Contact: Ron Bek	e

The complete Sanborn Library collection has been searched by EDR, and fire insurance maps covering the target property location provided by Geo Engineers, Inc. were identified for the years listed below. The certified Sanborn Library search results in this report can be authenticated by visiting www.edrnet.com/sanborn and entering the certification number. Only Environmental Data Resources Inc. (EDR) is authorized to grant rights for commercial reproduction of maps by Sanborn Library LLC, the copyright holder for the collection.

### Certified Sanborn Results:

Site Name:	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site
Address:	5984 N. Darrk Lane
City, State, Zip:	Bow, WA 98232
Cross Street:	
P.O. #	0829-021-00
Project:	0829-021-00
Certification #	40A7-4A66-AA60

### UNMAPPED PROPERTY

This report certifies that the complete holdings of the Sanborn Library, LLC collection have been searched based on client supplied target property information, and fire insurance maps covering the target property were not found.



Sanborn® Library search results Certification # 40A7-4A66-AA60

The Sanborn Library includes more than 1.2 million Sanborn fire insurance maps, which track historical property usage in approximately 12,000 American cities and towns. Collections searched:

Library of Congress
 University Publications of America
 EDR Private Collection

Total Maps: 0

### Limited Permission To Make Copies

Geo Engineers, Inc. (the client) is permitted to make up to THREE photocopies of this Sanborn Map transmittal and each fire insurance map accompanying this report solely for the limited use of its customer. No one other than the client is authorized to make copies. Upon request made directly to an EDR Account Executive, the client may be permitted to make a limited number of additional photocopies. This permission is conditioned upon compliance by the client, its customer and their agents with EDR's copyright policy; a copy of which is available upon request.

### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources. Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the larget and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES, ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY INCIDENTAL, OR A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk for any properly. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any properly. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2007 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources. Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.



# The EDR Aerial Photo Decade Package

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232

Inquiry Number: 2022828.5

September 19, 2007

# The Standard in Environmental Risk Information

440 Wheelers Farms Road Milford, Connecticut 06461

# - Nationwide Customer Service

Telephone:	1-800-352-0050
Fax:	1-800-231-6802
Internet:	www.edrnet.com

# **EDR Aerial Photo Decade Package**

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) Aerial Photo Decade Package is a screening tool designed to assist environmental professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDRs professional researchers provide digitally reproduced historical aerial photographs, and when available, provide one photo per decade.

When delivered electronically by EDR, the aerial photo images included with this report are for ONE TIME USE ONLY. Further reproduction of these aerial photo images is prohibited without permission from EDR. For more information contact your EDR Account Executive.

Thank you for your business. Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report AS IS. Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site information provided in this Report as legal advice.

Copyright 2007 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

### Date EDR Searched Historical Sources:

Aerial Photography September 19, 2007

# **Target Property:**

5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232

<u>Year</u>	<u>Scale</u>	<u>Details</u>	<u>Source</u>
1971	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Panel #: 2448122-E3/Flight Date: September 19, 1971	EDR
1981	Acrial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000"	Panel #: 2448122-E3/Flight Date: August 08, 1981	EDR
1990	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=750'	Panel #: 2448122-E3/Flight Date: July 10, 1990	EDR







-









- . - . . . . . .

# EDR Historical Topographic Map Report

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232

Inquiry Number: 2022828.4

September 07, 2007

# The Standard in Environmental Risk Information

440 Wheelers Farms Rd Milford, Connecticut 06461

# Nationwide Customer Service

Telephone:	1-800-352-0050
Fax:	1-800-231-6802
Internet:	www.edrnet.com

# EDR Historical Topographic Map Report

Environmental Data Resources, Inc.s (EDR) Historical Topographic Map Report is designed to assist professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDRs Historical Topographic Map Report includes a search of a collection of public and private color historical topographic maps, dating back to the early 1900s.

Thank you for your business. Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report AS IS. Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site information provide in formation regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provide in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2007 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

# Historical Topographic Map



N ↑	TARGET QUAD NAME: Alger, WA MAP YEAR: 1952 SERIES: 7.5 SCALE: 1:24,000	SITE NAME: ADDRESS: LAT/LONG:	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232 48.562 / 122.3416	CLIENT: CONTACT: INQUIRY#: RESEARCH	Geo Engineers, Inc. Ron Bek 2022828.4 DATE: 09/07/2007
--------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--	---

# Historical Topographic Map



Z ←	TARGET QU NAME: MAP YEAR:	JAD Samish Lake, WA 1954	SITE NAME:	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232	CLIENT: CONTACT: INQUIRY#: RESEARCH I	Geo Engineers, Inc. Ron Bek 2022828.4 DATE: 09/07/2007	
		SERIES: SCALE:	15 1:62,500	LAT/LONG:	48.562 / 122.3416		

Historical Topographic Map



	TARGET QUAD NAME: Alger, WA MAP YEAR: 1968 PHOTOREVISED FROM:1952 SERIES: 7.5 SCALE: 1:24,000	SITE NAME: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site ADDRESS: 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232 LAT/LONG: 48.562 / 122.3416	CLIENT: Geo Engineers, Inc. CONTACT: Ron Bek INQUIRY#: 2022828.4 RESEARCH DATE: 09/07/2007
--	--	---	---

# Historical Topographic Map



	TARGET QUAD NAME: Alger, WA MAP YEAR: 1994 REVISED FROM:1952 SERIES: 7.5 SCALE: 1:24,000	SITE NAME: ADDRESS: LAT/LONG:	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Site 5984 N. Darrk Lane Bow, WA 98232 48.562 / 122.3416	CLIENT: CONTACT: INQUIRY#: RESEARCH	Geo Engineers, Inc. Ron Bek 2022828.4 DATE: 09/07/2007
--	---	-------------------------------------	---	--	---



### **REPORT LIMITATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR USE<sup>2</sup>**

This appendix provides information to help you manage your risks with respect to the use of this report.

### **Read These Provisions Closely**

Some clients, design professionals and contractors may not recognize that the geoscience practices (geotechnical engineering, geology and environmental science) are far less exact than other engineering and natural science disciplines. This lack of understanding can create unrealistic expectations that could lead to disappointments, claims and disputes. GeoEngineers includes these explanatory "limitations" provisions in our reports to help reduce such risks. Please confer with GeoEngineers if you are unclear how these "Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use" apply to your project or site.

### Environmental Services Are Performed for Specific Purposes, Persons and Projects

GeoEngineers has performed this ESA of the subject property identified in this report in Bow, Washington in general accordance with the scope and limitations of our proposal dated January 11, 2010, ASTM E 1527-05, Standard Practice for Phase I ESAs, and EPA's Federal Standard 40 CFR Part 312 "Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI)." This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Upper Skagit Indian Tribe. This report is not intended for use by others, and the information contained herein is not applicable to other properties.

GeoEngineers structures our services to meet the specific needs of our clients. For example, an environmental site assessment study conducted for a property owner may not fulfill the needs of a prospective purchaser of the same property. Because each environmental study is unique, each environmental report is unique, prepared solely for the specific client and project property. This report should not be applied for any purpose or project except the one originally contemplated.

### This Environmental Report Is Based on a Unique Set of Project-Specific Factors

This report has been prepared for the subject property identified in this report in Bow, Washington. GeoEngineers considered a number of unique, project-specific factors when establishing the scope of services for this project and report. Unless GeoEngineers specifically indicates otherwise, do not rely on this report if it was:

- not prepared for you,
- not prepared for your project,
- not prepared for the specific property explored, or
- completed before important project changes were made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Developed based on material provided by ASFE, Professional Firms Practicing in the Geosciences; www.asfe.org .



If important changes are made to the project or subject property after the date of this report, GeoEngineers should be retained to review our interpretations and recommendations and to provide written modifications or confirmation, as appropriate.

### **Reliance Conditions for Third Parties**

Our report was prepared for the exclusive use of our Client. No other party may rely on the product of our services unless we agree in advance to such reliance in writing. This is to provide our firm with reasonable protection against open-ended liability claims by third parties with whom there would otherwise be no contractual limits to their actions. Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with our Agreement with the Client and generally accepted environmental practices in this area at the time this report was prepared.

### **Historical Information Provided by Others**

GeoEngineers makes no warranties or guarantees regarding the accuracy or completeness of information provided or compiled by others. The information presented in this report is based on the above-described research and a single recent site visit. GeoEngineers has relied upon information provided by others in our description of historical conditions and in our review of regulatory databases and files. The available data do not provide definitive information with regard to all past uses, operations or incidents at the subject property or adjacent properties.

### Uncertainty Remains Even After This ESA Study Is Completed

No ESA can wholly eliminate uncertainty regarding the potential for recognized environmental conditions (RECs) in connection with a property. Performance of an ESA study is intended to reduce, but not eliminate, uncertainty regarding the potential for RECs in connection with a property. There is always a potential that areas with contamination that were not identified during this Phase I ESA exist at the subject property or in the study area. Further evaluation of such potential would require additional research, subsurface exploration, sampling and/or testing.

### **Environmental Regulations Are Always Evolving**

Some substances may be present in the vicinity of the subject property in quantities or under conditions that may have led, or may lead, to contamination of the subject property, but are not included in current local, state or federal regulatory definitions of hazardous substances or do not otherwise present current potential liability. GeoEngineers cannot be responsible if the standards for appropriate inquiry, or regulatory definitions of hazardous substance, change or if more stringent environmental standards are developed in the future.

### **Property Conditions Can Change**

This environmental report is based on conditions that existed at the time the study was performed. The findings and conclusions of this report may be affected by the passage of time (for example, a Phase I ESA report is typically applicable for 180 days), by events such as a change in property use or occupancy, or by natural events, such as floods, earthquakes, slope instability or groundwater fluctuations. Always contact GeoEngineers before applying this report so that we may evaluate whether changed conditions affect the continued reliability or applicability of our conclusions and recommendations.

### Geotechnical, Geologic and Environmental Reports Should Not Be Interchanged

The equipment, techniques and personnel used to perform an environmental study differ significantly from those used to perform a geotechnical or geologic study and vice versa. For that reason, a geotechnical engineering or geologic report does not usually relate any environmental findings, conclusions or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. Similarly, environmental reports are not used to address geotechnical or geologic concerns regarding a specific project.

### **Biological Pollutants**

GeoEngineers' Scope of Work specifically excludes the investigation, detection, prevention or assessment of the presence of Biological Pollutants. Accordingly, this report does not include any interpretations, recommendations, findings, or conclusions regarding the detecting, assessing, preventing or abating of Biological Pollutants and no conclusions or inferences should be drawn regarding Biological Pollutants, as they may relate to this project. The term "Biological Pollutants" includes, but is not limited to, molds, fungi, spores, bacteria, and viruses, and/or any of their byproducts.

If Client desires these specialized services, they should be obtained from a consultant who offers services in this specialized field.



Buly 1, 2010 - Page C-3 Bielia 00829-021-01

## APPENDIX C

## LIST OF TYPICAL WILDLIFE SPECIES

The following is a list of wildlife species that typically occur in the vicinity of the Bow Hill Reservation Site, or in similar settings.

<u>Common Name</u>	Scientific Name
Birds	
American crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos
American goldfinch	Cardellus tristis
American kestral	Falco parverius
American robin	Turdus migratorius
Anna's hummingbird	Calypte anna
Bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus
Band-tailed pigeon	Columba fasciata
Barn owl	Tyto alba
Barn swallow	Hirundo rustica
Barred owl	Strix varia
Bewick's wren	Thryomanes bewickii
Black-capped chickadee	Parus atricapillus
Black-headed grosbeak	Pheucticus melanocephalus
Black-throated gray warbler	Dendroica nigrescens
Brown creeper	Certhia americana
Brown-headed cowbird	Molothrus ater
Bushtit	Psaltriparus minimus
California quail	Callipepla californica
Cedar waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum
Chestnut-backed chickadee	Parus rufescens
Chipping sparrow	Spizella passerina
Common crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos
Common night-hawk	Chordeilus minor
Common raven	Corvus corax
Common yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas
Coopers hawk	Accipiter cooperii
Dark-eyed junco	Junco hyemalis
Downy woodpecker	Picoides pubescens
Evening grosbeak	Coccothraustes vespertinus
European starling	Sturnus vulgaris

Fox sparrow Great-horned owl Golden-crowned kinglet Hairy woodpecker Hammond's flycatcher House finch House sparrow House wren Hutton's vireo Long-eared owl Mourning dove Mountain chickadee MacGillavray's warbler Northern flicker Northern pygmy owl Northern saw-whet owl Northern shrike Olive-sided flycatcher Orange-crowned warbler Pacific slope flycatcher Pileated woodpecker Pine siskin Purple finch Red-breasted nuthatch Red-breasted sapsucker Red-shafted flicker Red-tailed hawk Ring-necked pheasant Ruby-crowned kinglet Ruffed grouse Rufous hummingbird Rufous-sided towhee Sharp-shinned hawk Solitary vireo Song sparrow Stellar's jay Swainson's thrush Tree swallow Turkey Vulture Varied thrush Vaux's swift

