

Comment on proposed rule SCLCrR 3.3(f) regarding agreed continuances.

- The rule as proposed does not provide any exceptions for counsel that have recently been appointed to a case, or older cases where a warrant was recently quashed. As a result, conflict counsel assigned late to a case after a motion for new counsel will be required to be in court much more frequently despite the case being brand new to them, resulting in more court appearance time and less time spent towards resolving the cases in a timely manner.
- If the Court is to adopt this rule, I would propose exceptions be made for those situations.

Thanks for your time.

Daniel Snyder

WSBA 53510