Meeting Summary Skagit Flood Control Zone District Land Use Technical Committee Meeting #5

February 3, 2009 2 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Location

Conference Room at Burlington City Hall, 833 South Spruce Street, Burlington, WA.

Meeting Purpose

1) To conduct normal business and 2) To consider work assigned by the Flood Control Zone Advisory Committee (AC): See attached document: "Advisory Committee Assignment to Technical Committees" dated 1/20/09 for work task details.

Attendance

Members: Esco Bell, Fred Buckenmeyer, Todd Carlson, Margaret Fleek, Dan O'Donnell, Dave Chamberlain, Chuck Steele, Jason Easton, Charyl Francois, Tom Karsh (proxy for Kirk Johnson, Mark Watkinson, Mark Freiberger, and June Kite. Others: Cory Ertel, Elizabeth Sjostrom, Blaine Chesterfield, Nels Lagerland, and Randy Good.

Action Items: The LUTC completed the tasks assigned by the AC and the results will be forwarded for consideration at the 2/18/09 AC meeting.

<u>Welcome and Introductions:</u> Margaret Fleek, Chair opened meeting by welcoming attendees. Committee members and guests introduced themselves.

<u>Public Comment</u>: Rand Good asked who represented agricultural interests on the LUTC and was advised that Robert Hughes represented the Agricultural Advisory Board and Ed Lipsey represented Cockreham Island interests.

<u>Previous Meeting Summaries:</u> The January 6, 2009 LUTC meeting summary was accepted by consensus.

Report out from AC representatives: LUTC AC representatives Jason Easton and Todd Carlson were present. Jason apologized that he was not able to attend the January AC meeting due to a family emergency. Todd reported that the AC spent some time discussing a question from Larry Wasserman, which was whether the AC should continue evaluating flood reduction proposals without more specific project design details especially hydrology/hydraulic information. For the purpose of providing the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers with local input regarding preferred projects the AC will be moving forward, but the lack of project details is troubling to some on the AC.

Technical Committee Assignment/Result:

Task #1: Each Technical Committee is requested to provide a list of any additional projects to be included in the discussion at the February 18 AC meeting, including

supporting project description, project benefits, conceptual drawings, and cost information.

Result: No significant new projects or measures were identified. Rather, many of the proposed projects/measures need to reflect new alignments, phases and impacts. These are noted in the Task #2 comments.

Task #2: For use at the February 18, 2009 Advisory Committee meeting discussion (described above), provide input to your Advisory Committee representatives on Skagit GI Measures

- Please use electronic copy of flip charts for February AC meeting (provided) to record input
- Collective take on non-starters, no-brainers, and rationale
- · Comments, missing info
- Potential alternative packages

Result: See attached completed "Flip Chart"

Miscellaneous items: None

Next steps and meeting schedule

To be determined by assignment from AC. Members were asked to hold Tuesday, March 3, 2009 open in the event a meeting is necessary.

Meeting adjourned at 4:10 pm