Passerella iliaca Bubo virginianus Regulus satrapa Picoides villosus Empidonax hammondii Carpodacus mexicanus Passer domesticus Troglodytes aedon Vireo huttoní Asio otus Zenaida macroura Parus gambeli Oporonis tolmiei Colaptes auratus Glaucidium gnoma Aegolius acadicus Lanius excubitor Contopus borealis Vermivora celata Empidonax difficilis Dryocopus pileatus Carduelis pinus Carpodacus purpureus Sitta canadensis Sphyrapicus ruber Colaptes auratus Buteo jamaicensis Phasianus colchicus Regulus calendula Bonasa umbelllus Selasphorus rufus Pipilo erythrophthalmus Accipiter striatus Vireo solitarius Melospiza melodia Cyanocitta stelleri Catharus ustulatus Tachycineta bicolor Cathartes aura Ixoreus naevius Chaetura vauxi



Violet-green swallow Warbling vireo Western screech owl Western tanager Western wood-pewee Willow flycatcher Winter wren Wilson's warbler Yellow-rumped warbler Yellow warbler

### Mammals

Big brown bat Black bear Black-tailed deer Bobcat Boreal redback vole Bushy-tailed woodrat California myotis Coast mole Columbian black-tailed deer Coyote Creeping vole Deer mouse Douglas squirrel Dusky shrew Eastern cottontail Eastern gray squirrel Hoary bat Little brown myotis Long-cared myotis Long-tailed vole Long-tailed weasel Mountain beaver Mountain lion Norway rat ..... Opossum Pacific jumping mouse Pacific water shrew Porcupine Raccoon

Tachycineta thalassina Vireo gilvus Otus kennicottii Piranga rubra Contopus sordidulus Empidonax traillii Troglocytes troglodytes Wilsonia pusilla Dendroica coronata Dendroica petechia

Eptesicus fuscus Ursus americanus Odocoileus hemionus Felis rufus Clethrionomys gapperi Neotoma cinerea Myotis californicus Scapanus orarius Odocoileus hemionus Canis latrans Microtus oregoni Peromyscus maniculatus Tamiasciurus douglasii Sorex obscurus Sylvilagus floridanus Sciurius carolinensis Lasiurus cinereus Myotis lucifugus Myotis evotis Microtus longicaudus Mustela frenata Aplodontia rufa Felis concolor Rattus norvegicus Didelphis virginianus Zapus trinotatus Sorex bendirei Erythizon dorsatum Procyon lotor



### Red fox

Rocky Mountain Elk Shrew-mole Silver-haired bat Snowshoe hare Spotted skunk Striped skunk Townsend chipmunk Trowbridge shrew Townsend vole Wandering shrew Yuma myotis

### Reptiles

Common garter snake	Thamnophis sirtalis
Northern alligator lizard	Elgaria coerulea
Northwestern garter snake	T. ordinoides
Rubber boa	Charina bottae
Western terrestrial garter snake	Thamnophis elegans

### Amphibians

- Bullfrog Ensatina Long-toed salamander Northwest salamander Pacific treefrog Red-legged frog Rough-skinned newt Western redback salamander Western toad
- R. catesbeiana Ensatina eschscholtzii Ambystoma macrodactylum Ambystoma gracile Pseudacris regilla Rana aurora Taricha granulosa Plethodon vehiculum Bufo boreas

Vulpes vulpes

Cervus elaphus

Neurotrichus gibbsi

Lepus americanus

Spilogale gracilus

Mephitis mephitis

Tamias townsendi

Sorex trowbridgei

Sorex vagrans

Microtus townsendi

Myotis yumanensis

Lasionycteris noctivagans

Source: Adapted from Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 2005. Vertebrate Species List: Helmick Road 50-Acre Parcel.

## APPENDIX D

-----

## **BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT**

## Biological Assessment: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Skagit Resort Expansion

July 2010

Prepared for:

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Attention: Doreen Maloney 25944 Community Plaza Way Sedro-Woolley, Washington 98284 (360) 854-7000

Prepared by:

Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 21993 Grip Road Sedro-Woolley, Washington 98284 (360) 856-2139

ATSI Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc.
Biological Assessment: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Skagit Resort Expansion

**July 2010** 

Prepared for:

Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Attention: Doreen Maloney 25944 Community Plaza Way Sedro-Woolley, Washington 98284 (360) 854-7000

Prepared by: Jim Wiggins, MS, PWS President

Karla Van Leaven, BS, WPIT Associate Biologist

Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 21993 Grip Road Sedro-Woolley, Washington 98284 (360) 856-2139

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	.1
LIST OF APPENDICES	.1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 2
INTRODUCTION	.3
1.0 PROJECT HISTORY	.3
1.1 General	.3
1.1.0 Correspondence.	3
1.1.1 Supplemental Information	. 4
2.0 PROPOSED PROJECT	.4
2.1 Federal Authority/Agency Discretion	. 4
2.2 Purpose and Objectives	. 4
2.3 Description	. 4
2.3.0 Activities	. 5
2.3.1 Operational Characteristics	. 5
2.3.2 Conservation Measures	.5
2.3.3 Mitigation	.5
2.3.4 Underlying Action	.6
2.4 Ongoing and Previous Projects	.6
2.5 Project and Action Areas	. 6
2.5.0 Footprint and Potential Effect Areas	.6
2.5.1 Location	.7
2.5.2 Potential Effect Area Quantifications	.7
2.6 Maps	.7
3.0 SPECIES AND CRITICAL HABITAT	.7
3.1 Lists	.7
3.1.0 Listed Species	. 8
3.1.1 Designated Critical Habitat	.8
3.2 Species Descriptions	. 8
3.2.0 Bull Trout	. 8
3.2.1 Chinook Salmon	. 9
3.2.2 Steelhead	.9
3.3 Critical Habitat Designation	. 9
3.3.0 Bull Trout	. 9
3.3.1 Puget Sound Chinook Salmon	10
3.3.2 Puget Sound Steelhead	10
3.3.3 Puget Sound Chinook Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead	11
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE	11
4.1 Project and Action Areas	11
4.2 Description	11
4.2.0 Impacts	12
4.2.1 Justification	12
4.2.2 Map	12
4.2.3 Photographs	12
4.3 Potential Affected Habitat Features	13
5.0 PROJECT EFFECTS	13

5.1 Data	. 13
5.2 Effects Determinations	. 13
5.2.0 Listed Species and Designated Critical Habitat	. 13
5.3 Summary	. 15
5.4 Tribal Effects	. 15
6.0 CUMMULATIVE EFFECTS	. 15
7.0 CONCLUSIONS	. 15
8.0 ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT	. 15
8.1 Proposed Project	. 15
8.2 Fisheries Management Plan	. 15
8.3 Effects Determinations	. 15
8.4 Conservation Measures	. 16
8.5 Conclusions	. 16
9.0 LIMITATIONS	. 16
10.0 REFERENCES	. 16
11.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY	. 18
12.0 FIGURES	. 20
13.0 APPENDICES	. 28
13.1 Appendix A. Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Bow Hill Trust Conversion and Resort	
Expansion 25 June 2010 Letter	. 29
13.2 Appendix B. Species and Critical Habitats Lists	. 35
13.2.0 United States Fish and Wildlife Service-Endangered, Threatened, Proposi	ed,
and Candidate Species, Critical Habitat, and Species of Concern in Western	
Washington.	. 36
13.2.1 United States Fish and Wildlife Service-Listed and Proposed Endangered	
and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat; Candidate Species; and Species of	1
Concern in Skagit County.	.41
13.2.2 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration - Marine/Anadromous	
Fish Species Under the Endangered Species Act	. 44

Biological Assessment: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Skagit Resort Expansion Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. - July 2010

iv

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map

Figure 2. Aerial Photograph

Figure 3. Existing Conditions

Figure 4. Proposed Project Conditions-Convert Fee Land to Tribal Trust Land

Figure 5. Proposed Project Conditions-Resort Construction

Figure 6. Action Area

Figure 7. Wetland Impacts and Mitigation

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Bow Hill Trust Conversion and Resort Expansion 25 June 2010 Letter

1

Appendix B. Species and Critical Habitats Lists

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. has been retained by the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe to prepare a Biological Assessment for the proposed expansion of their existing resort facilities. The proposed project area is comprised of approximately 134 acres located at the northeast corner of Bow Hill Road and North Darrk Lane in Skagit County, Washington.

There are six parcels located within the proposed project area that include parcel numbers P35839 and P123324 which lie within a portion of Section 6, Township 35 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian (WM); P50416, P50414, and P119078 which lie within a portion of Section 31, Township 36 North, Range 4 East, WM; and P50500 which lies in a portion of Section 32, Township 36 North, Range 4 East, WM.

The proposed project includes conversion of 134 acres of fee land into tribal trust land for construction and operation of proposed resort facilities that include a recreation and hospitality facility with a hotel, indoor water park, meeting center, restaurant, spa, fitness center, gift shop, and lounge and infrastructure including parking lots, a parking garage, stormwater management facilities, and landscaping.

Conservation measures in the form of Best Management Practices and a Stormwater Control Plan will be utilized to minimize the potential effects to water quality/quantity that may result from the proposed project. Proposed mitigation for impacts to approximately 5 acres of wetlands that will result from the proposed project includes creation of approximately 9 acres of wetlands, installation of approximately 4,300 linear feet of fencing with Native Growth Protection Area signage, and placement of approximately 40 acres of land into a conservation easement for continued protection.

Of the endangered and threatened species and designated critical habitat located within Skagit County, Washington, there are three threatened species, bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Puget Sound Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), and Puget Sound steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and their designated critical habitat potentially located within the action area, a 1 mile radius around the project area (approximately 500 acres), of the proposed project.

No effects are anticipated to occur to these listed species or their designated critical habitat as the proposed project will not occur instream and there will be conservation measures in place; therefore, a No Effect (NE) determination is recommended. In addition, there is no Essential Fish Habitat located within the action area and therefore a NE determination is recommended.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (USIT) is proposing to expand their existing resort facilities, which include a casino and neighboring hotel with associated infrastructure, by converting adjacent fee land to tribal trust land for construction of proposed resort facilities, which will include a recreation and hospitality facility with infrastructure, here and after referred to as the proposed project (Appendix A; Figures 1 through 7).

## **1.0 PROJECT HISTORY**

### 1.1 General

### 1.1.0 Correspondence

A request for comments regarding the proposed project was provided through the letter included in Appendix A to the following agencies:

Federal

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)/National Marine Fisheries Service

United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Seattle District United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)

State

Northwest Clean Air Agency Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Washington Department of Transportation

County

Skagit County Commissioners Skagit County Fire Marshall Skagit County Planning and Development Services Skagit County Public Works

Local and Other City of Burlington County Fire District No. 14 (Alger) Samish Water District Skagit PUD No. 1

### 1.1.1 Supplemental Information

The USIT is in the process of preparing an application to the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) requesting the conversion of fee land to tribal trust land to develop the proposed project (Appendix A). ATSI completed wetland/fish and wildlife reconnaissance reports on four of the six parcels located within the proposed project area which include parcel numbers P35839 (6 acre Brindal parcel), P123324 (21 acre Burkland parcel), P50416 (41 acre Nielson parcel), and P50414 (62 acre Goodyear-Nelson parcel) (ATSI 2004 and 2005; Figures 1 through 3). A biological evaluation for the proposed project was completed and submitted to the Corps (ATSI 2008). ATSI also prepared a mitigation plan for the proposed project (ATSI 2008; Figure 7). An Environmental Assessment is being prepared by a third party consultant for the proposed project (Appendix A). A water quality monitoring plan will be prepared by the USIT (Pacific Surveying and Engineering, Inc. (PSE) 2010).

## 2.0 PROPOSED PROJECT

### 2.1 Federal Authority/Agency Discretion

The federal authority for the proposed project is the BIA.

### 2.2 Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of the proposed project is to expand the existing resort facilities to support diversified economic growth for tribal members and the surrounding community (Appendix A; Figures 4 and 5). With a focus on tourism and hospitality, the objectives of the proposed project are to convert fee land to tribal trust land for construction of the proposed resort facilities (Appendix A; Figures 4 and 5).

### 2.3 Description

The proposed project includes conversion of approximately 134 acres of fee land into tribal trust land for construction and operation of 28 acres of proposed resort facilities that will include a recreation and hospitality facility with a hotel, indoor water park, meeting center, restaurant, spa, fitness center, gift shop, and lounge and infrastructure including parking lots, a parking garage, stormwater management facilities, and landscaping (Appendix A; Figures 4 and 5). The conservation measures described in Section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be utilized to minimize the potential effects to water quality/quantity that may result from the proposed project. Proposed mitigation for impacts to approximately 5 acres of wetlands that will result from the proposed project is described in Section 2.3.3 Mitigation (Figure 7).

2.3.0 Activities

### Phase 1

An application to convert fee land to tribal trust land will be submitted in 2010 (Harper 2010; Figure 4).

### Phase 2

Construction of the proposed resort facilities and proposed mitigation will be conducted in 2011 (Harper 2010; Figures 5 and 7). Construction will involve contractors and equipment including, but not limited to, chainsaws, excavators, backhoes, bulldozers, and dump trucks and building materials (Harper 2010). Land will be cleared and soil will be moved to prepare the proposed project area for construction. Excavated soil will be placed in the proposed soil stockpile (Figure 5).

### 2.3.1 Operational Characteristics

There are no known operational characteristics that will result from the proposed project.

### 2.3.2 Conservation Measures

### Best Management Practices

The conservation measures that will be utilized during construction of the proposed resort facilities include the use of temporary erosion and sedimentation control Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will be developed by PSE to Ecology standards (Harper 2010).

#### Stormwater Control Plan

A preliminary Stormwater Control Plan (SCP) to Ecology standards has been developed to address water quality/quantity concerns (PSE 2010; Figure 5). The SCP includes construction of two stormwater management facilities with grass lined treatment and detention ponds, proposed stormwater management facilities #1 and #2, here and after referred to as the proposed stormwater facilities (ATSI 2008; Figure 5). In addition, the proposed stormwater facilities will discharge into a channel and stormwater management facilities before being released into a tributary of Bob Smith creek (ATSI 2008; Figure 5).

#### 2.3.3 Mitigation

The proposed mitigation for fill and disturbance of approximately 5 acres of wetlands includes creation of approximately 9 acres of wetlands, installation of approximately 4,300 linear feet of fencing with Native Growth Protection Area signage, and placement of approximately 40 acres of land into a conservation easement for continued protection (ATSI 2008; Figure 7). The USIT will be the responsible party to provide funding to ensure that the wetland mitigation is implemented.

### 2.3.4 Underlying Action

There are no underlying actions of the proposed project and therefore there are no interdependent or interrelated actions as the proposed project actions are dependent and related. One potential underlying action would have been road access construction which was resolved in utilizing the existing resort facilities access, North Darrk Lane (Figure 5).

### 2.4 Ongoing and Previous Projects

A previous project within the action area (described below) included the construction of the existing resort facilities and mitigation located west and south of the proposed project area (Figures 2 and 3). Another previous project located within the action area, known as the Pulley Ridge project (Corps Reference Number 2002-4-00592 and Ecology Order Number 03SEANR-5414) located south of the proposed project area, included the construction of Bow Ridge Drive, a gas station, commercial structures, and a stormwater facility in which a No Effect (NE) determination was made (ATSI 2008; Figures 1 through 3).

### 2.5 Project and Action Areas

The action area for the proposed project was determined based on the maximum potential extent of direct and indirect effects that may result from the proposed project (Figures 5 and 6). The project area is the area in which the activities outlined in section 2.3.0 Activities will take place (Figures 5 and 6). Direct effects to wildlife habitat (vegetation and wetlands) are anticipated from clearing and filling of which the wetland effects will be mitigated for as described in section 2.3.3 Mitigation (Figures 2 through 7). Potential effects to fish habitat (water quality/quantity) from stormwater runoff are not anticipated because the proposed project will not occur instream and the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be in place (Figures 5 and 6). With the effects taken into consideration, the action area includes a 1 mile radius (approximately 500 acres) around the proposed project area (Figure 6).

### 2.5.0 Footprint and Potential Effect Areas

The footprint of the proposed project is located within the proposed project area within the action area (Figures 1 through 6). The proposed project area is comprised of approximately 134 acres located at the northeast corner of Bow Hill Road and North Darrk Lane in Skagit County, Washington (Figures 1 through 6). There are six parcels located within the proposed project area that include parcel numbers P35839 and P123324 which lie within a portion of Section 6, Township 35 North, Range 4 East, WM; P50416, P50414, and P119078 which lie within a portion of Section 31, Township 36 North, Range 4 East, WM (Figures 2 through 5).

Direct effects to wildlife habitat (vegetation and wetlands) will occur as a result of the proposed project from clearing a portion of the site of native vegetation. Wetlands will be filled however the wetland effects will be mitigated for as described in section 2.3.3 Mitigation (Figures 2 through 7).

The footprint of the proposed stormwater facilities component of the proposed project is located within the proposed project area (Figures 2 through 5). Proposed stormwater management facilities #1 and #2 will discharge into a channel and stormwater management facility of the existing resort facilities before being released into a tributary of Bob Smith creek. This tributary flows into Bob Smith creek which flows into the Samish River and ultimately into Samish Bay, a portion of the Salish Sea/Puget Sound (Figures 1 through 6). Within the footprint of the stormwater facilities component of the proposed project, potential effects to fish habitat (water quality/quantity) from stormwater runoff are not anticipated due to the presence of the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures (Figures 2 through 6).

2.5.1 Location

The proposed project is located within United States Geological Survey Strait of Georgia watershed which has a Hydrological Unit Code of 17110002 (USEPA 2010). The proposed project is also located within a portion of Section 6, Township 35 North, Range 4 East, WM; Section 31, Township 36 North, Range 4 East, WM; and Section 32, Township 36 North, Range 4 East WM at Latitude 48°33'32" North and Longitude 122°20'47" West (Figure 1).

#### 2.5.2 Potential Effect Area Quantifications

The proposed project area is approximately 134 acres in size (Figures 1 through 5). The action area, including the footprints of the potential effect areas of the proposed project and stormwater facilities, is approximately a 1 mile radius around the project area, comprising approximately 500 acres (Figures 1 through 6).

#### 2.6 Maps

Maps are provided in Figures 1 though 7.

### 3.0 SPECIES AND CRITICAL HABITAT

#### 3.1 Lists

Species lists were acquired from USFWS (Appendix B-Sections 13.2.0 and 13.2.1), NOAA (Appendix B-Section 13.2.2), WDFW (13 April 2010), and DNR (17 March 2010).

### 3.1.0 Listed Species

Of the endangered and threatened species provided on the lists in section 3.1 Lists, those chosen for review due to their potential presence within the action area include threatened bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Puget Sound Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), and Puget Sound steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (USFWS 2009 and NOAA 5 March 2010). The other species listed were not reviewed as their presence is unlikely due to lack of habitat.

### Bull Trout

On 1 November 1999, bull trout was listed as threatened (USFWS 25 January 2010).

### Puget Sound Chinook Salmon

The Puget Sound Chinook salmon Evolutionary Significant Unit (ESU) was listed as a threatened species on 24 March 1999 with its status reaffirmed on 28 June 2005 (NOAA, 5 March 2010). The Puget Sound Chinook salmon ESU includes all naturally spawned populations of Chinook salmon from rivers and streams flowing into Puget Sound in Washington as well as 26 artificial propagation programs (NOAA 5 March 2010).

### Puget Sound Steelhead

The Puget Sound steelhead Distinct Population Segment (DPS) was listed as threatened on 11 May 2007 (NOAA 5 March 2010). The Puget Sound steelhead DPS includes all naturally spawned anadromous winter-run and summer-run steelhead populations in streams in the river basins of Puget Sound in Washington and the Green River natural and Hamma Hamma winter-run steelhead hatchery stocks (NOAA 5 March 2010).

### 3.1.1 Designated Critical Habitat

Of the designated critical habitat provided on the lists in section 3.1 Lists, those chosen for review due to their potential presence within the action area include bull trout, Puget Sound Chinook salmon, and Puget Sound steelhead (USFWS 2009 and NOAA 5 March 2010). Puget Sound steelhead critical habitat status is currently under development (NOAA 5 March 2010). Critical habitat does not include habitat areas on Indian lands (USFWS 2 September 2005). The other designated critical habitats listed were not reviewed as their presence is unlikely (USFWS 2009 and NOAA 5 March 2010).

### 3.2 Species Descriptions

### 3.2.0 Bull Trout



### Habitat

Bull trout have the most specific habitat requirements of any of the Pacific Northwest salmonids (USFWS 25 January 2010). Bull trout require the cold water temperatures; clean stream substrates for spawning and rearing; complex habitats, including streams with riffles and deep pools, undercut banks and large logs; and connections from river, lake, and ocean habitats to headwater streams for annual spawning and feeding migrations (USFWS 25 January 2010).

#### Distribution

Bull trout presence and presumed presence have been documented in the Samish River, downstream of the action area (WDFW 2003). \*Bull trout require waters that are not necessarily found within the Samish River and no records of their presence have been recorded nor observed within the action area.

### 3.2.1 Chinook Salmon

### Habitat

Juvenile Chinook salmon may spend from 3 months to 2 years in freshwater before migrating to estuarine areas as smolts and then into the ocean to feed and mature (NOAA 5 March 2010). They prefer streams that are deeper and larger than those used by other Pacific salmon species (NOAA 5 March 2010).

### Distribution

Fall Chinook salmon presence, spawning, and rearing have been documented in the Samish River while presence has been documented in Bob Smith creek (WDFW 2003). \*The run of Chinook salmon is of Green River origin that was introduced around the turn of the last century and therefore is not naturally spawned.

### 3.2.2 Steelhead

#### Habitat

Steelhead are capable of surviving in a wide range of temperature conditions (NOAA 5 March 2010). In streams, deep low-velocity pools are important wintering habitats (NOAA 5 March 2010). Spawning habitat consists of gravel substrates free of excessive silt.

### Distribution

Winter steelhead presence, spawning, and rearing have been documented in the Samish River (WDFW 2003). \*Steelhead are known to reside within the Samish River but not within Bob Smith creek.

\*Statement based on ATSI's knowledge from field work within the Samish River and knowledge of the fish runs that are present within the river through field observations.

### 3.3 Critical Habitat Designation

#### 3.3.0 Bull Trout

### Geographical Extent

The geographical extent of bull trout designated critical habitat includes the Samish River downstream of the confluence with Bob Smith creek, south of the action area (USFWS 17 March 2010).

### Primary Constituent Elements

In order to maintain bull trout populations, the critical habitat designation focuses on maintaining the following Primary Constituent Elements (PCEs): (1) protecting sufficient amounts of spawning and rearing habitat in upper watershed areas; (2) providing suitable habitat conditions in downstream rivers and lakes to provide foraging and overwintering habitat for fluvial and adfluvial fish; and (3) maintaining migratory routes and the potential for gene flow between populations by maintaining habitat conditions that allow for fish passage (USFWS 26 September 2005).

Activities that may destroy or adversely modify critical habitat are those that alter the PCEs to an extent that the conservation value of critical habitat for the bull trout is appreciably reduced. Activities that, when carried out, funded, or authorized by a Federal agency, may affect critical habitat and therefore result in consultation for the bull trout include, but are not limited to: (1) Detrimental altering of the minimum flow or the natural flow regime of any of the designated stream segments; (2) Alterations to the designated stream segments that could indirectly cause significant and detrimental effects to bull trout habitat; (3) Detrimental altering of the channel morphology of any of the designated stream segments; (4) Detrimental alterations to the water chemistry in any of the designated stream segments; (5) Proposed activities that are likely to result in the introduction, spread, or augmentation of nonnative aquatic species in any of the designated stream segments; and (6) Proposed activities that are likely to create significant instream barriers to bull trout movement (USFWS 26 September 2005).

#### 3.3.1 Puget Sound Chinook Salmon

#### Geographical Extent

The geographical extent of Puget Sound Chinook salmon designated critical habitat includes the Samish River and Bob Smith creek, within the action area (USFWS 19 March 2010).

#### **Primary Constituents Elements**

See section 3.3.3 Puget Sound Chinook Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead for a description of PCEs.

#### 3.3.2 Puget Sound Steelhead

#### Geographical Extent

Puget Sound steelhead designated critical habitat may be present within the Samish River as species presence, spawning, and rearing have been documented (WDFW 2003) and \*they are known to reside within the Samish River.

### Primary Constituents Elements

See section 3.3.3 Puget Sound Chinook Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead for a description of PCEs.

### 3.3.3 Puget Sound Chinook Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead

### Primary Constituents Elements

The PCEs essential for the conservation of the Puget Sound Chinook salmon and Puget Sound steelhead ESUs are those sites and habitat components that support one or more life stages, including: (1) Freshwater spawning sites with water quantity and quality conditions and substrate supporting spawning, incubation and larval development; (2) Freshwater rearing sites; (3) Freshwater migration corridors free of obstruction and excessive predation with water quantity and quality conditions and natural cover such as submerged and overhanging large wood, aquatic vegetation, large rocks and boulders, side channels, and undercut banks supporting juvenile and adult mobility and survival; (4) Estuarine areas free of obstruction and excessive predation; (5) Nearshore marine areas tree of obstruction and excessive predation; and (6) Offshore marine areas with water quality conditions and forage, including aquatic invertebrates and fishes, supporting growth and maturation (USFWS 2 September 2005).

A wide variety of activities may affect critical habitat. Generally these include water and land management actions; timber sales and other vegetation management activities; irrigation diversions; road building and maintenance activities; and mining and road building/maintenance activities. Other actions of concern include dredge and fill, mining, diking, and bank stabilization activities, habitat modifications, and approval of water quality standards and pesticide labeling and use restrictions (USFWS 2 September 2005).

\*Statement based on ATSI's knowledge from field work within the Samish River and knowledge of the fish runs that are present within the river through field observations.

### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

### 4.1 Project and Action Areas

The geographical area that the environmental baseline is being established for the proposed project includes the 1 mile radius of the action area described in section 2.5 Project and Action Areas (Figure 6).

#### 4.2 Description

The known existing factors that may have affected and/or are affecting the environment of the potential species and critical habitat outlined in Section 3.0 SPECIES AND CRITICAL HABITAT present within the action area include the existing resort facilities, the Pulley Ridge project located adjacent to the proposed project area, Interstate 5, Old 99 South, Bow Hill Road, and adjacent farm land (Figures 1 through 6).

#### 4.2.0 Impacts

The existing resort facilities were constructed within the action area in which the stormwater runoff may have affected/is affecting fish habitat (water quality/quantity) (Figures 2 through 6). The Pulley Ridge project was given a NE determination and therefore it is presumed that there are no resultant effects (ATSI 2008; Figures 1 through 6). Stormwater runoff from roads and farmland may affect water quality/quantity.

#### 4.2.1 Justification

Utilizing those scientific based methods outlined in the wetland/fish and wildlife reconnaissance reports prepared for the parcels located within the proposed project area, the following habitats and species exist (ATSI 2004, 2005, and 2008; Figures 2 through 6):

#### Habitat

The proposed project area is comprised of a combination of upland and wetland areas that are fields, recently logged areas, gravel roads, and equipment storage areas.

A tributary of Bob Smith creek is located on and adjacent to the southwest portion of the proposed project area (Figures 2 through 6). The portion of the tributary of Bob Smith creek located within and adjacent to the proposed project area is within a steep well defined ravine. About 0.5 miles downstream of the proposed project area, Bob Smith creek has a gravel and cobble substrate and is approximately 6 to 8 feet wide in the meander channel with a standard width of stream flow about 3 to 4 feet wide. At the confluence with the Samish River, Bob Smith creek is about 6 to 12 inches deep and about 4 feet wide and is ditched. Overall, Bob Smith creek has numerous pieces of large woody debris.

#### Species

Hatchery stock Chinook salmon reside within the Samish River. It is likely these Chinook salmon can enter the lower portion of Bob Smith creek at the confluence with the Samish River, but it is unlikely that they enter the upper reaches because it is shallower and steeper upstream and because there is a passage barrier culvert located at Bow Hill Road.

#### 4.2.2 Map

Maps of the vegetation and habitat are provided in Figures 2 and 4.

#### 4.2.3 Photographs

No photographs of the action area were taken and, therefore, photographs are not provided.

Biological Assessment: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Skagit Reson Expansion Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. - July 2010

## 4.3 Potential Affected Habitat Features

Direct effects to wildlife habitat (vegetation and wetlands) are anticipated from clearing and filling of which the wetland effects will be mitigated for as described in section 2.3.3 Mitigation (Figures 2 through 7). Potential effects to fish habitat (water quality/quantity) from stormwater runoff are not anticipated because the proposed project will not occur instream and the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be in place (Figures 5 and 6).

## **5.0 PROJECT EFFECTS**

Direct, indirect, interdependent and interrelated, ongoing project activities, environmental baseline, and critical habitat effects to listed species and their designated critical habitat are not anticipated as the proposed project will not occur instream and the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be in place (Figures 5 and 6).

### 5.1 Data

There is no known data available, outside of this Biological Assessment (BA), concerning the impact of the proposed project on listed species or designated critical habitat. The BA has been prepared by Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. (ATSI) staff that have been on and collected data within the action area. Data collected by ATSI have been prepared and presented within the reports referenced within this BA and include recent field reconnaissances of those portions of the action area that are relevant such as the proposed project site, the project area, the tributary to Bob Smith creek, Bob Smith creek, and the Samish River.

5.2 Effects Determinations

### 5.2.0 Listed Species and Designated Critical Habitat

A NE determination is recommended for listed species and their designated critical habitat as the proposed project will not occur instream, the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be in place, and a NE determination was made for the Pulley Ridge project located south of the proposed project area (Figures 5 and 6).

#### Listed Species

#### Bull Trout

A NE determination is recommended for bull trout. Although bull trout presence and presumed presence have been documented in the Samish River, they are downstream of the action area (WDFW 2003). \*In addition, bull trout require waters that are not necessarily found within the Samish River and no records of their presence have been recorded nor observed within the action area.

### Puget Sound Chinook Salmon

A NE determination is recommended for Puget Sound Chinook salmon. Although Fall Chinook salmon presence, spawning, and rearing have been documented in the Samish River and presence has been documented in Bob Smith creek (WDFW 2003), \*the run is of Green River origin that was introduced around the turn of the last century and therefore is not naturally spawned.

#### Puget Sound Steelhead

A NE determination is recommended for Puget Sound steelhead. Although winter steelhead presence, spawning, and rearing have been documented in the Samish River (WDFW 2003) and \*they are known to reside within the Samish River, see section 5.2.0 Listed Species and Designated Critical Habitat for reasoning which includes conservation measures, BMP utilization, and wetland mitigation.

\*Statement based on ATSI's knowledge from field work within the Samish River and knowledge of the fish runs that are present within the river through field observations.

#### Designated Critical Habitat

#### Bull Trout

A NE determination is recommended for bull trout designated critical habitat. Although the geographical extent of bull trout designated critical habitat includes the Samish River, it is located downstream of the confluence with Bob Smith creek, south of the action area (USFWS 17 March 2010). \*In addition, bull trout require waters that are not necessarily found within the Samish River and no records of their presence have been recorded nor observed within the action area.

#### Puget Sound Chinook Salmon

A NE determination is recommended for Puget Sound Chinook salmon designated critical habitat. Although the geographical extent of Puget Sound Chinook salmon designated critical habitat includes the Samish River and Bob Smith creek, within the action area (USFWS 19 March 2010), see section 5.2.0 Listed Species and Designated Critical Habitat for reasoning.

#### Puget Sound Steelhead

A NE determination is recommended for Puget Sound steelhead designated critical habitat. Although Puget Sound steelhead designated critical habitat may be present within the Samish River as species presence, spawning, and rearing have been documented (WDFW 2003) and \*they are known to reside within the Samish River, see section 5.2.0 Listed Species and Designated Critical Habitat for reasoning.

\*Statement based on ATSI's knowledge from field work within the Samish River and knowledge of the fish runs that are present within the river through field observations.

### 5.3 Summary

No effects are anticipated to occur to listed species or their designated critical habitat as the proposed project will not occur instream and the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be in place (Figures 5 and 6).

### 5.4 Tribal Effects

The proposed project will be constructed on tribal trust land after it is converted.

## 6.0 CUMMULATIVE EFFECTS

There are no known cumulative effects to listed species or their designated critical habitat that will result from the proposed project.

## 7.0 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed project is the construction of the proposed resort facilities (Figures 1 through 7). Of the endangered and threatened species located in Skagit County, Washington, there are three threatened species (bull trout, Puget Sound Chinook salmon, and Puget Sound steelhead) and their designated critical habitat potentially located within the action area. No effects are anticipated to occur to listed species or their designated critical habitat as the proposed project will not occur instream and the conservation measures described in section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures will be in place (Figures 5 and 6); therefore, a NE determination is recommended.

## 8.0 ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT

8.1 Proposed Project

Refer to section 2.0 PROPOSED PROJECT for a description of the proposed project.

8.2 Fisheries Management Plan

Pacific coast groundfish, coastal pelagic, and west coast salmon Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) is located in Puget Sound and west coast salmon freshwater EFH is not located within the Samish River, therefore, no EFH is located within the action area (NOAA 24 March 2010).

### 8.3 Effects Determinations

A NE determination is recommended for EFH as there is none located within the action area (NOAA 24 March 2010).

### 8.4 Conservation Measures

Refer to section 2.3.2 Conservation Measures for a description of the conservation measures.

### 8.5 Conclusions

There is no EFH located within the action area and therefore a NE determination is recommended (NOAA 24 March 2010).

## 9.0 LIMITATIONS

We have used the most current, established methods to make determinations regarding listed species and designated critical habitat. All of the above statements are based on our best professional judgment. Although we follow the local, state, and federal criteria, we cannot guarantee that the local jurisdiction, Ecology, Corps, or other federal agency determination will correspond to ours. Please note that regulations pertaining to listed species and designated critical habitat are subject to change over time.

## **10.0 REFERENCES**

Aqua-Terr <i>Reco</i>	Systems, nnaissance,	Inc. <i>6-acre</i>	10 e Brin	December <i>dal parcel.</i>	2004.	Wetland/Fish	and	Wildlife
Aqua-Terr <i>Reco</i>	Systems, <i>nnaissance,</i>	Inc. 20-aci	10 re Bu	December rkland parcel.	2004.	Wetland/Fish	and	Wildlife

- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 10 December 2004. Wetland/Fish and Wildlife Reconnaissance, 40-acre Nielson parcel.
- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. 5 February 2005. USIT Goodyear-Nelson parcel Wetland/Fish and Wildlife Reconnaissance.
- Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. April 2008. Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Wetland Mitigation Plan for Skagit Resort.

Harper, R. H. 10 March 2010. Personal email communication.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries. Accessed 24 March 2010. Habitat Protection Division. Office of Habitat Conservation. Essential Fish Habitat website. *EFH Text Descriptions & GIS Data Inventory.* <u>http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat/habitatprotection/efh/GIS\_inven.htm</u>

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries. Accessed 5 March 2010. Office of Protected Resources. Marine and Anadromous Fish website. <u>http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/fish/</u>

- Pacific Surveying and Engineering, Inc. 18 March 2010. Miles McEathron Personal email communication.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 16 March 2010. Surf Your Watershed website. Strait Of Georgia Watershed—17110002. <u>http://cfpub.epa.gov/surf/huc.cfm?huc\_code=17110002</u> [Accessed 16 March 2010]
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Accessed 19 March 2010. Critical Habitat Portal website. FWS Critical Habitat for Threatened & Endangered Species. http://criticalhabitat.fws.gov/
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 13 January 2010. Bull Trout (Salvelinus confluentus). <u>http://www.fws.gov/pacific/bulltrout/Biology.html</u> [Accessed 25 January 2010]
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 13 January 2010. Pacific Region. PowerPoint. Bull Trout Proposed Critical Habitat. <u>http://www.fws.gov/pacific/bulltrout/</u> [Accessed 17 March 2010]
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 16 December 2009. Washington Fish and Wildlife Office. Endangered, Threatened, Proposed, and Candidate Species, Critical Habitat, and Species of Concern in Western Washington. http://www.fws.gov/wafwo/pdf/species\_list.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010]
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 1 November 2007. Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office. Listed and Proposed Endangered and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat; Candidate Species; and Species of Concern in Skagit County. <u>http://www.fws.gov/wafwo/speciesmap/SKAGIT.html</u> [Accessed 5 March 2010]
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 26 September 2005. Federal Register. Part II 50 CFR Part 17. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for the Bull Trout: Final Rule. <u>http://www.fws.gov/pacific/bulltrout/FCH\_05/BT\_FCH\_FR.pdf</u> [Accessed 19 March 2010]
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2 September 2005. Federal Register. Part III 50 CFR Part 226. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife Plants; Designation for 12 Evolutionary Significant Units of West Coast Salmon and Steelhead in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho: Final Rule. <u>http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/fr/fr70-52630.pdf</u> [Accessed 19 March 2010]

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 13 April 2010. Priority Habitats and Species maps.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2003. SalmonScape. http://wdfw.wa.gov/mapping/salmonscape/ [Accessed 22 March 2010].

Washington Department of Natural Resources. Accessed 17 March 2010. Available GIS Data website. *Natural Heritage-Rare Plants and High Quality Ecosystems*. <u>http://fortress.wa.gov/dnr/app1/dataweb/dmmatrix.html</u>

### 11.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Cooke, S.S. (editor). 1997. A Field Guide to the Common Wetland Plants of Western Washington and Northwestern Oregon. Seattle Audubon Society, Washington Native Plant Society. Seattle, Washington.
- Cowardin, L.M. V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States.* Office of Biological Services, Fish and Wildlife Service, United States Department of the Interior. FWS/OBS-79/31.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*, Technical Report Y-87-1, United States Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Federal Register. 12 March 2007. Part II. Reissuance of Nationwide Permits; Notice. http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/pdf/2007NWPFedReg3-12-07.pdf

Franklin, J.F. and C.T. Dyrness. 1973. Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Oregon State University Press. Corvallis, Oregon.

- Granger, T., T. Hruby, A. McMillan, D. Peters, D. Sheldon, S. Stanley, and E. Stockdale. April 2005. Wetlands in Washington State – Volume 2: Guidance for Protecting and Managing Wetlands. Washington State Department of Ecology. Publication #05-06-008. Olympia, Washington.
- Haeussler, S., D. Coates, and J. Mather. 1990. Autecology of Common Plants in British Columbia: A Literature Review. FRDA Report 158. British Columbia. Ministry of Forestry and Canada - B.V. Forest Resource Development Agreement; Victoria, British Columbia.
- Hitchcock, C.L. and A. Cronquist. 1973. *Flora of the Pacific Northwest*. University of Washington Press. Seattle, Washington.
- Holling, C.S. (editor). 1978. Adaptive Environmental Assessment and Management. John Wiley, New York.

- Hruby, T., T. Granger, K. Brunner, S. Cooke, K. Dublanica, R. Gersib, L. Reinelt, K.
  Richter, D. Sheldon, E. Teachout, A. Wald, and F. Weinmann. July 1999.
  Methods for Assessing Wetland Functions Volume 1: Riverine and Depressional Wetlands in the Lowlands of Western Washington. Washington State Department Ecology Publication #99-115.
- Leonard W.P., H.A. Brown, L.L.C. Jones, K.R. McAllister, and R.M. Storm. 1993. Amphibians of Washington and Oregon. Seattle Audubon Society. Seattle, Washington.
- Mitsch, W.J. and J.G. Gosselink. 2000. *Wetlands*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 920 pp.
- Peterson, R.T. 1990. A Field Guide to Western Birds. Peterson Field Guides. Houghton Mifflin Co. Boston, Massachusetts.
- Richardson, J.L. and M.J. Vepraskas (Editors). 2001. Wetland Soils: Genesis, Hydrology, Landscapes, and Classification. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Sheldon, D., T. Hruby, P. Johnson, K. Harper, A. McMillan, T. Granger, S. Stanley, and E. Stockdale. March 2005. Wetlands in Washington State–Volume 1: A Synthesis of the Science. Washington State Department of Ecology. Publication #05-06-006. Olympia, Washington.
- Stebbins, R.C. 1985. *A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians*, 2nd edition. Houghton Mifflin Co. Boston, Massachusetts.
- Tiner, R. W. 1999. Wetland Indicators, A Guide to Wetland Identification, Delineation, Classification, and Mapping. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- United States Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1977. National Wetlands Inventory Maps, Scale 1:24,000. Office of Biological Services



# 12.0 FIGURES

















I .

# **13.0 APPENDICES**

-

13.1 Appendix A. Upper Skagit Indian Tribe-Bow Hill Trust Conversion and Resort Expansion 25 June 2010 Letter



UPPER SKAGIT INDIN TRIBE 25944 Community Plaza Way Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284 Phone: (360) 854-7000 • Fax: (360) 854-7004

June 25, 2010 Skagit County Public Utility District No. 1 P.O. Box 1436 Mt. Vernon, WA 98273-1436

Subject: Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Bow Hill Fee-to-Trust Conversion Application and Proposed Resort Expansion

To Whom It May Concern:

The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (Tribe) has one of its Reservation areas located at Exit 236 of Interstate 5 at Bow Hill Road in Skagit County, WA. This Reservation (all trust land) was previously set aside for economic development and currently houses the Tribe's existing Resort facilities, including the casino and neighboring hotel along with associated parking and infrastructure. The Tribe is in the process of preparing an application to the Bureau of Indian Affairs to convert approximately 134.13 acres of contiguous fee land into federal trust status for additional economic, non-gaming development. The purpose of this proposed trust conversion is to allow expansion of the Resort facilities to support diversified economic growth for tribal members and the surrounding community. Additional details regarding the proposed Resort expansion are included in the attached Project Description.

The Tribe is having an independent, third-party consultant prepare an Environmental Assessment pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The purpose of this letter is to provide your agency with an opportunity to submit formal comments to the Tribe at the address listed herein on the proposed trust conversion and Resort expansion. All comments received will be considered during the environmental review required under NEPA and will be included in the Environmental Review Record that is currently being compiled. Receipt of your comments is requested by July 25, 2010. A Site Map showing the subject property is attached.

Thank you for considering this request. We look forward to receiving your comments.

Sincerely mulifer Washington, Chairma Chemp

Harold Chesnin General Counsel to the Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Encl.

## Upper Skagit Indian Tribe Bow Hill Fee-to-Trust Application and Proposed Resort Expansion Comment Request Letter – June 14, 2010

## Agencies to receive request for comment

### Federal

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration / National Marine Fisheries Service United States Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Seattle District United States Environmental Protection Agency United States Fish and Wildlife Service

### State

Northwest Clean Air Agency Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation Washington Department of Ecology Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Washington Department of Natural Resources Washington Department of Transportation

#### County

Skagit County Commissioners Skagit County Fire Marshall Skagit County Planning and Development Services Skagit County Public Works

Local and Other City of Burlington County Fire District No. 14 (Alger) Samish Water District Skagit PUD No. 1

### UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE SKAGIT RESORT EXPANSION

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed project involves the conversion of approximately 134.13 acres (the "Land") of fee land into trust land for non-gaming hospitality / economic development purposes. The Land proposed for conversion is located immediately adjacent to and contiguous with the Upper Skagit Tribe's Bow Hill Reservation trust parcel, which Reservation parcel was previously taken into trust and declared Reservation by the United States. All of the land in question is located in Skagit County, Washington. Specifically, the proposed trust conversion and Resort expansion area is located in the SE ¼ of Section 31 and the NW ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 32, Township 36 North; and the NE ¼ of the NW ¼ and the N ½ of the NE ¼ of Section 6, Township 35 North, all within Range 04 East of W.M.

The Tribe intends to use the Land, after conversion from fee to trust, for a hotel, indoor water park and conference space, all non-gaming, economic development activities that focus on the tourism and hospitality industry. Specifically, the Tribe intends to build, own and operate the hotel, indoor water park and meeting center including restaurant, spa, fitness center, gift shop, and lounge together with associated parking and other infrastructure on approximately 42 acres of the Land. See the attached Site Map.

#### Utilities

The Land is already served by public and private utilities. Domestic water is currently supplied to the existing Resort by the Skagit County Public Utility District No. 1. Adequate storage and transmission capacities are available to serve the proposed Resort expansion. Sanitary sewer service is provided by the Samish Water District and the City of Burlington. Adequate transmission and treatment and disposal capacities are currently available to serve the proposed Resort expansion. Stormwater runoff resulting from the proposal will be treated and detained on the site consistent with the Washington State Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, 2005. Electrical power is currently available adjacent to the site and is provided by Puget Sound Energy.

#### Roads

Access to the Land is available from Interstate 5 at Exit 236, Bow Hill Road and Darrk Lane. Based on the traffic impact analysis prepared by Transportation Solutions, Inc. the proposed Resort expansion will not cause any roads or intersections to operate below adopted Level of Service standards.

#### Wetlands

Approximately 4 acres of wetlands will be filled to allow construction of the proposed Resort expansion, including buildings, accessory structures, parking and drainage facilities. A wetland mitigation plan has been prepared by Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc. that demonstrates that mitigation for all wetland impacts will be provided on the subject site through wetland creation and enhancement and through permanent preservation of existing and enhanced wetland areas.

#### **Threatened and Endangered Species**

No species identified as threatened or endangered under the federal Endangered Species Act have been observed on the subject site. Based on the Biological Assessment prepared by Aqua-Terr Systems, Inc., the proposed Resort expansion project will have no effect on species listed as threatened, endangered or candidate species under federal or state ESA regulations.

#### **Historic or Cultural Resources**

No site listed as a known archaeological, historic or cultural site on state or federal registers has been identified as being located on the subject site. Based on the archaeological and cultural resource assessment prepared by Equinox Research and Consulting International, the proposed Resort expansion is not anticipated to have any adverse effect on archaeological, historic or cultural resources.






.

I

-

13.2.0 United States Fish and Wildlife Service-Endangered, Threatened, Proposed, and Candidate Species, Critical Habitat, and Species of Concern in Western Washington.

•

**...** 

# ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED, AND CANDIDATE SPECIES, CRITICAL HABITAT, AND SPECIES OF CONCERN IN WESTERN WASHINGTON<sup>1</sup>

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	RECOVERY PRIORITY NUMBER
Endangered Animals		
Columbian white-tailed deer	Odocoileus virginianus leucurus	9c
Gray wolf	Canis lupus	3c
Leatherback sea turtle	Dermochelys coriacea	1
Short-tailed albatross	Phoebastria albanus	8
Endangered Plants		
Bradshaw's desert-parsley	Lomatium bradshawii	2
Marsh sandwort	Arenavia paludicola	5
Threatened Animals		
Bull trout (Coastal-Puget Sound and Columbia River DPS)	Salvelinus confluentus	9c
Canada lynx	Lynx canadensis	15
Green sea turtle	Chelonia mydas	1c
Grizzly bear	Ursus arctos horribilis	3с
Loggerhead sea turtle	Caretta caretta	7e
Marbled murrelet	Brachyramphus marmoratus	2
Northern spotted owl	Strix occidentalis caurina	6c
Olive ridley sea tutle	Lepidochelys olivacea	Se
Oregon silverspot butterfly	Speveria zerene hippolyta	3c
Western snowy plover	Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus	3с
Threatened Plants		
Golden paintbrush	Castilleja levisecta	2
Kincaid's lupine	Lupinus sulphureus ssp. Kincaidii	9
Nelson's checker-mallow	Sidalcea nelsoniana	5
Water howellia	Howellia aquatilis	7
Designated Critical Habitat		
Marbled murrelet Northern spotted owl Western snowy plover, Pacific Coa Bull Trout Kincaid's lupine	ast Population	

**Proposed Species** 

Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma) similarity of appearance

1

### Proposed Critical Habitat

Revised marbled murelet critical habitat

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	LISTING PRIORITY NUMBER
Candidate <sup>2</sup> Animals		
Fisher (West Coast DPS)	Martes pennanti	б
Mardon skipper	Polites mardon	8
Mazama nocket gonher	Thomomys mazama (ssn. couchi	3
The second better	douglasií, glacíalis Jouiei.	Ų.
	melanons mugetensis	
	tacomensis, tumuli, velmensis)	
Oregon spotted frog	Rana pretiosa	2
Streaked homed lark	Eremovhila alvesnis strigata	3
Taylor's (Whulge or Edith's)	Euphydryas editha taylori	3
checkerspot butterfly		2'
Yellow-billed cuckoo	Coccyzus americanus	3
Candidate <sup>2</sup> Plants	<i>v</i> -	-
Northern wormwood	Artamisia composition	2
southern wearing ood	barealis var warmskieldii	J
2		
Animal Species of Concern <sup>®</sup>		
Aleunan Canada goose	Branta canadensis leucopareia	
Bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	
Beller's ground beetle	Agonum belleri	
Brown pelican	Pelecanus occidentalis	
California bighorn sheep	Ovis canadensis californiana	
California floater (mussel)	Anodonia californiensis	
California wolverine	Gulo gulo luteus	
Cascades frog	Rana cascadae	
Cassin's auklet	Phychoramphus aleuticus	
Coastal cutthroat trout	Oncorhynchus clarki clarki	
Columbia peoplesnaii	Fluminicola columbianus	
Columbia torrent salamander	Rhyacontion kezeri	
Destruction Island snrew	Sorex nowbridgh destruction	
Feinder's somperial stoneny	Sonperia jenderi	
Finged myons (out) Uptable alight bastle	Myous inysanoaes	
Island large markin butterfly	Eunus nunchi Euchlon automidae inculature	
I statu taige finit the buttering	Black eden langelli	
Laten Woungan satahander	riemouon tarsem Muotis moris	
Long-cared myons	Muatis valans	
Makali's conner buttertly	Twanna marinosa charlottensis	
Margined sculnin	Cottus marginatus	
Newcomb's littorine snail	Algamorda newcombiana	
Northern goshawk	Acciniter gentilis	
Northern sea otter	Enhydra lutris kenvoni	•
Northwestern pond turtle	Emvs (= Clemmvs) marmorata marmora	na
Olive-sided flycatcher	Contonus cooperi	
Olympic torrent salamander	Rhyacotriton olympicus	
Oregon vester sparrow	Poneretes growineus affinis	

Washington Fish and Wildlife Office

2

Rev. 12/16/09

## COMMON NAME

## SCIENTIFIC NAME

Animal Species of Concern"	
(Cont'd)	
Pacific lamprey	Lampetra tridentata
Pacific Townsend's big-eared bat	Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii
Pale Townsend's big-eared bat	Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens
Peregrine falcon	Falco peregrinus
River lamprey	Lampetra avresi
Small-footed myotis	Myotis ciliolabrum
Slender-billed white-breasted	Sitta carolinensis aculeata
muthatch	
Tailed frog	Ascaphus truei
Tufted puffin	Fratercula cirrhata
Valley silverspot butterfly	Speyeria zerene bremnerii
Van Dyke's salamander	Plethodon vandykei
Western gray squirrel	Sciurus griseus griseus
Westslope cutthroat trout	Oncorhynchus (=Salmo) clarki lewisi
Western toad	Bufo boreas
Plant Species of Concern <sup>3</sup>	
Barrett's beardtongue	Penstemon barrettiae
Clackamas corvdalis	Corvdalis aquae-gelidae
Clustered lady's slipper	Cypripedium fasciculatum
Columbia vellow-cress	Rorippa columbiae
Cotton's milk-vetch	Astragalus australis var. olympicus
Footsteps of spring: bear's foot	Sanicula arctovoides
sanicle	I.
Frigid shootingstar	Dodecatheon austrofrigidum
Gorge daisy	Erigeron oreganus
Howell's daisy	Erigeron howellii
Obscure paintbrush	Castilleja cryptantha
Oregon sullivantia	Sullivantia oregana
Pale blue-eyed grass	Sisyrinchium sarmentosum
Pale larkspur	Delphinium leucophaeum
Pink sandverbena	Abronia umbellata ssp. Acutalata
Queen of the forest	Filipendula occidentalis
Rose checker-mallow	Sidalcea malviflora ssp. Virgata
Seely's silene	Silene seelyi
Stalked moonwort	Botrychium pedunculosum
Tall bugbane	Cimicifuga elata
Torrey's peavine	Lathyrus torreyi
Triangular-lobed moonwort	Bonychium ascendens
Whitebark pine	Pinus albicaulis
White meconella	Meconella oregana
White-top aster	Sericocarpus rigidus

Washington Fish and Wildlife Office

Rev. 12/16/09

3

.

<sup>1</sup>Hyperlinks are provided for species specific information available from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Environmental Conservation Online System. Recovery plans, listing actions, and critical habitat information are available at these hyperlinks.

<sup>2</sup>Candidate species are those species for which FWS has sufficient information to propose for listing. Hyperlinks are provided where available for electronic candidate forms or *Federal Register* notice of petition finding.

<sup>3</sup>Species of concern are those species whose conservation status is of concern to FWS, but more information is needed.

NOAA Fisheries threatened and endangered species list: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/prot\_res/species/ESA\_species.html

Information for eastern Washington species can be found on the <u>Upper Columbia Fish and Wildlife</u> <u>Office</u> web page and for all listed species on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Home Page. 13.2.1 United States Fish and Wildlife Service-Listed and Proposed Endangered and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat; Candidate Species; and Species of Concern in Skagit County.

-

## LISTED AND PROPOSED ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES AND CRITICAL HABITAT; CANDIDATE SPECIES; AND SPECIES OF CONCERN IN SKAGIT COUNTY AS PREPARED BY THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE WESTERN WASHINGTON FISH AND WILDLIFE OFFICE

(Revised November 1, 2007)

### LISTED

Bull trout (Salvalinus confluentus)

Canada lynx (Lynx canadensis)

Gray wolf (Contis hupus)

Grizzly bear (Ursus arctos = U. a. horribilits)

Marbled murelet (Brachyramphus marmoratus)

Northern spotted owl (Strix occidentalis contina)

Major concerns that should be addressed in your Biological Assessment of project impacts to listed species include:

- 1. Level of use of the project area by listed species.
- Effect of the project on listed species' primary food stocks, prey species, and foraging areas in all areas influenced by the project.
- 3. Impacts from project activities and implementation (e.g., increased noise levels, increased human activity and/or access, loss or degradation of habitat) that may result in disturbance to listed species and/or their avoidance of the project area.

#### DESIGNATED

Critical habitat for bull trout

Critical habitat for the marbled murrelet

Critical habitat for the northern spotted owl

#### PROPOSED

Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma) due to similarity of appearance

### CANDIDATE

Oregon spotted frog (Rana pretiosa)

#### SPECIES OF CONCERN

Baid eagle (Holiacetus lencocephalus)
California wolverne (Gulo gulo luteus)
Cascades frog (Rana cascadae)
Long-eared myotis (Myotis evotis)
Long-legged myotis (Myotis volans)
Northern goshawk (Accipiter gentilis)
Olive-sided flycatcher (Contopus cooperi)
Pacific lamprey (Lompetra tridentata)
Pacific Townsend=s big-eared bat (Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii)
Peregrine faicon (Falco peregrinus)
River lamprey (Lampetra ayresi)
Tailed frog (Ascaphus truei)
Western toad (Bufo boreas)
Meconella oregana (white meconella)

13.2.2 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration - Marine/Anadromous Fish Species Under the Endangered Species Act.

-

	OPR Home   About OPR   Species	Permits   L:	aws & Policie	s   Programs	Education   Publication
Species 9 Marine Hammals	Marine/Anadromous Fish Sp (ESA)	ecies Uno	ier the Er	dangered S	pecies Act
en u eraceans 87 Pierrip <b>ada</b>	List of Fish Species under NMFS	Jurisdict	ion Geographic d	In a action	Dankin ()
2 Marine Turtles	(E = engangereg ; I = <u>Vireater</u>	<u> </u>	<u>1904195 </u> ; 1	va = nocapp	acaole }
E Marbie Invertebrates &	Marine and Anadromous Fish	(34 listed	(species))		
Plants D Species of Concern	Species	Year Listed	Status	<u>Critical</u> Habitat '	Recovery Plan <sup>+</sup>
B Threatened & Endangered Species IP Created Habitat Naps	▲ <u>Attent selection</u> (1 fisted OPS) (Salmo select)				
	○ Golf of Maine	2009 Expanded	E	<u>î:nai</u>	<u>final</u>
Conlact OPR Glossary DPR Site Map	original listing -	2000			
Weight and the second	<ul> <li><u>Chinook salmon</u> (9 listed ESUs (Oncorhynchus tshawitscha)</li> </ul>	0			
Server Off	0 12 10 113 01 1132	1999	т	final	ID DEOKESI
" and the second second second second	o <u>Centra Valva</u> I <u>stan</u> tan	1995	т	<u>Gnai</u>	<u>3784</u>
	ta <u>name nakantan k</u> um	1999	'n.	final	
	o <u>la presi de participa da la p</u> Recepción da	1999	Ę	<u>finai</u>	<u>imai</u>
	<sup>15</sup> 26(19) (Also 9)	1099	т	final	iu ansti
	O <u>Kanamana Ina.</u> <u>Medarak</u> i	1994	ε	<u>final</u>	<u> 389ñ</u>
	and the state of the	1992 -	ī	<u>tina</u> !	<u>1. práck</u> á z
	🌣 <u>Berend</u> Rected and the Bern trendera	1992.	т	final	<u>in :: -51693</u>
	in the second states and second	160d	Ŧ	finai	les represent

1 of .

3/8/2016 14:47 AM

Fish Species Protected Under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) - Office of Protected Resources				http://www.infits.nona.gov/pr/species/esa/bsh.
■ <u>chum samon</u> (2 listed ESUs (Oncorbynchus keta)	a ja			
© <u>Calumbia Ruger</u>	1999	т	final	13. <u>DE02935</u>
o <u>Hood Canal</u> summetrum	1999**	т	final	<u>final</u>
<ul> <li><u>colis_salmon</u> (4 listed ESUs (Oncorhynchus kisutch)</li> </ul>	)			
<ul> <li><u>Central California coas</u></li> </ul>	<u>st</u> 2005''	E	<u>final</u>	<u>83 12:00+31</u>
original listing -	1996**	۲		
o <u>Lover Columbia Rivar</u>	2005'''	Т	not yet proposed	15. <u>0100688</u>
ି <u>Oregon coast</u>	2008	т	final	
<sup>o</sup> <u>Southern Gregon A</u> <u>Nuthern California</u> Szatia	1997**	Т	final	<u>In 20156428</u>
<ul> <li><u>green sturgeon</u> (1 listed DP (Acipenser medirostris)</li> </ul>	S)			
⊘ southern DPS	2006	т	final	no
<ul> <li><u>Guit sturgeon</u> (Acipanser oxyrinchus desetoi)</li> </ul>	1991	т		<u>fuysi</u>
<ul> <li><u>abortnose Mutanon</u> (Acipenset brevirostrum)</li> </ul>	1967	E	n-a	Lan
<ul> <li><u>suckave satmon</u> (2 listed ES (Oncorhynchus nerka)</li> </ul>	5Us)			
o <u>Cante Lake</u>	1999	Т	final	111 121 127 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
9 <u>Snake Ricer</u>	1991	E	final	<u> A. F. G. MAN</u>
<ul> <li><u>Amailtoota saut s.</u> (1 listed (Prists pectinata)</li> </ul>	DPS)			
© U.S. portion of range	3003	E	final	<u>8. 18.</u>
<ul> <li><u>steelhead trout</u> (11 listed D (Oncorhynchus mykkis))</li> </ul>	PS4;			
© <u>Fuget Sound</u>	2007	T	under dereiopment	00 :
2 of 3				3 \$ 2015 11:47.

•

		O <u>Central California coast</u>	1997	т	<u>final</u>	in proc <u>ess</u>	
		<sup>O</sup> Snake River Basin	1997**	т	final	10 LUCCRAS	
		O <u>Upper Columbia River</u>	1997.*	٤	<u>final</u>	<u>Í.na</u>	
		O Southern California	1997	E	final	<u>chaî:</u>	
		9 Middle Columbia Brier	1999;;	т	final	<u>fina.</u>	
		o <u>Lower Columbia Rever</u>	1993 '	т	final	<u>(* 1913)</u>	
		o <u>Upper Willamette Rover</u>	1999''	т	<u>final</u>	11 21000555	
		O Northern California	2000	т	final	15 <u>()))</u> (5)) (5))	
		South-Central California coast	1997``	т	<u>tinal</u>	<u>in process</u>	
		<ul> <li><u>Californía, Central</u> Valley</li> </ul>	1998	т	<u>fina</u> l	an an an the Ann an the an	
		■ <u>teloabe</u> (Totoaba macdonaldi)	1979	E (F)	n/a	0/8	
		whose status changed as a result others, only the original listing d history, please click on the link fr Endongered and Threatened Ma	of the revi ate is show or each ESU arine Spect	ew will sho n. For more J/OPS. ies	w the revisi a informatio	ed date; for all in on the listing	
		<ul> <li>Overview / How Does the F</li> <li>Marine Hammais</li> <li>Marine Lintes</li> <li>Marine Lintes</li> <li>Marine Invertebrates &amp; Plai</li> <li>Confidte Exercise</li> <li>Marine Lintest Excists &amp; Plai</li> <li>Confidte Exercise</li> <li>Marine Lintest Excists &amp; Plai</li> <li>Calified Marine Exercises</li> <li>Plaited Marine Exercises</li> <li>Plinter-Friendly Species cut</li> </ul>	<u>ESA Define</u> Mit <u>Pri Litova</u> Et (porf)	"Species")			
	36.9.12.312.312.313.000		34-12 <u>1</u> 2	M. K. U	UNIZA		
	Home   About Us   F	forms   Privacy Policy   Information Ouality	Disclaimer	Contact Us j I	Feedback   Se	tardi 😴 🛞	
Ê3							3 \$ 2046 (

•



-

## APPENDIX E

## **RECORD OF SURVEY**





## PARCEL DESCRIPTIONS (PER LAND TITLE COMPANY OF SKAGT COUNTY OFDER NOS. 115163-5, 115164-P, 113165-5, 115166-P, 135468-5) (EFFECTIVE DATE: DECEMBER 31, 2009)

#### PARCEL P123324

2

ι δο ·

60

m

0

2

90 ШŚ

4

ò

80

<u>م</u>

X

TOOM 0

0

EDR -

۲

**UNI** 

ð

5944 0

**N** 3

0 WAY

đ Z

()

Λ

ſ ū

۵

THAT PORTION OF GOVERNMENT LOTS 1 AND 2. IN SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., LING NORTHERLY OF THE RIGHT OF WAY FOR THE BOW HILL COUNTY ROAD, AS SAID ROAD EQUIDED ON APRIL 16, 1968;

EXCEPT THAT PORTION THEREOF LYING SOUTHERLY OF THE OLD BOW HILL COUNTY ROAD, AS SAID ROAD EXISTED ON JANUARY 18, 1963.

TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF DARRK LANE (OLD BOW HILL WARNER ROAD, COUNTY ROAD NO. 50010) AS VACATED PURSUMNT TO SKAGIT COUNTY RESOLUTION R20070481 AND FINAL ORDER RECORDED NOVEMBER 9, 2007, UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200711090143, A RE-RECORDING OF DOCUMENT RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200710090107, RECORDS OF SKAGT COUNTY.

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF SKAGIT, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

#### PARCEL PS0416

PARCEL "A":

THE SOUTHWEST & OF THE SOUTHEAST & OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M.

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF SKAGIT, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

PARCEL "B":

A NON--EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR ROAD AND UTILITIES AS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT FROM NIELSEN BROTHERS INC. TO RICHMOND JRI ENTERPRISES, INC., RECORDED JANUARY 4, 2002, UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200201040067, RECORDS OF SKAGT COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF SKAGIT, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

#### PARCEL P35839

THAT PORTION OF GOVERNMENT LOTS 2 AND 3 IN SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, M.M., LYNG SOUTHERLY OF THE OLD BOW HILL COUNTY ROAD, (AS LOCATED AND ESTABLISHED PROR TO JANUARY 18, 1963), NORTHERLY OF THE COUNTY ROAD AS CONVEYED TO SKAGIT COUNTY BY DEED DATED JANUARY 18, 1963, RECORDED JANUARY 18, 1963, AS AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 631052 AND EASTERLY OF P.S.H. #1. EXCEPT THAT PORTION, IF ANY, LYING WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED TRACT:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAUD GOVERNMENT LOT 2; THENCE SOUTH 2'35'28" WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE THEREOF A DISTANCE OF 1068.38 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 2'35'28" WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE THEREOF A DISTANCE OF 1068.38 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 2'35'28" WEST A DISTANCE OF 542.13 FEET TO A 3/4 INCH IRON FIME AND THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 33'31 45" WEST A DISTANCE OF 123.81 FEET TO A 5/4 INCH IRON FIME; THENCE NORTH 11'0'45" EAST A DISTANCE OF 60 FEET; MORE OR LESS, TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE COUNTY ROAD (BOW HILL ROAD); THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAUD COUNTY ROAD A DISTANCE OF 220 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT BEARING NORTH 49'0'4''O' EAST FROM THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 48'0'4'O' WEST A DISTANCE OF 21'S FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH COUNTY ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY THEREFORE, IF ANY.

TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF DARRIK LANE (OLD BOW HILL, WARNER ROAD, COUNTY ROAD NO. 50010) AS WAATED PURSUMNT TO SKAGT COUNTY RESOLUTION R20070481 AND FINAL ORDER RECORDED MOWEMBER 9, 2007, UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200711090143, A RE-RECORDING OF DOCUMENT RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 200710090107, RECORDS OF SKAGT COUNTY.

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF SKACIT, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

#### PARCEL PS0414 & PS0500

THE NORTH IS OF THE SOUTHEAST IN OF SECTION 31, AND THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST IN OF THE SOUTHWEST IN OF SECTION 32 LYING WEST OF THE STATE HIGHWAY, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., DICEPT THAT PORTION, IF ANY, CONVEYED TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF FISHERES, INCLUDING THAT CONVEYED BY DEED DATED FEBRUARY, 2, 1940, AS FILE FEBRUARY 15, 1940 AS FILE NO. 321913 AND RECORDED IN VOLUME 180 OF DEEDS AT PAGE 30, AND EXCEPT THAT PORTION DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

Beginning at the intersection of the West Line of Highway 99 and the south Line of the Northwest X of the southwest X of Said Section 12; THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST IN OF THE SOUTHEAST IN OF SAID SECTION 31 TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID MORTHEAST IN OF THE Southeast N; Thence North Along the West line of Said Northeast N of the Southeast N 660 Feet, Thence East Parallel to the South Line of Said Northeast N of the Southeast N and said northwest & of the southwest & to the west line of said highway 99; thence southerly along said highway to the point of beginning.

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF SKAGIT, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

#### AUDITOR'S CERTIFICATE

Filed for record this 30 day of JUNF2010 ot

of surveys at page at the request of in book PACIFIC SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING SERVICES INC.



# RECORD OF SURVEY ALTA / ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY

SITUATE IN A PORTION OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 31 & SW 1/4 OF SECTION 32.

TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, AND GOVT LOTS 1, 2 & 3 OF SECTION 6. TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH,

RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON

#### PARCEL P119078 - PARCEL "C" OF PARCEL P50416

THALLELY FITNED THALLE & UT THALL FORTHO ALL THAT PORTION OF LOT 1 AS SHOWN ON "RMER VALLEY VIEW ESTATES," AS PER PLAT RECORDED ON MAY 7, 2001 UNDER AUDITOR'S FLE NO. 200105070102, RECORDS OF SKAGT COUNTY, MASHINGTON, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHMEST CORNER OF SAU LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 01'35'D1" EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAU LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 448.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 03'45'27" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 03'45'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02'05'1" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 65.00 THE SOUTH UNE A DISTANCE OF EAST, A DISTANCE OF 65.00 THE SOUTH 05'1" THE A DISTANCE OF 10'1" THE A DISTANCE OF 65.00 THE SOUTH 05'1" THE SOUTH 05'1"

THENCE SOUTH 29'58'32" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 63.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

TOGETHER WITH AND SUBJECT TO A 12.00 FOOT WIDE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS OVER A PORTION OF LOT 1, "RIVER VALLEY NEW ESTATES," AS PER PLAT RECORDED ON MAY 7, 2001 UNDER ALDITOR'S FILE NO. 200103070102, RECORDS OF SKAGT COUNTY, WASHINGTON, SAID EASEMENT BEING 6.00 FEET ON EACH SIDE, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES, FROM THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED CENTERLINE.

BECHINING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 01"35"D1" EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 448.00 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BECHNING; THENCE SOUTH 57'45'27" EXST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 20'34'31" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 36.70 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 02'00'00" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 345.00 FEET TO THE TERMINUS.

THE SIDELINES OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED EASEMENT SHALL BE LENGTHENED AND FORESHORTENED TO TERMINATE AT THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1.

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF SKAGIT, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

EXCEPTIONS (PER LAND TITLE COMPANY OF SKAGT COUNTY ORDER NOS. 115183-S, 115164-P, 115185-S. 115186-P 135468-S) (EFFECTIVE DATE: DECEMBER 31, 2009) AF#200105070102, PLAT OF RIVER VALLEY VIEW ESTATES AF#200201040067, ACCESS EASEMENT CONTAINED IN DEED AF#199907300085 & 1999070300086, MOBILE HOME DEEDS AF/872242, PSE UTILITY EASEMENT AF/8709240061, PUGET SOUND ENERGY UTILITY EASEMENT AF/9907160034, NON-FORESTRY LAND USE CONDITIONS AF#200105070103, PLAT OF RIVER VALLEY VIEW ESTATES CCR'S AF#8804190045, PUGET SOUND ENERGY UTILITY EASEMENT AF#200106210005, AMENDMENT TO CCR'S AF#200207190135, AMENDMENT TO PROTECTIVE COVENANTS AF#200308210041, RECORD OF SURVEY AF#199912230089. DEED OF TRUST AF#200401130019, DEED OF TRUST MODIFICATION AF#200009250066, SECURITY INTEREST AF#9410310110. RECORD OF SURVEY AFIGSTUSIOTIL RECORD OF SURVEY LAND USE CONDITIONS AFIGST10170041, NON-FORESTRY LAND USE CONDITIONS AFIGST12180034, NON-FORESTRY LAND USE CONDITIONS AFIG199912200003, NON-FORESTRY LAND USE CONDITIONS AF#8008110023, ROAD WAY EASEMENT AF#200508020064, RECORD OF SURVEY R20070481, SKAGIT COUNTY VACATION RESOLUTION AF#200711090143, VACATION AGREEMENT AF#200707120046 BOADWAY FASEMENT AF#200703210123, WETLAND MITIGATION EASEMENT

### LAND TITLE & ESCROW COMPANY OF SKAGIT COUNTY & STEWART TITLE GUARANTY COMPANY OF SKAGIT COUNTY

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAT AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE MADE IN THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR FLAT AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WHILE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "MINIMUM STANDARD DETAIL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTA/ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEYS," JOHTLY ESTABLISHED AND ADOFTED BY ALTA AND NSPS IN 2005, AND MICLUDES ITEM NUMBER 1, 4, 8, 10 & 110 of TABLE A THEREOF, PURSUANT TO THE ACCURACY STANDARDS AS ADOFTED BY ALTA AND NSPS AND IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF THIS CERTIFICATION, UNDERSIGNED FURTIOR CERTIFIES THAT IN MY PROFESSIONAL OPINION, AS A LAND SURVEYOR REGISTERED IN THE STATE OF MASHINGTON, THE RELATIVE POSITIONAL ACCURACY OF THIS SURVEY DOES NOT DICEED THAT WHICH IS SPECIFIED THEREIN.

6-30-40 DATE PETER K BRANKS PISSIA



PACIFIC SURVEYING & ENGINEERING 1812 CORNWALL AVE, BELLINGHAM, WA 98225 360.671.7387, FAX: 360.671.4685 www.panearvoy.call

Certifidate No.: 35147

CHECKED BY: PKB DRAWN BY: MD

F.B.# 200...

DATE: 06/29/10 JOB NO. 2005032

SHEET NO. 1 OF 7



SURVEY NOTES

7) OCCUPATIONAL INDICATOR NOTE:

## PARCEL NOTES

- 5) ZONING DESIGNATION: "RURAL RESERVE"
- 6) AREA OF SUBJECT PARCELS:

- SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

under my direction in conformance with the requirements of the Survey Recording Act at the request of UPPER SKAGIT INDIAN TRIBE in JANUARY 2010.



MI LAND

XPIRES: **#2-94-**/



## RECORD OF SURVEY ALTA / ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY

STUATE IN A PORTION OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 31 & SW 1/4 OF SECTION 32,

TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, AND GOVT LOTS 1, 2 & 3 OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH

RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON

NOTE 5: QUARTER CORNER - SECTIONS 31 & 32 FEBRUARY 9, 1874, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOSEPH M. SNOW UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 185, SET A 4 INCH BY 4 FOOT POST FOR SECTION CORNER, APPROVED MAY 1, 1874.

AUGUST 30, 1974, A.F. NO. 805590, FOUND 1-1/4 IRON PIPE.

OCTOBER 11. 1974. A.F. NO. 808737. FOUND 1-1/4 IRON PIPE.

JULY 7, 1980, A.F. NO. 8007070008, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE, PLUG, AND TACK.

JULY 7, 1981, A.F. NO. 8107070003, FOUND 1-1/4 IRON PIPE.

MAY 8, 1992, A.F. NO. 9205080001, FOUND 2" OUTSIDE DIAMETER IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK.

JULY 17, 1992, A.F. NO. 9207170212, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE 12/4/79.

JUNE 30, 1993, A.F. NO, 9306300080, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK AT THE NORTH END OF HATCHERY, WEST OF FRIDAY CREEK, EAST OF HIGHWAY 99, 12/4/79.

MARCH 21, 1998, UNRECORDED SKAGT COUNTY ENGINEER DRAWING "BOW HILL ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - DAARK LANE TO OLD 99 NORTH," JAN, 04, 1995 FOUND 1-1/4 IRON PIPE.

MAY 7. 2001. A.F. NO. 200105070102. FOUND 1-1/4" IRON PIPE AND PLUG JAN. 1996.

AUGUST 21, 2003, A.F. NO. 200308210041, 2" IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK PER R.O.S. A.F. NO. 9205080001.

AUGUST 2, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508020064, 2" IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK, REF. R.O.S. A.F. 200308210041, 200105070102, 9306300080, 8007070006.

MAY 12, 2005, A.F. NO. 200512050040, FOUND 1-1/2" IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK, APRIL

FEBRUARY 21, 2008, A.F. NO. 200802210088, FOUND 1-1/2" IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK, APRIL 6. 1992.

JANUARY 2010, PSE FOUND & 2" IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG AND TACK, 0.2" ABOVE GROUND. THIS MONUMENT PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION, ACCEPTED AS QUARTER CORNER.

NOTE 6: QUARTER CORNER - SECTIONS 32 & 33 FEBRUARY 4, 1874, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOSEPH M. SNOW UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 185, SET A 3-1/2 BY 4 FOOT POST FOR SECTION CORNER, APPROVED MAY 1, 1874.

MAY 1911, UNRECORDED SURVEY BY W.E. COSTELLO, COUNTY ENGINEER. 4' GAS PIPE GOMN'T BTS.

AUGUST 30, 1974, A.F. NO. 805590, ESTABLISHED BY SINGLE PROPORTION.

OCTOBER 11, 1974, A.F. NO. BOB737, SEE RECORD OF SURVEY FILED IN BOOK 1, PAGE 82,

AUGUST 22, 1980, A.F. NO. 800822026, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP IN EAST-WEST FENCE LINE.

JULY 7, 1981, A.F. NO. 8107070003, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP.

JULY 31, 1985, A.F. NO. 8507310018, AS SHOWN ON HIDDEN MEADOWS SHORT PLAT.

DECEMBER 16, 2003, A.F. NO. 200312160116, SET 5/8" REBAR WITH CAP LS 28023, 4/1997. DECEMBER 5, 2005, A.F. NO. 20051205004D, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT DESTROYED: HELD

MON OF SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 5 OF SHORT PLATS, PG. 91.

JULY 31, 2006, A.F. NO. 200607310190, CONCRETE MONUMENT DESTROYED, FOUND 5/8" REBAR/CAP SET BY LS 2000, T. THE ENDING HILL SHORT PLAT RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NUMBER 2003/12/00116.

FEBRUARY 21, 2008, A.F. NO. 200802210088, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT DESTROYED; HELD POSITION OF SURVEY FILED IN VOL. 5 OF SHORT PLATS, PG. 91

JANUARY 2010, PSE FOUND A #5 REBAR AND CAP, 0.3 ABOVE GROUND, MARKED "SCHMIND PLS #28023", THIS MONUMENT PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION, ACCEPTED AS QUARTER CORNER.

NOTE 7: QUARTER CORNER - SECTION 6 & 7 FEBRUARY 18, 1873, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOHN A. CORNELIUS UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 142, SET A POST FOR QUARTER SECTION CORNER, APPROVED JULY 18, 1873.

NOMEMBER 1974, UN-RECORDED SURVEY BY THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF GAME, FOUND 1 INCH IRON PIPE WITH WOOD PLUG.

FEBRUARY 21, 1998, A.F. NO. 9602210029, FOUNO 3/4 INCN IRON PIPE WITH TACK IN 3-WAY FENCE

AUGUST 2, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508020064, CALCULATED POSITION PER RECORD OF SURVEY A.F. NO. 9602210029

AUGUST 12, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508120104, CALCULATED POSITION PER RECORD OF SURVEY A.F. NO. 9602210029.

JANUARY 2010, PSE FOUND A 1" DIAMETER IRON PIPE WITH PLUG AND NAIL FLUSH WITH GROUND, IN FENCE LINE. THIS MONUMENT PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION. ACCEPTED AS QUARTER CORNER.

NOTE & QUARTER CORNER - SECTIONS 30 & 31

JULY 7, 1980, A.F. NO. 8007070006, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT, JUDY CAP. L.S. NO, 7598.

MAY 8, 1992, A.F. NO. 9203080001, ACCEPTED AS PER "THOUSAND TRAILS SHORT PLAT" VOL 4, PAGE 132.

AUGUST 2, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508020064, CALCULATED POSITION PER R.O.S. A.F. NO. 9205080001, 9306300080

NOTE 9: QUARTER CORNER - SECTIONS 31 & 36 MARCH 18, 1872, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOHN A. CORNELIUS UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 125, SET A. POST FOR SECTION CORNER, APPROVED JUNE 21, 1872.

JULY 7, 1980, A.F. NO. 8007070006, FOUND 1" IRON PIPE WITH R & L CAP, LS NO. 8992.

MAY 8, 1992, A.F. NO. 9205080001, FOUND 1" OUTSIDE DIAMETER IRON PIPE WITH PLASTIC CAP, PLS NO. 5702. 0.4' EAST OF BARBED WIRE FENCE CORNER.

MARCH 22, 1993, A.F. NO. 9303220004, FDUND 3/4" IRON PIPE MARKED "LS 6702" 0.5' EAST OF 12" CORNER FENCE POST ON 12/21/92. REPLACED IRON PIPE WITH DNR ALUMINUM PIPE MONUMENT AND 2 REFERENCES WITH ALUMINUM TAGS ON 12/29/92. FOUND DNR ALUMINUM MONUMENT 1/25/93.

APRIL 28, 1993, A.F. NO, 9304280051, FOUND 3/4" IRON PIPE WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP MARKED "R & L" 0.5' EAST OF ROUND 10" DIAMETER × 5' HIGH FENCE CORNER POST, FENCE LINE TO NORTH, WEST AND SOUTH 12/21/92. SET ONR ALLMANNIM PIPE MONUMENT.

ALUMINUM MONUMENT ON 12/92.

AUGUST 21, 2003, A.F. NO. 200308210041, 1" IRON PIPE WITH PLASTIC CAP, PLS NO. 6702 PER R.O.S. A.F. NO. 9205080001.

JANUARY 2010, PSE FOUND A 1" IRON PIPE WITH 3" DIAMETER DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ALUMINUM CAP APPROPRIATELY MARKED AND STAMPED "1992 LS 17581" WITH DRILL HOLE, 0.1" ABOVE GROUND, THIS MONUMENT PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION. ACCEPTED AS QUARTER CORNER.

### SURVEY SYMBOL LEGEND

$\Theta$	= Dasting 🚧 Rei
•	= Dasting \$5 RE
۲	= Dasting 🖊 Rei
۲	⇒ Dasting #4 Rei w/ #5 Rebar &
0	= DOSTING 2" IRO
$\oplus$	🗕 DASTING 🛃 REI
•	= EXISTING 🚧 REI
	= DASTING 🛃 REL
_	W/ #5 REBAR &
•	= Set #5 rebur
$\nabla$	(see detail on
	] = EXISTING SECTIO
$\overline{\Lambda}$	-
	]= Dusting Quart
0	= DISTING WATER
α	= existing fire h
$\bowtie$	= DOSTING GATE 1
⊞	= EXISTING WATER
e-eE.V.	- DASTING ELECTI
ঞ্চ	- DOSTING UTILITY
Q	= DOSTING ELECT
	= EXISTING TELEP

- = DOSTING LUMINAIRE ALIGNMENT ¢−ŭ
  - ÷-= EXISTING POWER POLE -O-4P = EXISTING POWER POLE W/DROP
- Ô - EXISTING STORM DRAIN MANHOLE
- F.B.# 200... DATE: 06/29/10 JOB NO. 2005032 SHEET NO. 2 OF 7 CHECKED BY: PKB DRAWN BY: MD



Ľ

()

PACIFIC SURVEYING & ENGINEERING 1812 CORNWALL AVE, BELLINGHAN, WA 98225 560.671.7387, FAX: 360.671.4685 WWW.PSessivey.com



2 of 7 10:22AM

FEBRUARY 10, 1874, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOSEPH M. SNOW UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 185, SET A 3-1/2 (INCH) BY 4 FOOT POST FOR SECTION CORNER, APPROVED MAY 1, 1874.

JUNE 30, 1993, A.F. NO, 9308300080, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH JUDY CAP 11/20/79.

, anuary 2010, PSE Found a concrete monument and cap with drill hole. Marked "Judy 7596" 0.2' ABOVE GROUND. THIS MONUMENT PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION. ACCEPTED AS QUARTER

JUNE 30, 1993, A.F. NO. 9306300080. FOUND 1" IRON PIPE WITH R & L CAP. REPLACED WITH DNR

AUGUST 2, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508020064, CALCULATED POSITION PER R.O.S. A.F. NO. 9205080001, REF. 9312230061, 9306300080, 9104040014, 8007070006, 8005010030,

DISTING MONUMENT (SEE NOTE FOR DESCRIPTION) BAR & CAP, PLS#17651 BAR & CAP, PLS#7598 BAR & CAP. PLS#32560 BAR & CAP, PLS#32560, REPLACED ALUM. U.S.I.T. CAP, PLS #35147 N PIPE, NO CAP BAR & CAP, PLS#8992 BAR & CAP. PLS #40525 BAR & CAP, PLS #40525, REPLACED ALUM, U.S.I.T. CAP, PLS #35147 & ALUM. U.S.I.T. CAP, PLS \$35147 SHEET 2)

ON CORNER

ER SECTION CORNER

WELL HOUSE MD RANT VALVE METER RIC METER/SWITCH Y POLE RICAL VAULT/MANHOLE HONE VAULT/MANHOLE





## RECORD OF SURVEY ALTA / ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY

SITUATE IN A PORTION OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 31 & SW 1/4 OF SECTION 32, TOWNSHIP 36 NORTH, AND GOVT LOTS 1, 2 & 3 OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON

#### MONUMENT HISTORY

NOTE 12: SECTION CORNER - SECTIONS 1, 6, 31, & 36 SEPTEMBER 29, 1870, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOHN A. CORNELIUS UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 115, SET A POST FOR SECTION CORNER, APPROVED JUNE 27, 1871.

MARCH 18, 1872, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JOHN A. CORNELIUS UNDER CONTRACT NUMBER 125, FOUND A POST FOR SECTION CORNER, APPROVED JUNE 21, 1872.

NOVEMBER 21,1979, A.F. NO. 7911210040, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT.

APRIL 4, 1991, A.F. NO. 9104040014, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS DISC STAMPED "RADER AND LEONARD" (NOV. 1990).

APRIL 15, 1992, A.F. NO. 9204150001, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS DISC STANPED "RADER AND LEONARD" JAN. 15, 1992.

MAY 8, 1592, A.F. NO. 9205080001, FOUND 4" SOLARE CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP 1.5" WEST OF A BARBED WIRE FENCE. (MONUMENT IS APPROPRIATELY STAMPED AND WITH "RADER AND

MARCH 22, 1993, A.F. NO. 9303220004, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP MARKED "FINDER AND LEONARD 6702" UP 0.2' AT THE EAST EDGE OF A SMALL CREEK. FENCE IS 3.1' EAST OF

APRIL 28, 1993, A.F. NO. 9304280051, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP MARKED "RADER AND LEONARD 6702" UP 0.2" AT THE EAST EDGE OF A SMALL CREEK. ACCEPTED AS SECTION CORNER, ALSO FOUND PLUGGED 3/4" IRON PIPE IN CREEK 8.0" SOUTH OF CONCRETE MONUMENT 1/25/93.

AUGUST 24, 1994, A.F. NO. 9408240103, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS DISC STAMPED "RADER AND LEONARD" (NOV. 1990).

OCTOBER 31, 1994, A.F. NO. 9410310110, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS DISK STAMPED "RADER AND LEONARD" (NOV. 1990).

MARCH 23, 1995, UNRECORDED SKAGT COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS SURVEY "BOW HILL ROAD - 1-5 TO OLD HWY 99," AUG. 18, 1994 FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH RADER AND LEONARD BRASS CAP.

AUGUST 21, 2003, A.F. NO. 200308210041, 4" SOUARE CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS DISK PER

AUGUST 2, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508020064, CALCULATED POSITION PER A.F. NO. 9205080001, REF. 9410310110, 9512230061, 9104040014, 7911210040.

AUGUST 12, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508120104, FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP MARKED "RADER AND LEONARD 6702" 1.5' WEST OF A BARBED WIRE FENCE.

JANUARY 2010. PSE FOUND A CONCRETE MONUMENT WITH BRASS CAP APPROPRIATELY MARKED AND STAMPED TRUER AND LEDNARD 6702" WITH DRILL HOLE, 1.0' ABOVE GROUND. THIS MONUMENT PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION. ACCEPTED AS QUARTER CORNER.

NOTE 14: CENTER WEST 1/16 CORNER - SECTION 32 AUGUST 30, 1974, A.F. NO. 805590, SET 3/4" IRON PIPE.

OCTOBER 11, 1974, A.F. NO. 808737, SET 3/4" IRON PIPE.

JANUARY 2010 PSE FOUND AND ACCEPTED A REBAR AND CAP W/ TACK IN 3/4" IRON PIPE, "R&L

(NOT TO SCALE) SHELT INDIAN

PS&E

2010

- 2" ---

NOTE 15 QUARTER CORNER - SECTIONS 6 & 31 (SEE DETAIL 'A') AWALARY 24, 1873, U. S. DEPUTY SURVEYOR JUNK OF A CONTRACT NUMBER 142, SET A POST FOR QUARTER SECTION CORNER, APPROVED JULY 18, 1873.

JULY 7, 1980, A.F. NO. 8007070006, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE

APRIL 4, 1991, A.F. NO. 9104040014, FOUND AILE (FEB. 1991).

MAY 8, 1992, A.F. NO. 9205080001, FOUND STEEL ANLE 1.0' ABOVE GROUND AT NORTH AND EAST BARBED WIRE FENCE CORNER.

JULY 17, 1992. A.F. NO. 9207170212, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE 8/29/79.

MARCH 22, 1993, A.F. NO. 9303220004, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE 8/29/79 DURING SURVEY FILED IN VOLUME 4 OF SHORT PLATS AT PAGES 131 & 132.

JUNE 30, 1993, A.F. NO. 9306300080, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE 8/29/79.

OCTOBER 31, 1994, A.F. NO. 9410310110, FOUND CAR AXLE (FEB. 1991).

FEET FROM FENCE CORNER.

AUGUST 21, 2003, A.F. NO. 200308210041, EXISTING 1-1/2" ANLE ROD 0.3' ABOVE GROUND.

PERPETUATES THE CORNER POSITION. ACCEPTED AS QUARTER CORNER.



F.B.# 200... DRAWN BY .MD CHECKED BY: PKB

DWG. NO. 2010 altoundate 200x.dec

854

60 07

FAX

2000

Or

 $\geq$ 

ີພິ

٩

-

٩

5

**C**RO

Ġ



NOMEMBER 1974, UN-RECORDED SURVEY BY THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF GAME, FOUND AGLE AND 2" x 2" x 12" STAKE IN UPROOT OF CEDAR TREE.

NOVEMBER 21, 1979, A.F. NO. 7911210040, FOUND 2" IRON PIPE.

APRIL 15, 1992, A.F. NO. 9204150001, FOUND AVLE AT FENCE CORNER JAN. 15, 1992.

MARCH 23, 1995, UNRECORDED SKACIT COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS SURVEY "BOW HILL ROAD - 1-5 TO OLD HWY 99," AUG. 18, 1994 FOUND ALE 1.4 FEET ABOVE GROUND 1.0 FEET FROM FENCE CORNER.

MARCH 21. 1996. UNRECORDED SKAGT COUNTY ENGINEER DRAWING "BOW HILL ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - DAARK LANE TO OLD 99 NORTH," AUG. 18, 1994 FOUND AXLE 1.4 FEET ABOVE GROUND 1.0

MAY 7, 2001, A.F. NO. 200105070102, FOUND 1" IRON BAR UP 0.5 AT FENCE CORNER FEB. 2000.

AUGUST 2, 2005, A.F. NO. 200308020064, 1-1/2" AVLE ROD 0.3' ABOVE GROUND 572'W, 1.0' FROM FENCE CORNER, REF. A.F. NO. 200105070102, 9410310110, 9306300080, 8007070006, 7911210040.

AUGUST 12, 2005, A.F. NO. 200508120104, FOUND STEEL AKLE 1.0' ABOVE GROUND AT FENCE CORNER.

APRIL 2, 2008, A.F. NO. 200804020010, THE FOUND 1' DIAMETER AN F ROD MONIMENTING THE SOUTH 1/4 CORNER OF SECTION 31, T3GN, R4E AS DEPICTED ON RECORD OF SURVEY A.F. NO. 200508020064, RECORDS OF SKACIT COUNTY, WAS PERMITTED TO BE REMOVED AS PART OF A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT. SET 2-1/2" DIAMETER ALUMINUM MONUMENT IN DRAMAGE SWALE 4" ABOVE GRADE. THE MONUMENT IS 30" LONG, 1/2" DIAMETER (#4) REBAR INSIDE FOR FUTURE MAGNETIC LOCATING, IF NEEDED. (REFERENCE DNR PERMIT NO. 3402)

JANUARY 2010, PSE FOUND & 2-1/2" DIAMETER ALUMINUM MONUMENT AND CAP WITH DRILL HOLE APPROPRIATELY MARKED AND STAMPED "2007 PSE 40525" 0.3' ABOVE GRADE. THIS MONUMENT







0 Ü X ā. () ٥





Ũ -٢ Ū () Ľ 



ABLE	$L8 = 518^{2}9^{3}1^{-}W, 30.00^{\circ}$ $L9 = 588^{2}8^{2}0^{\circ}F, 140.62^{\circ}$
2'52'21 W, 305.79'	L10 = 587'39'01"E 110.21"
55423 E 61.91	L11 = N64'11'30'E, 205,53'
3'29'31 "W, 30.00'	L13 = N10'46'00''W, 5.27'
"30'29"E 100.00"	L14 = 528'03'34"E 20.00'
1'30'29"E 150.00"	L15 = N61'56'06'E. 57.00' L16 = N65'14'01''E. 50.09'



